Data Caveats & Limitations

The following caveats and limitations have been identified for the Juvenile Detention Profile Survey data:

- Source data can be queried at http://app.bscc.ca.gov/joq/jds/QuerySelection.asp
- We make every effort to verify the submitted data to ensure its accuracy, but it is ultimately up to the jurisdictions (counties) to report reliable data.
- Due to rounding, numbers and percentages presented may not add up precisely to the totals provided.
- We do not collect details on policies that jurisdictions enforce that might influence these data.
- A number of juvenile facilities have opened and closed from 2002 through 2019. These changes might affect how the data are represented.
 - Inyo County: Inyo County's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall (SPJH) in January 2017.
 An SPJH is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Facility-level data of provided of SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document.
 - o Lassen County: Lassen County's Juvenile Detention Facility closed in July 2019.
 - Mariposa County: Mariposa County operates a SPJH. Facility-level data provided of SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document.
 - Mono County: Mono County operated a SPJH between 2002 and March 2014. Facility-level data provided of SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document.
 - Plumas County: Plumas County operated a SPJH between 2002 and March 2008. Facility-level data provided of SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document.
 - Siskiyou County: Siskiyou County's Juvenile Hall converted to a SPJH in February 2018. Facility-level data provided of SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document.
 - o Trinity County: Trinity County's Juvenile Hall converted to a SPJH in August 2014. Facility-level data provided of

Table 1. Statewide Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | А | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|---------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 11129.4 | 3548.3 | 32% | 7579.4 | 68% | 11112.8 | 3878.5 | 35% | 7234.3 | 65% |
| 2003 | 10918.8 | 3490.7 | 32% | 7428.4 | 68% | 10917.8 | 3706.3 | 34% | 7211.5 | 66% |
| 2004 | 10642.5 | 3799.5 | 36% | 6843.0 | 64% | 10663.4 | 3493.8 | 33% | 7169.7 | 67% |
| 2005 | 10916.5 | 3991.0 | 37% | 6924.4 | 63% | 10816.6 | 3637.8 | 34% | 7178.8 | 66% |
| 2006 | 11271.8 | 4201.7 | 37% | 7068.6 | 63% | 11062.8 | 3556.8 | 32% | 7506.0 | 68% |
| 2007 | 11138.5 | 4189.0 | 38% | 6947.9 | 62% | 10924.5 | 3271.3 | 30% | 7653.3 | 70% |
| 2008 | 10951.7 | 3816.3 | 35% | 7136.0 | 65% | 10781.0 | 3150.8 | 29% | 7630.3 | 71% |
| 2009 | 10040.1 | 3472.4 | 35% | 6569.6 | 65% | 9929.7 | 2832.8 | 29% | 7096.9 | 71% |
| 2010 | 9100.0 | 3036.9 | 33% | 6061.8 | 67% | 9081.4 | 2711.7 | 30% | 6369.7 | 70% |
| 2011 | 8244.8 | 2622.4 | 32% | 5621.0 | 68% | 8144.3 | 2440.8 | 30% | 5703.5 | 70% |
| 2012 | 7360.3 | 2377.5 | 32% | 4981.5 | 68% | 7345.9 | 2222.9 | 30% | 5123.0 | 70% |
| 2013 | 6628.3 | 2116.9 | 32% | 4510.9 | 68% | 6673.5 | 2080.2 | 31% | 4593.3 | 69% |
| 2014 | 5781.3 | 1952.7 | 34% | 3827.8 | 66% | 5787.6 | 1905.3 | 33% | 3882.3 | 67% |
| 2015 | 5306.8 | 1963.7 | 37% | 3342.9 | 63% | 5357.1 | 1956.3 | 37% | 3400.9 | 63% |
| 2016 | 4695.3 | 1790.3 | 38% | 2904.3 | 62% | 4687.3 | 1542.1 | 33% | 3145.3 | 67% |
| 2017 | 4466.3 | 1756.8 | 39% | 2707.1 | 61% | 4464.3 | 1403.1 | 31% | 3061.2 | 69% |
| 2018 | 4012.6 | 1661.1 | 41% | 2349.9 | 59% | 3999.0 | 1093.9 | 27% | 2905.1 | 73% |
| 2019 | 3601.2 | 1603.1 | 45% | 1998.9 | 56% | 3621.6 | 941.3 | 26% | 2680.2 | 74% |

