

JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

**2001, 2nd QUARTER
SURVEY RESULTS**

FACILITIES STANDARDS AND OPERATIONS DIVISION

BOARD OF CORRECTIONS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
600 Berçut Drive
Sacramento, CA 95814-0185
(916) 445-5073
www.bdcorr.ca.gov

JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

EXPLANATION OF RESULTS

The following pages contain the Jail Profile Survey results for the second quarter of 2001. Page 1 contains the quarterly totals based upon the monthly and quarterly data submitted to us by the participating jurisdictions. Page 2 and 3 show the trend data for variables we have been tracking for the last ten years. The remainder of this page provides explanations and clarifications of the data presented.

Page 1

- Unless otherwise noted, "projected totals" are based upon the average per day for the variable in question. For example, there were an average of 74,564 inmates per day in local jails (excluding Type I's) during the three-month span of April to June 2001.
- For some variables (other than ADP), we did not receive data from all jurisdictions. We compute the percentage of the ADP that was housed in the jurisdictions that did supply their data for the variable in question. Next, we increase the received number for the variable in question by an amount that estimates the statewide total. For example, if 90% of the state's ADP was housed in jurisdictions that provided us with the number of Three Strike inmates, we would increase that number by 1/9th to estimate the state total.
- The number for "Bookings" is the average of the monthly totals for April, May and June.
- For the following variables, the numbers presented are the totals as of the mid-quarter (i.e., May 15, 2001); Pretrial Release, Early Release, 2 and 3 Strikes, Felony Warrants, Misdemeanor Warrants, Juveniles in Custody and Undocumented Aliens.
- Assaults is the total number of serious inmate assaults on staff (resulting in a crime report), statewide, during the second quarter of 2001.

Pages 2 and 3

- The data in the 10-Year Summary tables are based upon survey data which the Board has gathered since the early 1980's.
- Prior to 1995, yearly averages were reported to the Board on an annual basis. Yearly averages from 1996 on have been the average across the 12 calendar months. In 1995, the yearly figure reported is the fourth quarter average, since we do not have data from the first three quarters.

- a. The Average Daily Population in all Jurisdictions for the days covered by the report.
- b. Unless otherwise indicated, the numbers reported are the average across the number of days covered by the report.
- c. The sum "Under the Roof" is the sum of the Average Daily Population plus the inmates in Holding Areas.
- d. Grand Totals may not be exact sum of the subtotals due to rounding.
- e. The Highest One Day Immate Population count across All California Jurisdictions.
- f. This number is the average for the quarters represented by the span of time covered by this report.
- g. Total at end of quarter.

JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

BOARD OF CORRECTIONS

Inmates From Other Jurisdictions Other Jail Profile Survey Variables

Released Per Month Due to Lack of Space		Pretrial Release	Early Release	Total
5,234	9,509	14,743	14,743	Total
3,301	3,301	3 Strike Inmates	3 Strike Inmates	Total
1,741	1,741	Unserved Warrants	Unserved Warrants	Total
5,042	5,042	Felony Warrants	Felony Warrants	Total
1,956,977	1,956,977	Misdemeanor Warrants	Misdemeanor Warrants	Total
2,206,967	2,206,967			

County Jail Populations

Special Use Beds	Medical Attention Required	Mental Health Attention Required	Medical Beds	Mental Health Beds	3,040
6,994					898
5,032					986
6	994	5,032	898	6,994	3,040


County jail populations

ADP a	Holding Areas	1,138	Average "Under the Roof"	75,702	HighestOneDayCount	78,051
-------	---------------	-------	--------------------------	--------	--------------------	--------

52.812

Felony	52,812	Misdemeanor	21,624	Unspecified	128
Total	74,564	Non-Felony	128	Non-Sentenced	39,793
Non-Sentenced Males	39,793	Non-Sentenced Females	5,254	Sentenced Males	25,295
Non-Sentenced Females	5,254	Sentenced Females	4,222	Total	74,564
Total	74,564	Maximum Security Inmates	32,595	Medium Security Inmates	22,351
Total	74,564	Medium Security Inmates	19,618	Minimum Security Inmates	14,564
Total	74,564	Total	74,564	Total	74,564

Inmates From Other Jurisdictions




Jail Profile Survey

2001

Average Daily Population


10 Year Summary										
	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
2000	68,923	70,853	67,576	69,233	71,107	72,007	76,894	79,143	76,311	74,851
2001										74,394



The ADP for the second quarter of 2001 was 74,564, not much of an increase from the first quarter's ADP of 74,221. After reaching a high of nearly 80,000 in 1998, it is can be concluded that we are in the midst of a "plateau" with the local jails' ADP. With limited construction funds available to the adult jail system, there are simply no more beds available in the system to support a greater overall ADP.

