JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

2002, 4th QUARTER SURVEY RESULTS

FACILITIES STANDARDS AND OPERATIONS DIVISION

BOARD OF CORRECTIONS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
600 Bercut Drive
Sacramento, CA 95814-0185
(916) 445-5073
www.bdcorr.ca.gov

JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

EXPLANATION OF RESULTS

The following pages contain the Jail Profile Survey results for the fourth quarter of 2002. Page 1 contains the quarterly totals based upon the monthly and quarterly data submitted to us by the participating jurisdictions. Pages 2 and 3 show the trend data for variables we have been tracking for the last ten years. The remainder of this page provides explanations and clarifications of the data presented.

Page 1

- Unless otherwise noted, "projected totals" are based upon the average per day for the variable in question. For example, there was an average of 75,581 inmates per day in local jails (excluding Type I's) during the three-month span of October to December 2002.

- For some variables (other than ADP), we did not receive data from all jurisdictions. We compute the percentage of the ADP that was housed in the jurisdictions that did supply their data for the variable in question. Next, we increase the received number for the variable in question by an amount that estimates the statewide total. For example, if 90% of the state's ADP was housed in jurisdictions that provided us with the number of Three Strike inmates, we would increase that number by 1/9th to estimate the state total.

- The number for "Bookings" is the average of the monthly totals for October, November, and December.

- For the following variables, the numbers presented are the totals as of the mid-quarter (i.e., November 15, 2002); Pretrial Release, Early Release, 2 and 3 Strikes, Felony Warrants, Misdemeanor Warrants, Juveniles in Custody and Undocumented Aliens.

- Assaults is the total number of serious inmate assaults on staff (resulting in a crime report), statewide, during the fourth quarter of 2002.

Pages 2 and 3

- The data in the 10-Year Summary tables are based upon survey data which the Board has gathered since the early 1980's.

- Prior to 1995, yearly averages were reported to the Board on an annual basis. Yearly averages from 1996 on have been the average across the 12 calendar months. In 1995, the yearly figure reported is the fourth quarter average, since we do not have data from the first three quarters.

BOARD OF CORRECTIONS
JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

County Jail Populations

ADP [a]	75,581 [b]
Holding Areas	1,228
Average "Under the Roof" [c]	76,809 [d]
Highest One Day Count	80,677 [e]

County Jail Populations

Felony	57,386
Misdemeanor	18,195
Total	75,581
Non-Sentenced Males	40,971
Non-Sentenced Females	5,569
Sentenced Males	25,072
Sentenced Females	3,969
Total	75,581
Maximum Security Inmates	23,081
Medium Security Inmates	33,759
Minimum Security Inmates	18,741
Total	75,581

Inmates From Other Jurisdictions

Housed on Federal Contract	3,309
Housed on Contract with CDC	2,878
From Other Counties on Contrac	21
Awaiting Transport	1,292
Total	7,500

Special Use Beds

Medical Beds	955
Mental Health Beds	3,876

Released Per Month Due to Lack of Space

Pretrial Release	6,496 [f]
Early Release	6,564 [f]
Total	13,060

Inmates with 2 and 3 Strikes

2 Strike Inmates	3,259 [g]
3 Strike Inmates	1,484 [g]
Total	4,743

Unserved Warrants

Felony Warrants	270,713 [g]
Misdemeanor Warrants	1,929,895 [g]
Total	2,200,608

Other Jail Profile Survey Variables

|	
Bookings Per Month	98,592 [f]
Juveniles in Custody	68 [f]
Criminal / Illegal Aliens	8,965
Assaults On Staff	222

a. The Average Daily Population for all jurisdictions and does not include inmates in holding areas.
b. Unless otherwise indicated, the numbers reported are the average across the days in the quarter.
c. "Under the Roof" is the sum of the Average Daily Population plus the average daily number of inmates in Holding Areas.
d. Totals may not be the exact sum of the subtotals due to rounding.
e. The sum of all Highest One Day Inmate population counts from all jurisdictions.
f. These data are collected on a monthly basis, this figure is an average of the total numbers collected each month during this quarter.
g. These data are one-day snapshots collected at the end of the quarter.