Table 2. Statewide Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | _ | Α | verage Daily | Populatio | n | _ | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|--------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misden | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 6573.1 | 3547.5 | 54% | 3025.2 | 46% | 6557.0 | 2363.3 | 36% | 4193.8 | 64% |
| 2003 | 6448.9 | 3489.8 | 54% | 2959.6 | 46% | 6406.8 | 2234.0 | 35% | 4172.8 | 65% |
| 2004 | 6460.1 | 3798.9 | 59% | 2661.6 | 41% | 6439.8 | 2196.8 | 34% | 4242.9 | 66% |
| 2005 | 6818.8 | 3990.5 | 59% | 2827.6 | 41% | 6715.1 | 2379.3 | 35% | 4335.8 | 65% |
| 2006 | 7018.8 | 4201.1 | 60% | 2816.7 | 40% | 6846.3 | 2261.4 | 33% | 4584.9 | 67% |
| 2007 | 6859.7 | 4188.5 | 61% | 2670.4 | 39% | 6668.3 | 1986.5 | 30% | 4681.8 | 70% |
| 2008 | 6614.5 | 3815.9 | 58% | 2798.1 | 42% | 6367.2 | 1881.0 | 30% | 4486.2 | 70% |
| 2009 | 6200.3 | 3472.0 | 56% | 2727.1 | 44% | 6015.8 | 1833.2 | 30% | 4182.6 | 70% |
| 2010 | 5724.3 | 3036.6 | 53% | 2687.2 | 47% | 5583.9 | 1890.3 | 34% | 3693.7 | 66% |
| 2011 | 5139.6 | 2622.3 | 51% | 2515.9 | 49% | 5002.9 | 1722.3 | 34% | 3280.6 | 66% |
| 2012 | 4548.8 | 2377.4 | 52% | 2170.7 | 48% | 4517.8 | 1569.5 | 35% | 2948.3 | 65% |
| 2013 | 4103.7 | 2116.5 | 52% | 1987.2 | 48% | 4132.8 | 1445.1 | 35% | 2687.8 | 65% |
| 2014 | 3640.0 | 1952.6 | 54% | 1687.1 | 46% | 3576.8 | 1341.1 | 37% | 2235.8 | 63% |
| 2015 | 3407.6 | 1963.4 | 58% | 1444.4 | 42% | 3389.1 | 1381.3 | 41% | 2007.7 | 59% |
| 2016 | 3031.0 | 1789.7 | 59% | 1240.7 | 41% | 2973.5 | 1091.8 | 37% | 1881.8 | 63% |
| 2017 | 2912.1 | 1756.7 | 60% | 1154.5 | 40% | 2887.4 | 951.8 | 33% | 1935.6 | 67% |
| 2018 | 2670.1 | 1661.0 | 62% | 1009.0 | 38% | 2641.8 | 776.8 | 29% | 1865.0 | 71% |
| 2019 | 2429.1 | 1603.1 | 66% | 826.9 | 34% | 2416.6 | 681.2 | 28% | 1735.3 | 72% |

Table 3. Statewide Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | | Average Daily | y Populatio | n | <u> </u> | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | amps/Rar | nches only | 4554.0 | 100% | 4553.7 | 1513.8 | 33% | 3039.9 | 67% |
| 2003 | house Pos | • | • | 4468.8 | 100% | 4509.7 | 1471.5 | 33% | 3038.2 | 67% |
| 2004 | juveniles, | therefore t | heir Total | 4181.4 | 100% | 4222.4 | 1296.0 | 31% | 2926.4 | 69% |
| 2005 | | • | ed of Post- | 4096.8 | 100% | 4100.8 | 1258.3 | 31% | 2842.6 | 69% |
| 2006 | Disposition | n juveniles | | 4251.9 | 100% | 4215.9 | 1294.8 | 31% | 2921.1 | 69% |
| 2007 | | | | 4277.5 | 100% | 4255.3 | 1284.2 | 30% | 2971.2 | 70% |
| 2008 | | | | 4337.8 | 100% | 4413.8 | 1269.7 | 29% | 3144.1 | 71% |
| 2009 | | | | 3842.5 | 100% | 3913.9 | 999.6 | 26% | 2914.3 | 74% |
| 2010 | | | | 3374.6 | 100% | 3497.4 | 821.4 | 23% | 2676.0 | 77% |
| 2011 | | | | 3105.1 | 100% | 3141.3 | 718.4 | 23% | 2422.9 | 77% |
| 2012 | | | | 2810.9 | 100% | 2828.2 | 653.4 | 23% | 2174.8 | 77% |
| 2013 | | | | | 2523.7 | 100% | 2539.7 | 634.4 | 25% | 1905.3 |
| 2014 | | | | 2140.7 | 100% | 2210.6 | 564.2 | 26% | 1646.4 | 74% |
| 2015 | | | 1896.9 | 100% | 1967.2 | 574.2 | 29% | 1393.0 | 71% | |
| 2016 | | | | | 26% | 1262.6 | 74% | | | |
| 2017 | | | | 1550.2 | 100% | 1575.7 | 451.1 | 29% | 1124.6 | 71% |
| 2018 | | | | 1338.9 | 100% | 1355.9 | 316.4 | 23% | 1039.5 | 77% |
| 2019 | D !! D !! | · (ADD) | | 1169.0 | 100% | 1202.7 | 257.9 | 21% | 944.8 | 79% |