Non-sentenced ADP


10 Year Summary										
	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
2000	36,064	38,110	35,899	39,122	42,237	42,539	44,593	45,303	44,492	44,922
2001										44,982



The ADP of non-sentenced inmates in the second quarter of 2001 was 45,047, an insignificant increase from the first quarter of 2001. Although the change between quarters was insignificant, the number of non-sentenced inmates in local jails has been rising over the past few years, with a peak of 45,303 in 1998. Because of the ramifications of an increased non-sentenced population, this trend will need to be continually monitored.

Sentenced ADP

10 Year Summary										
	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
2000	32,859	32,743	31,677	30,142	28,870	29,468	32,301	33,841	31,819	29,929
2001										29,412



In an indirect relationship with the non-sentenced ADP, the ADP of sentenced inmates had been decreasing since 1998. In the second quarter of 2001, however, the ADP of sentenced inmates increased by nearly 200 inmates. This increase may be correlated with the 13% decrease in early releases from a sentence from the first to the second quarter of 2001. Past data indicates that the fluctuation in this figure may indeed be related to the number of early releases.


Jail Profile Survey

2001

Percentage Non-sentenced

10 Year Summary

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2000	61%	59%	60%	60%	60%
2001	61%	60%			60%




In the second quarter of 2001, the percentage of non-sentenced inmates was 60%. This figure remains virtually unchanged since 2000. This percentage had remained fairly consistent over the past year, as has the ADP of non-sentenced inmates. This trend may continue as jails' ADP remain at a plateau.

Average Number of Persons Booked Per Month

10 Year Summary

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2000	99,151	98,852	101,528	92,896	98,102
2001	96,424	97,492			96,961

During the second quarter of 2001, the average number of persons booked per month was 97,492. This represents a slight increase from the first quarter of 2001. In the past, these results have been inconsistent.





Average Length Of Stay

10 Year Summary

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2000	23.0	23.1	22.7	24.4	23.3
2001	23.6	23.4			23.5

The average length of stay, 23.4 days in the second quarter of 2001, has remained fairly consistent since 1997. Although the average length of stay has increased overall since 1991, this consistency will continue to be monitored, especially with relation to the increase in non-sentenced inmates.



Average Daily Population

Non-sentenced / Sentenced Male / Female California County Jails - For Month Of April - June 2001

