JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

2002, 3rd QUARTER SURVEY RESULTS

FACILITIES STANDARDS AND OPERATIONS DIVISION

BOARD OF CORRECTIONS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
600 Bercut Drive
Sacramento, CA 95814-0185
(916) 445-5073
www.bdcorr.ca.gov

JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

EXPLANATION OF RESULTS

The following pages contain the Jail Profile Survey results for the third quarter of 2002. Page 1 contains the quarterly totals based upon the monthly and quarterly data submitted to us by the participating jurisdictions. Page 2 and 3 show the trend data for variables we have been tracking for the last ten years. The remainder of this page provides explanations and clarifications of the data presented.

Page 1

- Unless otherwise noted, "projected totals" are based upon the average per day for the variable in question. For example, there was an average of 75,544 inmates per day in local jails (excluding Type I's) during the three-month span of July to September 2002.

- For some variables (other than ADP), we did not receive data from all jurisdictions. We compute the percentage of the ADP that was housed in the jurisdictions that did supply their data for the variable in question. Next, we increase the received number for the variable in question by an amount that estimates the statewide total. For example, if 90% of the state's ADP was housed in jurisdictions that provided us with the number of Three Strike inmates, we would increase that number by $1/9^{th}$ to estimate the state total.

- The number for "Bookings" is the average of the monthly totals for July, August, and September.

- For the following variables, the numbers presented are the totals as of the mid-quarter (i.e., August 15, 2002); Pretrial Release, Early Release, 2 and 3 Strikes, Felony Warrants, Misdemeanor Warrants, Juveniles in Custody and Undocumented Aliens.

- "Assaults" is the total number of serious inmate assaults on staff (resulting in a crime report), statewide, during the third quarter of 2002.

Pages 2 and 3

- The data in the 10-Year Summary tables are based upon survey data which the Board has gathered since the early 1980's.

- Prior to 1995, yearly averages were reported to the Board on an annual basis. Yearly averages from 1996 on have been the average across the 12 calendar months. In 1995, the yearly figure reported is the fourth quarter average, since we do not have data from the first three quarters.

JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

EXPLANATION OF RESULTS

The following link titles contain the Jail Profile Survey results for the third quarter of 2002. **Quarterly Totals** contains the third quarter totals based upon the monthly and quarterly data submitted to us by the participating jurisdictions. The next six (6) link titles show the trend data for variables we have been tracking for the last ten years (**Average Number of Persons Booked**, **Average Length of Stay**, **Average Daily Population**, **Percentage Non-Sentenced**, **Non-Sentenced ADP**, and **Sentenced ADP**). The remainder of this page provides explanations and clarifications of the data presented.

Quarterly Totals link

- Unless otherwise noted, "projected totals" are based upon the average per day for the variable in question. For example, there was an average of 75,544 inmates per day in local jails (excluding Type I's) during the three-month span of July to September 2002.

- For some variables (other than ADP), we did not receive data from all jurisdictions. We compute the percentage of the ADP that was housed in the jurisdictions that did supply their data for the variable in question. Next, we increase the received number for the variable in question by an amount that estimates the statewide total. For example, if 90% of the state's ADP was housed in jurisdictions that provided us with the number of Three Strike inmates, we would increase that number by 1/9th to estimate the state total.

- The number for "Bookings" is the average of the monthly totals for July, August, and September.

- For the following variables, the numbers presented are the totals as of the mid-quarter (i.e., August 15, 2002); Pretrial Release, Early Release, 2 and 3 Strikes, Felony Warrants, Misdemeanor Warrants, Juveniles in Custody and Undocumented Aliens.

- "Assaults" is the total number of serious inmate assaults on staff (resulting in a crime report), statewide, during the third quarter of 2002.

Average Number of Persons Booked, **Average Length of Stay**, **Average Daily Population**, **Percentage Non-Sentenced**, **Non-Sentenced ADP**, and **Sentenced ADP** links

- The data in the 10-Year Summary tables are based upon survey data which the Board has gathered since the early 1980's.