Figure 1.
Statewide ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 2. Population of Statewide Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 3.
Statewide Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1




Table 4. Combined Juvenile Facility Populations by County and Pre-Disposition, Post-Disposition, Misdemeanor, and Felony for the Third Quarter of 2019 1

| | | P | verage Daily | / Population | n | Snapshot | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|---------------------|---------|---------|--------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | ADP 3rd | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | AMP 3rd _ | Misder | meanor | Fel | ony |
| | Quarter | | | | _ | Quarter | | | | |
| County | 2019 | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | 2019 | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| Alameda | 73.8 | 42.8 | 58% | 30.9 | 42% | 76.3 | 18.7 | 24% | 57.7 | 76% |
| Butte | 24.7 | 7.7 | 31% | 17.0 | 69% | 23.7 | 0.0 | 0% | 23.7 | 100% |
| Contra Costa | 106.7 | 39.0 | 37% | 67.7 | 63% | 100.7 | 13.7 | 14% | 87.0 | 86% |
| Del Norte | 10.1 | 4.1 | 40% | 6.0 | 60% | 10.0 | 2.7 | 27% | 7.3 | 73% |
| El Dorado | 19.1 | 8.5 | 44% | 10.6 | 56% | 19.7 | 11.3 | 58% | 8.3 | 42% |
| Fresno | 183.7 | 67.3 | 37% | 116.3 | 63% | 178.7 | 27.3 | 15% | 151.3 | 85% |
| Humboldt | 14.3 | 14.0 | 98% | 0.3 | 2% | 14.7 | 5.3 | 36% | 9.3 | 64% |
| Imperial | 15.0 | 11.3 | 76% | 3.7 | 24% | 14.7 | 6.7 | 45% | 8.0 | 55% |
| Kern | 211.6 | 52.4 | 25% | 159.2 | 75% | 209.7 | 96.3 | 46% | 113.3 | 54% |
| Kings | 27.3 | 17.0 | 62% | 10.3 | 38% | 33.7 | 4.0 | 12% | 29.7 | 88% |
| Lassen ² | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Los Angeles | 883.7 | 436.3 | 49% | 447.3 | 51% | 901.0 | 216.3 | 24% | 684.7 | 76% |
| Madera | 43.3 | 12.7 | 29% | 30.7 | 71% | 43.3 | 24.7 | 57% | 18.7 | 43% |
| Marin | 15.2 | 4.1 | 27% | 11.1 | 73% | 8.0 | 6.3 | 79% | 1.7 | 21% |
| Mendocino | 13.7 | 8.3 | 61% | 5.3 | 39% | 13.7 | 6.0 | 44% | 7.7 | 56% |
| Merced | 32.5 | U^3 | U | U | U | 40.3 | 3.3 | 8% | 37.0 | 92% |
| Monterey | 67.7 | 25.3 | 37% | 42.3 | 63% | 58.7 | 9.3 | 16% | 49.3 | 84% |
| Napa | 18.3 | 8.7 | 47% | 9.7 | 53% | 18.0 | 10.0 | 56% | 8.0 | 44% |
| Nevada | 3.6 | 2.2 | 60% | 1.5 | 40% | 3.3 | 2.0 | 60% | 1.3 | 40% |
| Orange | 216.1 | 89.2 | 41% | 126.9 | 59% | 214.0 | 46.7 | 22% | 167.3 | 78% |
| Placer | 16.1 | 5.2 | 32% | 11.0 | 68% | 13.7 | 5.3 | 39% | 8.3 | 61% |
| Riverside | 155.3 | 32.4 | 21% | 122.9 | 79% | 152.7 | 20.7 | 14% | 132.0 | 86% |