Jurisdiction	Non-sentenced			Sentenced			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total								
1. Alameda Sheriff's Dept.	2,594	91%	270	9%	2,864	76%	779	87%	121	13%	900	24%	3,764	
2. Amador Sheriff's Dept.	24	78%	7	22%	31	54%	21	83%	4	17%	26	46%	56	
3. Butte Sheriff's Dept.	276	88%	38	12%	314	64%	156	87%	22	13%	178	36%	492	
4. Calaveras Sheriff's Dept.	24	92%	2	8%	26	49%	25	93%	2	7%	27	51%	53	
5. Colusa Sheriff's Dept.	14	86%	2	14%	16	39%	22	85%	4	15%	26	61%	42	
6. Contra Costa Sheriff's Dept.	1,019	88%	143	12%	1,161	66%	546	92%	49	8%	595	34%	1,757	
7. Del Norte Sheriff's Dept.	69	89%	8	11%	78	63%	38	84%	7	16%	46	37%	123	
8. El Dorado Sheriff's Dept.	100	89%	13	11%	112	45%	113	82%	25	18%	138	55%	251	
9. Fresno Sheriff's Dept.	1,709	91%	175	9%	1,884	80%	417	87%	60	13%	476	20%	2,361	
10. Glenn Sheriff's Dept.	74	86%	12	14%	86	92%	7	91%	1	9%	8	8%	94	
11. Humboldt Sheriff's Dept.	204	88%	28	12%	232	61%	129	87%	19	13%	148	39%	380	
12. Imperial Sheriff's Dept.	292	94%	18	6%	310	62%	181	94%	11	6%	191	38%	501	
13. Inyo Sheriff's Dept.	23	84%	4	16%	28	51%	22	84%	4	16%	26	49%	54	
14. Kern Sheriff's Dept.	1,408	90%	154	10%	1,562	68%	521	71%	211	29%	732	32%	2,294	
15. Kings Sheriff's Dept.	115	84%	22	16%	136	42%	148	79%	39	21%	187	58%	324	
16. Lake Sheriff's Dept.	69	70%	30	30%	99	45%	103	84%	19	16%	123	55%	222	
17. Lassen Sheriff's Dept.	36	78%	10	22%	46	39%	58	83%	12	17%	70	61%	116	
18. Los Angeles Sheriff's Dept.	9,848	89%	1,232	11%	11,080	58%	7,033	87%	1,080	13%	8,114	42%	19,194	
19. Madera Corrections Dept.	175	88%	23	12%	198	66%	93	92%	8	8%	101	34%	299	
20. Marin Sheriff's Dept.	206	87%	32	13%	238	84%	36	81%	8	19%	44	16%	282	
21. Mariposa Sheriff's Dept.	14	86%	2	14%	17	44%	19	91%	2	9%	21	56%	38	
22. Mendocino Sheriff's Dept.	131	88%	18	12%	149	57%	97	86%	15	14%	113	43%	261	
23. Merced Sheriff's Dept.	361	90%	40	10%	401	70%	155	90%	17	10%	172	30%	573	
24. Modoc Sheriff's Dept.	17	81%	4	19%	21	61%	10	77%	3	23%	13	39%	34	
25. Mono Sheriff's Dept.	7	70%	3	30%	10	42%	8	57%	6	43%	14	58%	24	
26. Monterey Sheriff's Dept.	438	88%	58	12%	496	51%	421	89%	52	11%	473	49%	970	
27. Napa Corrections Dept.	45	89%	6	11%	51	23%	150	90%	17	10%	168	77%	219	
28. Nevada Sheriff's Dept.	70	92%	6	8%	76	54%	53	83%	11	17%	64	46%	140	
29. Oakland Police Dept.	96	81%	22	19%	118	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	118	
30. Orange Sheriff's Dept.	1,733	89%	216	11%	1,949	44%	2,101	86%	337	14%	2,438	56%	4,387	
31. Placer Sheriff's Dept.	209	90%	24	10%	233	53%	169	83%	35	17%	204	47%	437	
32. Plumas Sheriff's Dept.	22	81%	5	19%	27	57%	17	85%	3	15%	20	43%	47	
33. Riverside Sheriff's Dept.	1,668	90%	183	10%	1,851	66%	820	86%	139	14%	959	34%	2,810	
34. Sacramento Sheriff's Dept.	1,468	86%	239	14%	1,707	52%	1,370	89%	175	11%	1,544	48%	3,251	
35. San Benito Sheriff's Dept.	57	86%	9	14%	67	64%	32	85%	6	15%	38	36%	104	

Average Daily Population

Non-sentenced / Sentenced Male / Female California County Jails - For Month Of April - June 2001