- Prior to 1995, yearly averages were reported to the Board on an annual basis. Yearly averages from 1996 on have been the average across the 12 calendar months. In 1995, the yearly figure reported is the fourth quarter average, since we do not have data from the first three quarters.

BOARD OF CORRECTIONS
JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

Reporting Period: July to September, 2002

County Jail Populations

ADP [a]	75,544 [b]
Holding Areas	1,431
Average "Under the Roof" [c]	76,975 [d]
Highest One Day Count	80,450 [e]

County Jail Populations

Felony	54,628
Misdemeanor	20,916
Total	75,544
Non-Sentenced Males	41,858
Non-Sentenced Females	5,579
Sentenced Males	24,264
Sentenced Females	3,843
Total	75,544
Maximum Security Inmates	26,116
Medium Security Inmates	29,311
Minimum Security Inmates	20,117
Total	75,544

Inmates From Other Jurisdictions

Housed on Federal Contract	3,341
Housed on Contract with CDC	2,708
From Other Counties on Contract	30
Awaiting Transport	1,259
Total	7,338

Special Use Beds

Medical Beds	1,016
Mental Health Beds	4,023

Released Per Month Due to Lack of Space

Pretrial Release	7,491 [f]
Early Release	7,228 [f]
Total	14,719

Inmates with 2 and 3 Strikes

2 Strike Inmates	3,250 [g]
3 Strike Inmates	1,468 [g]
Total	4,718

Unserved Warrants

Felony Warrants	267,597 [g]
Misdemeanor Warrants	2,035,152 [g]
Total	2,302,749

Other Jail Profile Survey Variables

Bookings Per Month	100,008 [f]
Juveniles in Custody	64 [f]
Criminal / Illegal Aliens	9,168
Assaults On Staff	305

a. The Average Daily Population for all jurisdictions and does not include inmates in holding areas.
b. Unless otherwise indicated, the numbers reported are the average across the days in the quarter.
c. "Under the Roof" is the sum of the Average Daily Population plus the average daily number of inmates in Holding Areas.
d. Totals may not be the exact sum of the subtotals due to rounding.
e. The sum of all Highest One Day inmate population counts from all jurisdictions.
f. These data are collected on a monthly basis, this figure is an average of the total numbers collected each month during this quarter.
g. These data are one-day snapshots collected at the end of the quarter.