Note. ² Lassen County's Juvenile Detention Facility closed in early July 2019. ³ U is defined as unavailable. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Table 4 (continued). Combined Juvenile Facility Populations by County and Pre-Disposition, Post-Disposition, Misdemeanor, and Felony for the Third Quarter of 2019 1

| | | P | verage Daily | Population | n | Snapshot | | One-Day S | Snapshot | |
|-----------------|---------|---------|--------------|------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|
| | ADP 3rd | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | AMP 3rd | Misder | meanor | Fel | ony |
| | Quarter | | | | | Quarter | | | | |
| County | 2019 | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | 2019 | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| Sacramento | 139.3 | 113.7 | 82% | 25.7 | 18% | 145.3 | 37.0 | 25% | 108.3 | 75% |
| San Benito | 5.6 | 4.1 | 74% | 1.5 | 26% | 5.0 | 1.0 | 20% | 4.0 | 80% |
| San Bernardino | 151.3 | 82.7 | 55% | 68.7 | 45% | 155.0 | 19.7 | 13% | 135.3 | 87% |
| San Diego | 254.7 | 106.3 | 42% | 148.3 | 58% | 258.7 | 37.3 | 14% | 221.3 | 86% |
| San Francisco | 31.7 | 14.7 | 46% | 17.0 | 54% | 29.0 | 10.7 | 37% | 18.3 | 63% |
| San Joaquin | 81.0 | 57.3 | 71% | 23.7 | 29% | 98.0 | 4.7 | 5% | 93.3 | 95% |
| San Luis Obispo | 23.2 | 8.2 | 36% | 14.9 | 64% | 22.3 | 8.7 | 39% | 13.7 | 61% |
| San Mateo | 50.3 | 34.0 | 68% | 16.3 | 32% | 52.3 | 16.0 | 31% | 36.3 | 69% |
| Santa Barbara | 52.7 | 13.3 | 25% | 39.3 | 75% | 60.3 | 5.0 | 8% | 55.3 | 92% |
| Santa Clara | 168.3 | 86.7 | 51% | 81.7 | 49% | 168.0 | 43.7 | 26% | 124.3 | 74% |
| Santa Cruz | 17.2 | 11.5 | 67% | 5.8 | 33% | 15.7 | 5.0 | 32% | 10.7 | 68% |
| Shasta | 34.0 | 19.3 | 57% | 14.7 | 43% | 34.7 | 11.7 | 34% | 23.0 | 66% |
| Solano | 43.7 | 23.0 | 53% | 20.7 | 47% | 43.7 | 10.3 | 24% | 33.3 | 76% |
| Sonoma | 53.5 | 15.9 | 30% | 37.5 | 70% | 52.7 | 32.0 | 61% | 20.7 | 39% |
| Stanislaus | 72.0 | 36.7 | 51% | 35.3 | 49% | 73.3 | 14.0 | 19% | 59.3 | 81% |
| Tehama | 8.5 | 2.6 | 31% | 5.8 | 69% | 5.0 | 2.0 | 40% | 3.0 | 60% |
| Tulare | 67.7 | 34.7 | 51% | 33.1 | 49% | 73.0 | 15.7 | 21% | 57.3 | 79% |
| Tuolumne | 7.7 | 1.7 | 22% | 6.0 | 78% | 7.7 | 2.7 | 35% | 5.0 | 65% |
| Ventura | 83.4 | 35.7 | 43% | 47.7 | 57% | 74.0 | 24.3 | 33% | 49.7 | 67% |
| Yolo | 12.8 | 4.6 | 36% | 8.1 | 64% | 2.7 | 1.0 | 38% | 1.7 | 63% |
| Yuba | 28.4 | 10.2 | 36% | 18.2 | 64% | 30.0 | 8.3 | 28% | 21.7 | 72% |