Jail Profile Survey
2002

Average Daily Population

10 Year Summary

| 1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
70,853	67,576	69,233	71,107	72,007	76,894	79,143	76,311	74,868	73,824

As indicated in the 3rd Quarter 2002, it appears that a reversal in the ADP is occurring. 2002's ADP is the highest since 1999, when the decline in ADP began, and increased by 1,332 over 2001's ADP. This was the greatest annual increase since 1997-1998.

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001	74,221	74,564	73,842	72,684	73,824
2002	73,869	75,604	75,544	75,581	75,156

Non-sentenced ADP

10 Year Summary

| 1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
38,110	35,899	39,122	42,237	42,539	44,593	45,303	44,493	44,943	45,204

2002's inmate population appears to contain the highest number of non-sentenced inmates on record. On average, the increase in non-sentenced inmates is proportional to a reduction of sentenced inmates; 2002 had not only a reduction in sentenced felons but also the lowest number of sentenced misdemeanants on record. This may help explain the rise in the ADP as other factors are taken into account. Non-sentenced felons, for example, receive fewer O.R. releases, have higher bails set by the court, and as well as misdemeanants are not eligible for alternatives programs in lieu of incarceration. These lead not only to a higher ADP, but could also have a direct effect on the average length of stay.

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001	44,916	45,047	45,579	45,265	45,204
2002	46,341	46,941	47,438	46,541	46,817

Sentenced ADP

10 Year Summary

1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
32,743	31,677	30,142	28,870	29,468	32,301	33,841	31,819	29,925	28,620

The number of sentenced inmates continued to decline for the fourth consecutive year since hitting its peak in 1998. The non-sentenced population rise may also dramatically increase the average length of stay, resulting in more early releases of sentenced inmates, and overtaxing the existing jail alternative programs. It can be implied that the decline in sentenced population is equivalent to the increase in the non-sentenced populations.

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001	29,304	29,518	28,263	27,419	28,620
2002	27,529	28,663	28,107	29,041	28,338

Jail Profile Survey
2002

Percentage Non-sentenced

10 Year Summary									
1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
54%	53%	57%	59%	59%	58%	57%	58%	60%	61%

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001	61%	60%	62%	62%	61%
2002	63%	62%	63%	62%	62%

The non-sentenced inmate population continues to increase and has done so steadily since the overall jail population reached its peak in 1998; the percentage of non-sentenced inmates in 1998 was 57% and was 62% in 2002. This would indicate a direct relationship between the rise in non-sentenced inmates and the rise in the ADP. If this trend continues California's ability to house its sentenced inmates will continue to diminish.

Average Number of Persons Booked Per Month

10 Year Summary									
1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
112,009	105,593	103,996	97,589	101,942	99,182	98,860	97,008	98,754	96,695

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001	96,292	97,503	97,898	95,087	96,695
2002	97,628	98,417	100,008	98,592	98,668

Although bookings increased an average of 1,000 each of the first three quarters of 2002, the number of bookings decreased by 1,416 from the 3rd to 4th Quarters of 2002. Each year since 1996, the 4th Quarter bookings have been below that of the 3rd Quarter. The total number of bookings in 2002 is consistent with past findings that bookings and ADP are on the rise.

Average Length Of Stay

10 Year Summary									
1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001					
2002	38.8	43.2	51.3	79.2	53.2

Prior methods used to estimate average length of stay (ADP/bookings) indicated that the average length of stay was approximately 24 days. Beginning in 2002, this formula was refined; counties began reporting the actual length of stay for inmates who were assigned housing. With the first full year behind us, it appears that the average length of stay was nearly double what was previously estimated. We will continue to monitor the current method for collecting ADP.