Average Daily Population

Non-sentenced / Sentenced Male / Female

California County Jails - For Months Of July - September 2002

		Non-sentenced						Sentenced						
		Male		Female		Total		Male		Female		Total		Total
	Jurisdiction	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
1.	Alameda Sheriff's Dept.	2,599	90%	280	10%	2,879	72%	961	86%	163	14%	1,124	28%	4,003
2.	Amador Sheriff's Dept.	30	93%	2	7%	32	41%	37	78%	10	22%	47	59%	79
3.	Butte Sheriff's Dept.	252	88%	34	12%	286	59%	170	86%	27	14%	197	41%	483
4.	Calaveras Sheriff's Dept.	41	90%	5	10%	46	61%	27	92%	2	8%	29	39%	75
5.	Colusa Sheriff's Dept.	23	83%	5	17%	28	55%	19	84%	4	16%	23	45%	51
6.	Contra Costa Sheriff's Dept.	1,098	88%	156	12%	1,254	72%	447	90%	49	10%	496	28%	1,750
7.	Del Norte Sheriff's Dept.	58	76%	19	24%	77	75%	17	69%	8	31%	25	25%	102
8.	El Dorado Sheriff's Dept.	131	90%	15	10%	146	48%	132	84%	24	16%	156	52%	302
9.	Fresno Sheriff's Dept.	1,701	91%	174	9%	1,875	85%	298	87%	43	13%	341	15%	2,215
10.	Glenn Sheriff's Dept.	51	81%	12	19%	63	83%	9	74%	3	26%	13	17%	76
11.	Humboldt Sheriff's Dept.	211	86%	33	14%	244	69%	95	86%	16	14%	110	31%	354
12.	Imperial Sheriff's Dept.	226	89%	29	11%	255	61%	154	95%	9	5%	162	39%	418
13.	Inyo Sheriff's Dept.	28	82%	6	18%	34	47%	31	82%	7	18%	38	53%	72
14.	Kern Sheriff's Dept.	1,258	86%	199	14%	1,457	65%	620	79%	165	21%	786	35%	2,243
15.	Kings Sheriff's Dept.	101	88%	14	12%	115	39%	152	85%	27	15%	179	61%	294
16.	Lake Sheriff's Dept.	119	88%	16	12%	135	70%	46	78%	13	22%	58	30%	193
17.	Lassen Sheriff's Dept.	48	81%	11	19%	60	46%	50	73%	18	27%	69	54%	128
18.	Los Angeles Sheriff's Dept.	10,280	89%	1,272	11%	11,552	61%	6,575	88%	885	12%	7,460	39%	19,012
19.	Madera Corrections Dept.	221	92%	19	8%	241	72%	84	90%	10	10%	93	28%	334
20.	Marin Sheriff's Dept.	200	86%	33	14%	233	87%	28	80%	7	20%	35	13%	268
21.	Mariposa Sheriff's Dept.	19	87%	3	13%	22	47%	21	85%	4	15%	25	53%	47
22.	Mendocino Sheriff's Dept.	133	91%	13	9%	146	60%	84	87%	13	13%	97	40%	243
23.	Merced Sheriff's Dept.	415	89%	50	11%	466	73%	151	89%	19	11%	170	27%	636
24.	Modoc Sheriff's Dept.	10	83%	2	17%	12	52%	6	59%	4	41%	11	48%	22
25.	Mono Sheriff's Dept.	11	89%	1	11%	13	48%	12	90%	1	10%	14	52%	26
26.	Monterey Sheriff's Dept.	409	88%	53	12%	462	47%	458	89%	55	11%	513	53%	974
27.	Napa Corrections Dept.	74	89%	9	11%	83	36%	131	89%	16	11%	147	64%	230
28.	Nevada Sheriff's Dept.	77	87%	11	13%	89	63%	42	81%	10	19%	51	37%	140
29.	Oakland Police Dept.	94	81%	22	19%	115	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	115
30.	Orange Sheriff's Dept.	2,058	89%	253	11%	2,311	48%	2,149	86%	354	14%	2,503	52%	4,814
31.	Placer Sheriff's Dept.	240	90%	26	10%	266	55%	185	83%	37	17%	222	45%	487
32.	Plumas Sheriff's Dept.	27	84%	5	16%	32	63%	14	74%	5	26%	18	37%	50
33.	Riverside Sheriff's Dept.	2,012	88%	262	12%	2,274	69%	883	87%	130	13%	1,014	31%	3,287
34.	Sacramento Sheriff's Dept.	1,335	85%	232	15%	1,567	50%	1,344	86%	211	14%	1,554	50%	3,122
35.	San Benito Sheriff's Dept.	57	93%	4	7%	62	56%	44	91%	4	9%	48	44%	110