ALAMEDA COUNTY

Table 5. Alameda Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Α | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 327.9 | 173.6 | 53% | 154.3 | 47% | 328.7 | 188.6 | 57% | 140.1 | 43% |
| 2003 | 317.6 | 188.3 | 59% | 129.3 | 41% | 323.0 | 174.3 | 54% | 148.7 | 46% |
| 2004 | 332.7 | 200.1 | 60% | 132.6 | 40% | 346.2 | 183.8 | 53% | 162.4 | 47% |
| 2005 | 313.3 | 193.3 | 62% | 120.0 | 38% | 314.6 | 166.2 | 53% | 148.4 | 47% |
| 2006 | 323.5 | 186.0 | 57% | 137.5 | 43% | 284.1 | 130.0 | 46% | 154.1 | 54% |
| 2007 | 325.7 | 209.4 | 64% | 116.3 | 36% | 277.9 | 109.3 | 39% | 168.6 | 61% |
| 2008 | 358.6 | 187.7 | 52% | 170.9 | 48% | 261.7 | 91.5 | 35% | 170.2 | 65% |
| 2009 | 309.5 | 178.2 | 58% | 131.3 | 42% | 238.7 | 82.7 | 35% | 156.0 | 65% |
| 2010 | 283.8 | 164.2 | 58% | 119.6 | 42% | 206.8 | 60.3 | 29% | 146.5 | 71% |
| 2011 | 269.8 | 123.0 | 46% | 146.8 | 54% | 195.4 | 67.1 | 34% | 128.3 | 66% |
| 2012 | 251.1 | 90.0 | 36% | 161.1 | 64% | 249.1 | 108.8 | 44% | 140.3 | 56% |
| 2013 | 214.8 | 88.7 | 41% | 126.1 | 59% | 215.0 | 90.2 | 42% | 124.8 | 58% |
| 2014 | 198.4 | 88.9 | 45% | 109.5 | 55% | 197.5 | 70.8 | 36% | 126.7 | 64% |
| 2015 | 160.4 | 70.0 | 44% | 90.4 | 56% | 162.9 | 65.3 | 40% | 97.6 | 60% |
| 2016 | 105.4 | 52.2 | 49% | 53.2 | 50% | 107.3 | 40.5 | 38% | 66.8 | 62% |
| 2017 | 96.6 | 45.9 | 48% | 50.7 | 52% | 96.7 | 35.1 | 36% | 61.6 | 64% |
| 2018 | 82.2 | 40.7 | 49% | 41.5 | 50% | 82.4 | 28.1 | 34% | 54.3 | 66% |
| 2019 | 78.8 | 43.3 | 55% | 35.5 | 45% | 79.1 | 24.1 | 30% | 55.0 | 70% |

Table 6. Alameda Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Д | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 259.1 | 173.6 | 67% | 85.5 | 33% | 251.7 | 147.8 | 59% | 103.9 | 41% |
| 2003 | 242.9 | 188.3 | 78% | 54.6 | 22% | 234.1 | 125.3 | 54% | 108.8 | 46% |
| 2004 | 248.7 | 200.1 | 80% | 48.6 | 20% | 244.2 | 131.9 | 54% | 112.3 | 46% |
| 2005 | 238.4 | 193.3 | 81% | 45.1 | 19% | 237.2 | 128.0 | 54% | 109.2 | 46% |
| 2006 | 246.9 | 186.0 | 75% | 60.9 | 25% | 206.2 | 94.8 | 46% | 111.4 | 54% |
| 2007 | 254.9 | 209.4 | 82% | 45.5 | 18% | 202.1 | 82.7 | 41% | 119.4 | 59% |
| 2008 | 278.0 | 187.7 | 68% | 90.3 | 32% | 179.5 | 70.6 | 39% | 108.9 | 61% |
| 2009 | 245.3 | 178.2 | 73% | 67.1 | 27% | 174.3 | 62.8 | 36% | 111.5 | 64% |
| 2010 | 230.7 | 164.2 | 71% | 66.5 | 29% | 153.6 | 45.3 | 30% | 108.3 | 70% |
| 2011 | 219.8 | 123.0 | 56% | 96.8 | 44% | 143.6 | 45.3 | 32% | 98.3 | 68% |
| 2012 | 201.0 | 90.0 | 45% | 111.0 | 55% | 199.6 | 88.2 | 44% | 111.4 | 56% |
| 2013 | 174.8 | 88.7 | 51% | 86.1 | 49% | 173.5 | 72.0 | 41% | 101.5 | 59% |
| 2014 | 160.6 | 88.9 | 55% | 71.7 | 45% | 159.8 | 62.4 | 39% | 97.4 | 61% |
| 2015 | 132.8 | 70.0 | 53% | 62.8 | 47% | 135.5 | 57.4 | 42% | 78.1 | 58% |
| 2016 | 87.1 | 52.2 | 60% | 34.9 | 40% | 88.0 | 34.1 | 39% | 53.9 | 61% |
| 2017 | 78.2 | 45.9 | 59% | 32.3 | 41% | 77.2 | 30.1 | 39% | 47.1 | 61% |
| 2018 | 66.2 | 40.7 | 61% | 25.5 | 38% | 65.3 | 23.7 | 36% | 41.6 | 64% |
| 2019 | 65.2 | 43.3 | 67% | 21.9 | 34% | 64.7 | 20.8 | 32% | 43.9 | 68% |