Average Daily Population

Non-sentenced / Sentenced Male / Female

California County Jails - For Months Of October - December 2002

| | Non-sentenced | | | | | | Sentenced | | | | | | Total |
| | Male | | Female | | Total | | Male | | Female | | Total | | |
Jurisdiction	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
1. Alameda Sheriff's Dept.	2,598	90%	304	10%	2,903	72%	947	85%	168	15%	1,114	28%	4,017
2. Amador Sheriff's Dept.	31	95%	2	5%	33	42%	37	83%	8	17%	45	58%	77
3. Butte Sheriff's Dept.	273	88%	39	12%	312	63%	166	90%	18	10%	184	37%	496
4. Calaveras Sheriff's Dept.	43	87%	7	13%	49	66%	23	89%	3	11%	25	34%	75
5. Colusa Sheriff's Dept.	18	83%	4	17%	21	46%	23	91%	2	9%	25	54%	47
6. Contra Costa Sheriff's Dept.	973	87%	144	13%	1,117	70%	426	90%	49	10%	475	30%	1,592
7. Del Norte Sheriff's Dept.	61	81%	14	19%	75	66%	34	85%	6	15%	40	34%	115
8. El Dorado Sheriff's Dept.	142	90%	15	10%	157	49%	140	86%	22	14%	162	51%	319
9. Fresno Sheriff's Dept.	1,702	91%	168	9%	1,870	83%	326	87%	50	13%	376	17%	2,246
10. Glenn Sheriff's Dept.	44	89%	6	11%	50	55%	34	85%	6	15%	40	45%	90
11. Humboldt Sheriff's Dept.	220	85%	38	15%	258	66%	114	87%	17	13%	131	34%	389
12. Imperial Sheriff's Dept.	207	83%	42	17%	249	64%	131	91%	12	9%	143	36%	392
13. Inyo Sheriff's Dept.	31	86%	5	14%	36	47%	35	87%	5	13%	41	53%	77
14. Kern Sheriff's Dept.	1,233	88%	170	12%	1,404	63%	641	78%	182	22%	823	37%	2,226
15. Kings Sheriff's Dept.	121	88%	16	12%	137	47%	134	86%	22	14%	156	53%	293
16. Lake Sheriff's Dept.	115	88%	15	12%	130	67%	52	82%	11	18%	63	33%	193
17. Lassen Sheriff's Dept.	42	85%	7	15%	50	45%	44	72%	17	28%	60	55%	110
18. Los Angeles Sheriff's Dept.	10,190	89%	1,323	11%	11,512	60%	6,794	88%	957	12%	7,751	40%	19,264
19. Madera Corrections Dept.	191	90%	22	10%	214	61%	121	87%	18	13%	139	39%	353
20. Marin Sheriff's Dept.	212	86%	33	14%	246	88%	25	77%	7	23%	32	12%	278
21. Mariposa Sheriff's Dept.	13	88%	2	12%	14	39%	19	85%	3	15%	22	61%	37
22. Mendocino Sheriff's Dept.	111	89%	14	11%	126	58%	79	86%	12	14%	91	42%	217
23. Merced Sheriff's Dept.	404	90%	46	10%	449	73%	147	87%	21	13%	168	27%	617
24. Modoc Sheriff's Dept.	15	87%	2	13%	17	61%	8	70%	3	30%	11	39%	28
25. Mono Sheriff's Dept.	9	90%	1	10%	10	43%	12	92%	1	8%	13	57%	23
26. Monterey Sheriff's Dept.	418	88%	55	12%	474	47%	469	88%	65	12%	534	53%	1,007
27. Napa Corrections Dept.	65	89%	8	11%	74	32%	136	85%	24	15%	160	68%	233
28. Nevada Sheriff's Dept.	58	85%	10	15%	68	49%	54	78%	15	22%	70	51%	138
29. Oakland Police Dept.	82	82%	17	18%	99	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	99
30. Orange Sheriff's Dept.	2,121	89%	258	11%	2,379	49%	2,143	87%	326	13%	2,470	51%	4,849
31. Placer Sheriff's Dept.	239	90%	26	10%	264	54%	184	83%	37	17%	221	46%	486
32. Plumas Sheriff's Dept.	23	86%	4	14%	27	59%	17	88%	2	12%	19	41%	46
33. Riverside Sheriff's Dept.	1,989	88%	260	12%	2,249	69%	874	88%	117	12%	991	31%	3,240
34. Sacramento Sheriff's Dept.	1,374	84%	261	16%	1,634	50%	1,416	88%	188	12%	1,604	50%	3,238
35. San Benito Sheriff's Dept.	61	90%	7	10%	68	59%	43	91%	4	9%	48	41%	115