Average Daily Population

Non-sentenced / Sentenced Male / Female

California County Jails - For Months Of July - September 2002

		Non-sentenced						Sentenced						
		Male		Female		Total		Male		Female		Total		Total
	Jurisdiction	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
36.	San Bernardino Sheriff's Dept.	3,231	87%	471	13%	3,702	72%	1,162	82%	259	18%	1,420	28%	5,122
37.	San Diego Sheriff's Dept.	2,502	87%	390	13%	2,893	62%	1,529	85%	261	15%	1,790	38%	4,683
38.	San Diego Work Furlough	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	191	94%	13	6%	203	100%	203
39.	San Francisco Sheriff's Dept.	1,534	88%	200	12%	1,734	82%	322	85%	56	15%	378	18%	2,111
40.	San Joaquin Sheriff's Dept.	554	86%	87	14%	640	53%	491	87%	75	13%	566	47%	1,207
41.	San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Dept.	150	86%	25	14%	176	43%	207	88%	29	12%	236	57%	411
42.	San Mateo Sheriff's Dept.	474	93%	37	7%	510	50%	447	87%	67	13%	514	50%	1,024
43.	Santa Ana Police Dept.	305	93%	24	7%	329	98%	5	87%	1	12%	5	2%	334
44.	Santa Barbara Sheriff's Dept.	458	87%	71	13%	528	61%	292	86%	49	14%	341	39%	870
45.	Santa Clara Corrections Dept.	2,460	89%	301	11%	2,761	70%	1,025	88%	145	12%	1,171	30%	3,931
46.	Santa Clara Probation Dept.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	178	82%	40	18%	218	100%	218
47.	Santa Cruz Sheriff's Dept.	259	90%	29	10%	288	49%	258	85%	44	15%	302	51%	590
48.	Scapular House	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	149	92%	13	8%	163	100%	163
49.	Shasta Sheriff's Dept.	217	85%	37	15%	254	64%	115	82%	26	18%	141	36%	395
50.	Sierra Sheriff's Dept.	2	75%	1	25%	3	67%	1	100%	0	0%	1	33%	4
51.	Siskiyou Sheriff's Dept.	60	92%	5	8%	65	75%	18	84%	3	16%	21	25%	87
52.	Solano Sheriff's Dept.	664	87%	102	13%	766	72%	244	84%	48	16%	292	28%	1,058
53.	Sonoma Sheriff's Dept.	489	87%	72	13%	561	57%	371	86%	60	14%	431	43%	992
54.	Stanislaus Sheriff's Dept.	626	86%	105	14%	731	64%	343	84%	66	16%	409	36%	1,140
55.	Sutter Sheriff's Dept.	147	88%	20	12%	167	64%	76	82%	17	18%	94	36%	261
56.	Tehama Sheriff's Dept.	81	86%	14	14%	95	53%	73	87%	11	13%	83	47%	178
57.	Trinity Sheriff's Dept.	24	86%	4	14%	28	60%	16	85%	3	15%	18	40%	46
58.	Tulare Sheriff's Dept.	618	90%	72	10%	690	55%	491	87%	71	13%	562	45%	1,252
59.	Tuolumne Sheriff's Dept.	50	84%	10	16%	59	45%	58	80%	14	20%	72	55%	131
60.	Ventura Sheriff's Dept.	670	86%	112	14%	781	54%	574	87%	86	13%	660	46%	1,441
61.	Ventura Work Furlough	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	66	81%	16	19%	82	100%	82
62.	Yolo Sheriff's Dept.	319	86%	51	14%	370	87%	40	76%	13	24%	53	13%	423
63.	Yuba Sheriff's Dept.	318	84%	59	16%	377	88%	46	89%	6	11%	52	12%	429
	Statewide	**41,859**	**88%**	**5,579**	**12%**	**47,438**	**63%**	**24,264**	**86%**	**3,843**	**14%**	**28,107**	**37%**	**75,544**

Jail Profile Survey

2002

Average Daily Population

10 Year Summary									
1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
70,853	67,576	69,233	71,107	72,007	76,894	79,143	76,311	74,868	73,824

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001	74,221	74,564	73,842	72,684	73,824
2002	73,869	75,604	75,544		75,012



Last quarter, we reported the possibility of a reversal in a four-year trend. In 1998, the ADP reached its highest level of over 79,000 inmates. By the year 2001, the ADP had declined by about 5,000 inmates. That downward trend continued into the 1st Quarter of 2002; but in the 2nd Quarter we witnessed the long-expected reversal in this downward trend. Between the 2nd Quarter of 2001 and the 2nd Quarter of 2002, the ADP increased by over 1,000 inmates. Would this reversal in trend continue? The 3rd Quarter 2002 results indicate that it does: there were 1,700 more inmates in California jails in the 3rd Quarter of 2002 than there were during the same period in 2001.