Table 7. Alameda Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | | Average Daily | y Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | | | | |
|------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|-----|------|-----|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misden | neanor | Fel | ony | | | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | | | |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | :amns/Rar | nches only | 68.8 | 100% | 77.0 | 40.8 | 53% | 36.2 | 47% | | | |
| 2003 | house Pos | | | 74.8 | 100% | 88.8 | 49.0 | 55% | 39.8 | 45% | | | |
| 2004 | juveniles, | | | 84.0 | 100% | 101.9 | 51.8 | 51% | 50.1 | 49% | | | |
| 2005 | | | ed of Post- | 74.9 | 100% | 77.5 | 38.2 | 49% | 39.3 | 51% | | | |
| 2006 | Disposition | n juveniles | 3. | 76.6 | 100% | 78.0 | 35.3 | 45% | 42.7 | 55% | | | |
| 2007 | | | | 70.8 | 100% | 75.9 | 26.7 | 35% | 49.2 | 65% | | | |
| 2008 | | | | 80.6 | 100% | 82.2 | 20.9 | 25% | 61.3 | 75% | | | |
| 2009 | | | | 64.3 | 100% | 64.3 | 19.8 | 31% | 44.5 | 69% | | | |
| 2010 | | | | | 100% | 53.2 | 15.0 | 28% | 38.2 | 72% | | | |
| 2011 | | | | | 100% | 51.7 | 21.8 | 42% | 29.9 | 58% | | | |
| 2012 | | | | 50.1 | 100% | 49.5 | 20.7 | 42% | 28.8 | 58% | | | |
| 2013 | | | | 40.0 | 100% | 41.5 | 18.2 | 44% | 23.3 | 56% | | | |
| 2014 | | | | 37.8 | 100% | 37.6 | 8.3 | 22% | 29.3 | 78% | | | |
| 2015 | | | | 27.6 | 100% | 27.3 | 7.8 | 29% | 19.5 | 71% | | | |
| 2016 | | | | | | | | 100% | 19.3 | 6.4 | 33% | 12.9 | 67% |
| 2017 | | | | 18.5 | 100% | 19.5 | 5.0 | 26% | 14.5 | 74% | | | |
| 2018 | | | | 16.0 | 100% | 17.1 | 4.4 | 26% | 12.7 | 74% | | | |
| 2019 | | | | 13.6 | 100% | 14.4 | 3.3 | 23% | 11.1 | 77% | | | |

Figure 4.

Alameda ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 5.