Average Daily Population

Non-sentenced / Sentenced Male / Female

California County Jails - For Months Of October - December 2002

| | Non-sentenced | | | | | | Sentenced | | | | | | Total |
| | Male | | Female | | Total | | Male | | Female | | Total | | |
Jurisdiction	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
36. San Bernardino Sheriff's Dept.	2,452	87%	356	13%	2,808	56%	1,846	85%	324	15%	2,170	44%	4,978
37. San Diego Sheriff's Dept.	2,555	86%	410	14%	2,965	62%	1,526	84%	282	16%	1,807	38%	4,773
38. San Diego Work Furlough	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	185	92%	16	8%	200	100%	200
39. San Francisco Sheriff's Dept.	1,609	90%	175	10%	1,785	81%	357	86%	58	14%	415	19%	2,199
40. San Joaquin Sheriff's Dept.	558	87%	86	13%	644	54%	475	85%	82	15%	557	46%	1,201
41. San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Dept	153	86%	24	14%	177	44%	200	88%	28	12%	228	56%	405
42. San Mateo Sheriff's Dept.	451	92%	39	8%	491	50%	420	84%	77	16%	497	50%	988
43. Santa Ana Police Dept.	261	91%	25	9%	286	96%	10	86%	2	14%	12	4%	298
44. Santa Barbara Sheriff's Dept.	448	88%	62	12%	510	60%	300	88%	43	12%	342	40%	852
45. Santa Clara Corrections Dept.	2,367	89%	301	11%	2,668	70%	980	87%	144	13%	1,123	30%	3,791
46. Santa Clara Probation Dept.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	195	82%	42	18%	237	100%	237
47. Santa Cruz Sheriff's Dept.	260	87%	39	13%	299	49%	262	85%	45	15%	306	51%	605
48. Shasta Sheriff's Dept.	220	85%	39	15%	259	66%	112	83%	23	17%	135	34%	393
49. Sierra Sheriff's Dept.	3	100%	0	0%	3	73%	1	100%	0	0%	1	27%	4
50. Siskiyou Sheriff's Dept.	65	97%	2	3%	67	69%	23	79%	6	21%	30	31%	97
51. Solano Sheriff's Dept.	669	85%	116	15%	785	72%	261	85%	47	15%	308	28%	1,092
52. Sonoma Sheriff's Dept.	505	87%	73	13%	578	56%	397	87%	57	13%	454	44%	1,032
53. Stanislaus Sheriff's Dept.	616	86%	99	14%	715	64%	344	84%	67	16%	411	36%	1,125
54. Sutter Sheriff's Dept.	142	88%	19	12%	162	68%	61	79%	17	21%	78	32%	239
55. Tehama Sheriff's Dept.	79	84%	15	16%	94	53%	73	88%	10	12%	83	47%	177
56. Trinity Sheriff's Dept.	30	85%	5	15%	35	74%	11	89%	1	11%	12	26%	47
57. Tulare Sheriff's Dept.	644	89%	78	11%	722	57%	477	88%	64	12%	541	43%	1,263
58. Tuolumne Sheriff's Dept.	47	86%	8	14%	55	41%	69	86%	11	14%	80	59%	135
59. Ventura Sheriff's Dept.	808	86%	129	14%	937	63%	477	86%	79	14%	555	37%	1,492
60. Ventura Work Furlough	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	71	84%	14	16%	85	100%	85
61. Yolo Sheriff's Dept.	311	85%	54	15%	365	86%	41	68%	19	32%	59	14%	425
62. Yuba Sheriff's Dept.	290	81%	69	19%	359	84%	55	81%	13	19%	68	16%	427
Statewide	40,971	88%	5,569	12%	46,541	62%	25,072	86%	3,969	14%	29,041	38%	75,581