Non-sentenced ADP

10 Year Summary									
1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
38,110	35,899	39,122	42,237	42,539	44,593	45,303	44,493	44,943	45,204

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001	44,916	45,047	45,579	45,265	45,204
2002	46,341	46,941	47,438		46,910



Despite the fact that the ADP is currently about 4,000 inmates below what it was in 1998, the non-sentenced population is at its highest recorded level and rising. In 1998 (the year of the highest overall ADP), the ADP of non-sentenced inmates was 45,303. The ADP of non-sentenced inmates for the first three quarters of 2002 is 46,910 (an increase of over 1,600 non-sentenced inmates). Male inmates account for the entire increase (the number of non-sentenced females has changed little since 1998).

Sentenced ADP

10 Year Summary									
1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
32,743	31,677	30,142	28,870	29,468	32,301	33,841	31,819	29,925	28,620

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001	29,304	29,518	28,263	27,419	28,620
2002	27,529	28,663	28,107		28,102



The ADP of sentenced inmates in the first three quarters of 2002 is 28,102. This is a reduction of over 5,700 from the 1998 peak of 33,841 inmates. The ADP of sentenced inmates is currently at its lowest count in over a decade. We believe that the primary reason for this is the physical limit in jail capacity. As the non-sentenced population increases, with no increases in jail capacity (and reduced operating budgets), the sentenced population must be reduced commensurately.

Jail Profile Survey

2002

Percentage Non-sentenced

10 Year Summary									
1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
54%	53%	57%	59%	59%	58%	57%	58%	60%	61%



Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001	61%	60%	62%	62%	61%
2002	63%	62%	63%		63%

Obviously, as the non-sentenced population increases, and the sentenced population decreases, the percentage of non-sentenced inmates in the overall population must go up. In fact, the percentage has been steadily rising for over a decade. In the year of the peak ADP (1998), the percentage of non-sentenced inmates was 57%. In the 3rd Quarter of 2002, the percentage was 63%. We expect this percentage to continue to increase. If it does, there will develop increasingly critical implications for the manner in which jails are designed and managed.

Average Number of Persons Booked Per Month

10 Year Summary									
1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
112,009	105,593	103,996	97,589	101,942	99,182	98,860	97,008	98,754	96,695



Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001	96,292	97,503	97,898	95,087	96,695
2002	97,628	98,417	100,008		98,693

The average number of bookings per month decreased at a fairly regular rate between 1992 and 2001 (from 112,009 per month to 96,695 per month). However, it appears that bookings may be increasing; there were slightly more bookings in the 3rd Quarter of 2002 than for the same period a year ago. This increase is consistent with the finding that the ADP is on the rise as well.

Average Length Of Stay

10 Year Summary									
1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001



Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001					
2002	38.8	43.2	51.9		44.7

Beginning in 2002, we changed the method by which we estimated the average length of stay. In the past, average length of stay was simply a function of two variables: bookings and ADP. This method had some disadvantages; for example, an unknown percentage of the bookings resulted in released inmates who were not assigned to housing. Beginning in 2002, we began to receive data directly from the counties regarding the actual average length of stay for inmates that had been assigned housing, hence the absence of previous years' data in this section. We have three full quarters of this data and are currently evaluating the effectiveness of this approach. If this method proves to be accurate, the average length of stay appears to be longer than what we had estimated using the previous approach; which, over time, produced an average length of stay of about 24 days.