Non-sentenced							Sentenced							#
Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	%	Male	Female	Total	%	Male	Female	Total	%
39,793	88%	5,254	12%	45,047	60%	25,295	86%	4,222	14%	29,518	40%	74,564	12%	408
Statewide														
36.	San Bernardino Sheriff's Dept.	3,210	87%	464	13%	3,674	72%	1,170	81%	282	19%	1,452	28%	5,126
37.	San Diego Probation Dept.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	153	100%	0	0%	153	100%	153
38.	San Diego Sheriff's Dept.	2,243	85%	404	15%	2,647	58%	1,609	83%	330	17%	1,939	42%	4,586
39.	San Diego WorkFurlough	9	100%	0	0%	9	4%	169	89%	20	11%	189	96%	198
40.	San Francisco Sheriff's Dept.	1,425	83%	203	12%	1,628	91%	140	85%	24	15%	165	9%	1,793
41.	San Joaquin Sheriff's Dept.	521	87%	77	13%	598	47%	560	84%	108	16%	668	53%	1,266
42.	San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Dept.	106	85%	18	15%	125	36%	190	84%	36	16%	226	64%	350
43.	San Mateo Sheriff's Dept.	491	91%	47	9%	537	49%	470	84%	92	16%	562	51%	1,100
44.	Santa Ana Police Dept.	331	90%	37	10%	368	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	0%	369
45.	Santa Barbara Sheriff's Dept.	432	87%	64	13%	496	56%	336	87%	49	13%	386	44%	881
46.	Santa Clara Corrections Dept.	2,328	90%	252	10%	2,580	63%	1,350	88%	180	12%	1,529	37%	4,109
47.	Santa Clara Probation Dept.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	200	80%	49	20%	249	100%	249
48.	Santa Cruz Sheriff's Dept.	249	91%	24	9%	272	46%	283	89%	36	11%	318	54%	591
49.	Scapular House	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	181	90%	20	10%	201	100%	201
50.	Shasta Sheriff's Dept.	190	84%	35	16%	226	59%	131	84%	25	16%	156	41%	381
51.	Sierra Sheriff's Dept.	4	100%	0	0%	4	68%	2	100%	0	0%	2	32%	6
52.	Siskiyou Sheriff's Dept.	48	93%	4	7%	52	58%	29	79%	8	21%	37	42%	89
53.	Solano Sheriff's Dept.	548	84%	104	16%	651	69%	256	87%	38	13%	294	31%	945
54.	Sonoma Sheriff's Dept.	462	87%	68	13%	530	49%	481	87%	70	13%	551	51%	1,081
55.	Stanislaus Sheriff's Dept.	546	88%	76	12%	621	60%	343	81%	79	19%	422	40%	1,044
56.	Sutter Sheriff's Dept.	115	87%	17	13%	132	62%	70	85%	12	15%	82	38%	213
57.	Tehama Sheriff's Dept.	92	88%	13	12%	105	57%	70	86%	11	14%	81	43%	186
58.	Trinity Sheriff's Dept.	20	84%	4	16%	24	72%	7	79%	2	21%	9	28%	33
59.	Tulare Sheriff's Dept.	578	90%	66	10%	644	54%	475	86%	77	14%	552	46%	1,196
60.	Tuolumne Sheriff's Dept.	43	88%	6	12%	48	40%	60	84%	12	16%	72	60%	120
61.	Ventura Sheriff's Dept.	659	88%	90	12%	749	57%	488	86%	81	14%	569	43%	1,318
62.	Ventura Work Furlough	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	83	73%	30	27%	114	100%	114
63.	Yolo Sheriff's Dept.	244	82%	52	18%	295	76%	75	81%	18	19%	93	24%	388
64.	Yuba Sheriff's Dept.	288	80%	71	20%	358	88%	41	81%	9	19%	50	12%	408

Jail Populations: Quarter 2, 2000 Versus Quarter 2, 2001

Interpretation of Table:
JAIL POPULATIONS: 2nd Quarter '00 versus 2nd Quarter '01

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 64 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column B);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column A);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column C);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column D);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column G); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case - 970) and "total decrease" in ADP (3,261). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 970 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 3,261 ADP. Subtracting 970 from 3,261 produces the overall decrease of 2,291 between the second quarter of 2000 and the second quarter of 2001.
2. The Riverside ADP increase of 170 is 6.4% of the total increase of 970. Twenty-seven jurisdictions had increases (down to Sierra Sheriff's Department) with two jurisdictions reporting no increase. When you get to Yolo Sheriff's Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 970).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Department to Kern Sheriff's Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 3,261 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Riverside Sheriff's Department to Sacramento Sheriff's Department) is 58.5%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for about 59% of the total ADP increase. Three jurisdictions (Alameda, Orange and Kern Sheriff's Departments) account for about 61% of the decreases.