Jail Populations: Quarter 4, 2001 Versus Quarter 4, 2002

Jurisdiction	Qtr 4, 2001	Qtr 4, 2002	Qtr 4, 2002 Minus Qtr 4, 2001	Qtr 4, 2001 To Qtr 4, 2002 % +/-	% of Total Increase or Decrease	Cum %	Cum Total
Los Angeles Sheriff's Dept.	18,635	19,264	629	3.4%	17.3%	17.27%	629
Orange Sheriff's Dept.	4,466	4,849	383	8.6%	10.5%	27.79%	1,012
Sacramento Sheriff's Dept.	2,930	3,238	308	10.5%	8.5%	36.24%	1,320
Alameda Sheriff's Dept.	3,709	4,017	308	8.3%	8.5%	44.70%	1,628
San Francisco Sheriff's Dept.	1,905	2,199	294	15.4%	8.1%	52.77%	1,922
San Bernardino Sheriff's Dept.	4,719	4,977	258	5.5%	7.1%	59.86%	2,180
Ventura Sheriff's Dept.	1,283	1,493	210	16.4%	5.8%	65.62%	2,390
Riverside Sheriff's Dept.	3,069	3,240	171	5.6%	4.7%	70.32%	2,561
Tulare Sheriff's Dept.	1,164	1,264	100	8.6%	2.7%	73.06%	2,661
Solano Sheriff's Dept.	1,001	1,092	91	9.1%	2.5%	75.56%	2,752
Fresno Sheriff's Dept.	2,159	2,247	88	4.1%	2.4%	77.98%	2,840
El Dorado Sheriff's Dept.	245	320	75	30.6%	2.1%	80.04%	2,915
Stanislaus Sheriff's Dept.	1,055	1,124	69	6.5%	1.9%	81.93%	2,984
Santa Cruz Sheriff's Dept.	541	605	64	11.8%	1.8%	83.69%	3,048
Kern Sheriff's Dept.	2,164	2,226	62	2.9%	1.7%	85.39%	3,110
Santa Barbara Sheriff's Dept.	790	852	62	7.8%	1.7%	87.10%	3,172
Yuba Sheriff's Dept.	368	428	60	16.3%	1.6%	88.74%	3,232
San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Dept.	349	405	56	16.0%	1.5%	90.28%	3,288
Merced Sheriff's Dept.	564	617	53	9.4%	1.5%	91.74%	3,341
San Diego Sheriff's Dept.	4,732	4,772	40	0.8%	1.1%	92.83%	3,381
Inyo Sheriff's Dept.	42	76	34	81.0%	0.9%	93.77%	3,415
Madera Corrections Dept.	322	352	30	9.3%	0.8%	94.59%	3,445
Placer Sheriff's Dept.	457	485	28	6.1%	0.8%	95.36%	3,473
Santa Clara Probation Dept.	214	237	23	10.7%	0.6%	95.99%	3,496
Monterey Sheriff's Dept.	986	1,007	21	2.1%	0.6%	96.57%	3,517
Yolo Sheriff's Dept.	404	424	20	5.0%	0.5%	97.12%	3,537
Nevada Sheriff's Dept.	123	138	15	12.2%	0.4%	97.53%	3,552
Calaveras Sheriff's Dept.	60	74	14	23.3%	0.4%	97.91%	3,566
San Benito Sheriff's Dept.	101	115	14	13.9%	0.4%	98.30%	3,580
Amador Sheriff's Dept.	68	78	10	14.7%	0.3%	98.57%	3,590
Humboldt Sheriff's Dept.	378	388	10	2.6%	0.3%	98.85%	3,600
Lake Sheriff's Dept.	183	193	10	5.5%	0.3%	99.12%	3,610
Lassen Sheriff's Dept.	100	110	10	10.0%	0.3%	99.40%	3,620
Tehama Sheriff's Dept.	170	176	6	3.5%	0.2%	99.56%	3,626
Shasta Sheriff's Dept.	386	392	6	1.6%	0.2%	99.73%	3,632
Tuolumne Sheriff's Dept.	130	134	4	3.1%	0.1%	99.84%	3,636
Sutter Sheriff's Dept.	236	240	4	1.7%	0.1%	99.95%	3,640
Del Norte Sheriff's Dept.	113	115	2	1.8%	0.1%	100.00%	3,642
Trinity Sheriff's Dept.	47	47	0	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%	3,642
Sierra Sheriff's Dept.	3	3	0	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%	3,642
Mono Sheriff's Dept.	23	23	0	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%	3,642
Napa Corrections Dept.	235	234	-1	-0.4%	0.1%	0.13%	-1
Siskiyou Sheriff's Dept.	99	98	-1	-1.0%	0.1%	0.26%	-2
San Joaquin Sheriff's Dept.	1,202	1,201	-1	-0.1%	0.1%	0.40%	-3
Glenn Sheriff's Dept.	92	90	-2	-2.2%	0.3%	0.66%	-5