Jail Populations: Quarter 3, 2001 Versus Quarter 3, 2002

Jurisdiction	Qtr 3, 2001	Qtr 3, 2002	Qtr 3, 2002 Minus Qtr 3, 2001	Qtr 3, 2001 To Qtr 3, 2002 % +/-	% of Total Increase or Decrease	Cum %	Cum Total
Orange Sheriff's Dept.	4,352	4,814	462	10.6%	17.7%	17.66%	46
Alameda Sheriff's Dept.	3,680	4,003	323	8.8%	12.3%	30.01%	785
San Francisco Sheriff's Dept.	1,789	2,111	322	18.0%	12.3%	42.32%	1,107
Riverside Sheriff's Dept.	2,994	3,287	293	9.8%	11.2%	53.52%	1,400
Ventura Sheriff's Dept.	1,270	1,441	171	13.5%	6.5%	60.05%	1,571
San Bernardino Sheriff's Dept.	4,960	5,122	162	3.3%	6.2%	66.25%	1,733
Stanislaus Sheriff's Dept.	1,064	1,139	75	7.0%	2.9%	69.11%	1,808
Tulare Sheriff's Dept.	1,180	1,252	72	6.1%	2.8%	71.87%	1,880
San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Dept.	343	411	68	19.8%	2.6%	74.46%	1,948
Santa Cruz Sheriff's Dept.	522	590	68	13.0%	2.6%	77.06%	2,016
Merced Sheriff's Dept.	570	635	65	11.4%	2.5%	79.55%	2,081
San Diego Sheriff's Dept.	4,620	4,683	63	1.4%	2.4%	81.96%	2,144
Solano Sheriff's Dept.	998	1,057	59	5.9%	2.3%	84.21%	2,203
El Dorado Sheriff's Dept.	256	302	46	18.0%	1.8%	85.97%	2,249
Butte Sheriff's Dept.	449	483	34	7.6%	1.3%	87.27%	2,283
Yuba Sheriff's Dept.	401	430	29	7.2%	1.1%	88.38%	2,312
Sutter Sheriff's Dept.	233	261	28	12.0%	1.1%	89.45%	2,340
Santa Barbara Sheriff's Dept.	843	870	27	3.2%	1.0%	90.48%	2,367
Inyo Sheriff's Dept.	50	72	22	44.0%	0.8%	91.32%	2,389
Kern Sheriff's Dept.	2,221	2,243	22	1.0%	0.8%	92.16%	2,411
Madera Corrections Dept.	314	334	20	6.4%	0.8%	92.93%	2,431
Lassen Sheriff's Dept.	109	128	19	17.4%	0.7%	93.65%	2,450
Amador Sheriff's Dept.	62	80	18	29.0%	0.7%	94.34%	2,468
Nevada Sheriff's Dept.	123	140	17	13.8%	0.6%	94.99%	2,485
Trinity Sheriff's Dept.	30	46	16	53.3%	0.6%	95.60%	2,501
Yolo Sheriff's Dept.	409	424	15	3.7%	0.6%	96.18%	2,516
Calaveras Sheriff's Dept.	60	74	14	23.3%	0.5%	96.71%	2,530
Placer Sheriff's Dept.	473	486	13	2.7%	0.5%	97.21%	2,543
San Diego Work Furlough	191	203	12	6.3%	0.5%	97.67%	2,555
Napa Corrections Dept.	219	230	11	5.0%	0.4%	98.09%	2,566
Mariposa Sheriff's Dept.	38	48	10	26.3%	0.4%	98.47%	2,576
Tuolumne Sheriff's Dept.	122	131	9	7.4%	0.3%	98.81%	2,585
Mono Sheriff's Dept.	19	27	8	42.1%	0.3%	99.12%	2,593
San Benito Sheriff's Dept.	103	110	7	6.8%	0.3%	99.39%	2,600
Contra Costa Sheriff's Dept.	1,745	1,751	6	0.3%	0.2%	99.62%	2,606
Shasta Sheriff's Dept.	390	394	4	1.0%	0.2%	99.77%	2,610
Colusa Sheriff's Dept.	47	51	4	8.5%	0.2%	99.92%	2,614
Lake Sheriff's Dept.	191	193	2	1.0%	0.1%	100.00%	2,616
Sierra Sheriff's Dept.	4	3	-1	-25.0%	0.1%	0.11%	-1
Plumas Sheriff's Dept.	52	50	-2	-3.8%	0.2%	0.33%	-3
Siskiyou Sheriff's Dept.	93	87	-6	-6.5%	0.7%	0.99%	-9
Kings Sheriff's Dept.	301	294	-7	-2.3%	0.8%	1.77%	-16
Glenn Sheriff's Dept.	84	76	-8	-9.5%	0.9%	2.65%	-24
Marin Sheriff's Dept.	277	268	-9	-3.2%	1.0%	3.65%	-33