Plumas Sheriff's Dept.	49	46	-3	-6.1%	0.4%	1.05%	-8
Butte Sheriff's Dept.	498	495	-3	-0.6%	0.4%	1.45%	-11
Colusa Sheriff's Dept.	51	47	-4	-7.8%	0.5%	1.98%	-15
Mariposa Sheriff's Dept.	45	36	-9	-20.0%	1.2%	3.16%	-24
Modoc Sheriff's Dept.	39	28	-11	-28.2%	1.4%	4.61%	-35
Ventura Work Furlough	98	85	-13	-13.3%	1.7%	6.32%	-48
Kings Sheriff's Dept.	306	293	-13	-4.2%	1.7%	8.04%	-61
San Diego Work Furlough	215	200	-15	-7.0%	2.0%	10.01%	-76
Oakland Police Dept.	119	99	-20	-16.8%	2.6%	12.65%	-96
Marin Sheriff's Dept.	300	278	-22	-7.3%	2.9%	15.55%	-118
Santa Clara Corrections Dept.	3,845	3,791	-54	-1.4%	7.1%	22.66%	-172
Imperial Sheriff's Dept.	446	392	-54	-12.1%	7.1%	29.76%	-226
Mendocino Sheriff's Dept.	271	217	-54	-19.9%	7.1%	36.89%	-280
Santa Ana Police Dept.	353	297	-56	-15.9%	7.4%	44.27%	-336
Sonoma Sheriff's Dept.	1,089	1,031	-58	-5.3%	7.6%	51.91%	-394
San Mateo Sheriff's Dept.	1,047	987	-60	-5.7%	7.9%	59.82%	-454
Contra Costa Sheriff's Dept.	1,726	1,592	-134	-7.8%	17.7%	77.47%	-588
Scapular House	171		-171	-100.0%	22.5%	100.00%	-759
	72,690	75,573	2,883				

Note: Totals subject to slight variation due to rounding

Total Increase:	3,642
Total Decrease:	-759

Interpretation of Table:
JAIL POPULATIONS: 4th Quarter '01 versus 4th Quarter '02

This table:
- summarizes the ADP results for the 63 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column B);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column A);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column C);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column D);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column G); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:
1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 3,642) and "total decrease" in ADP (-759). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 3,642 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 759 ADP. Subtracting 3,642 from 759 produces the overall increase of 2,883 between the fourth quarter of 2001 and the fourth quarter of 2002.
2. The Los Angeles ADP increase of 629 is 17.3% of the total increase of 3,642. Thirty-eight jurisdictions had increases (down to Del Norte Sheriff's Department) with three jurisdictions reporting no increase (Trinity, Sierra and Mono Sheriff's Departments). When you get to Mono Sheriff's Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 3,642).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Napa Corrections Department to Scapular House). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 759 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Los Angeles Sheriff's Department to Alameda Sheriff's Department) is 44.7%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for about 45% of the total ADP increase. Three jurisdictions (San Mateo and Contra Costa Sheriff's Departments and Scapular House) account for about 48% of the decreases. Please note that Scapular House will no longer be reporting on the Jail Profile Survey, which accounts for approximately 23% of the total decrease.