Del Norte Sheriff's Dept.	112	102	-10	-8.9%	1.1%	4.75%	-43
Humboldt Sheriff's Dept.	366	354	-12	-3.3%	1.3%	6.08%	-55
Modoc Sheriff's Dept.	37	23	-14	-37.8%	1.5%	7.62%	-69
Tehama Sheriff's Dept.	193	178	-15	-7.8%	1.7%	9.28%	-84
Oakland Police Dept.	131	115	-16	-12.2%	1.8%	11.05%	-100
Ventura Work Furlough	102	82	-20	-19.6%	2.2%	13.26%	-120
Monterey Sheriff's Dept.	997	975	-22	-2.2%	2.4%	15.69%	-142
Scapular House	187	163	-24	-12.8%	2.7%	18.34%	-166
Mendocino Sheriff's Dept.	268	243	-25	-9.3%	2.8%	21.10%	-191
San Joaquin Sheriff's Dept.	1,232	1,207	-26	-2.0%	2.8%	23.87%	-216
Santa Clara Probation Dept.	245	218	-27	-11.0%	3.0%	26.85%	-243
Imperial Sheriff's Dept.	448	418	-30	-6.7%	3.3%	30.17%	-273
Sonoma Sheriff's Dept.	1,027	992	-35	-3.4%	3.9%	34.03%	-308
San Mateo Sheriff's Dept.	1,066	1,025	-41	-3.8%	4.5%	38.56%	-349
Santa Clara Corrections Dept.	3,982	3,931	-51	-1.3%	5.6%	44.20%	-400
Santa Ana Police Dept.	388	335	-53	-13.7%	5.9%	50.06%	-453
Fresno Sheriff's Dept.	2,309	2,216	-93	-4.0%	10.3%	60.33%	-546
Los Angeles Sheriff's Dept.	19,162	19,013	-149	-0.8%	16.5%	76.80%	-695
Sacramento Sheriff's Dept.	3,332	3,122	-210	-6.3%	23.2%	100.00%	-905
	73,835	75,546	1,711				
		Total Increase:	2,616				
		Total Decrease:	-905				

Note: Totals subject to slight variation due to rounding

Interpretation of Table:
JAIL POPULATIONS: 3rd Quarter '01 versus 3rd Quarter '02

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 63 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column B);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column A);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column C);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column D);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column G); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 2,616) and "total decrease" in ADP (-905). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 2,616 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 905 ADP. Subtracting 2,616 from 905 produces the overall increase of 1711 between the second quarter of 2001 and the second quarter of 2002.
2. The Orange Sheriff's ADP increase of 462 is 17.7% of the total increase of 2,616. Thirty-eight jurisdictions had increases (down to Lake Sheriff's Department). When you get to Lake Sheriff's Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 2,616).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Sierra Sheriff's Department to Sacramento Sheriff's Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 905 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Orange Sheriff's Department to Riverside Sheriff's Department) is 53.5%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for about 54% of the total ADP increase. Three jurisdictions (Sacramento, Los Angeles and Fresno Sheriff's Departments) account for about 50% of the decreases.