Population of Alameda Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







BUTTE COUNTY

Table 8. Butte Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | A | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 58.8 | 45.1 | 77% | 13.7 | 23% | 59.0 | 27.3 | 46% | 31.7 | 54% |
| 2003 | 57.3 | 31.8 | 55% | 25.5 | 45% | 56.2 | 14.8 | 26% | 41.4 | 74% |
| 2004 | 57.5 | 32.7 | 57% | 24.8 | 43% | 57.5 | 3.2 | 6% | 54.3 | 94% |
| 2005 | 55.1 | 31.3 | 57% | 23.8 | 43% | 55.3 | 2.2 | 4% | 53.1 | 96% |
| 2006 | 57.7 | 31.7 | 55% | 26.0 | 45% | 57.6 | 1.3 | 2% | 56.3 | 98% |
| 2007 | 54.9 | 30.8 | 56% | 24.1 | 44% | 55.0 | 0.8 | 1% | 54.2 | 99% |
| 2008 | 51.9 | 30.9 | 60% | 21.0 | 40% | 51.9 | 0.9 | 2% | 51.0 | 98% |
| 2009 | 49.4 | 27.0 | 55% | 22.4 | 45% | 49.4 | 0.4 | 1% | 49.0 | 99% |
| 2010 | 50.5 | 25.5 | 50% | 25.0 | 50% | 51.4 | 1.6 | 3% | 49.8 | 97% |
| 2011 | 48.2 | 24.7 | 51% | 23.5 | 49% | 48.1 | 1.8 | 4% | 46.3 | 96% |
| 2012 | 43.6 | 21.4 | 49% | 22.2 | 51% | 43.6 | 5.7 | 13% | 37.9 | 87% |
| 2013 | 40.5 | 19.8 | 49% | 20.7 | 51% | 40.5 | 0.6 | 1% | 39.9 | 99% |
| 2014 | 32.9 | 12.3 | 37% | 20.6 | 63% | 33.4 | 1.1 | 3% | 32.3 | 97% |
| 2015 | 30.4 | 13.1 | 43% | 17.3 | 57% | 30.2 | 0.4 | 1% | 29.8 | 99% |
| 2016 | 27.1 | 9.6 | 35% | 17.5 | 65% | 27.5 | 0.2 | 1% | 27.3 | 99% |
| 2017 | 33.8 | 9.6 | 28% | 24.2 | 72% | 33.1 | 0.2 | 1% | 32.9 | 99% |
| 2018 | 27.0 | 7.6 | 28% | 19.4 | 72% | 25.2 | 0.1 | 0% | 25.1 | 100% |
| 2019 | 23.4 | 8.6 | 37% | 14.8 | 63% | 23.0 | 0.1 | 0% | 22.9 | 100% |

Table 9. Butte Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | A | verage Daily | / Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 58.8 | 45.1 | 77% | 13.7 | 23% | 59.0 | 27.3 | 46% | 31.7 | 54% |
| 2003 | 57.3 | 31.8 | 55% | 25.5 | 45% | 56.2 | 14.8 | 26% | 41.4 | 74% |
| 2004 | 57.5 | 32.7 | 57% | 24.8 | 43% | 57.5 | 3.2 | 6% | 54.3 | 94% |
| 2005 | 55.1 | 31.3 | 57% | 23.8 | 43% | 55.3 | 2.2 | 4% | 53.1 | 96% |
| 2006 | 57.7 | 31.7 | 55% | 26.0 | 45% | 57.6 | 1.3 | 2% | 56.3 | 98% |
| 2007 | 54.9 | 30.8 | 56% | 24.1 | 44% | 55.0 | 0.8 | 1% | 54.2 | 99% |
| 2008 | 51.9 | 30.9 | 60% | 21.0 | 40% | 51.9 | 0.9 | 2% | 51.0 | 98% |
| 2009 | 49.4 | 27.0 | 55% | 22.4 | 45% | 49.4 | 0.4 | 1% | 49.0 | 99% |
| 2010 | 50.5 | 25.5 | 50% | 25.0 | 50% | 51.4 | 1.6 | 3% | 49.8 | 97% |
| 2011 | 48.2 | 24.7 | 51% | 23.5 | 49% | 48.1 | 1.8 | 4% | 46.3 | 96% |
| 2012 | 43.6 | 21.4 | 49% | 22.2 | 51% | 43.6 | 5.7 | 13% | 37.9 | 87% |
| 2013 | 40.5 | 19.8 | 49% | 20.7 | 51% | 40.5 | 0.6 | 1% | 39.9 | 99% |
| 2014 | 26.1 | 12.3 | 47% | 13.8 | 53% | 26.6 | 1.1 | 4% | 25.5 | 96% |
| 2015 | 21.8 | 13.1 | 60% | 8.7 | 40% | 21.6 | 0.3 | 1% | 21.3 | 99% |
| 2016 | 18.4 | 9.6 | 52% | 8.8 | 48% | 18.7 | 0.2 | 1% | 18.5 | 99% |
| 2017 | 21.6 | 9.6 | 44% | 12.0 | 56% | 21.0 | 0.2 | 1% | 20.8 | 99% |
| 2018 | 19.7 | 7.6 | 39% | 12.1 | 61% | 18.5 | 0.1 | 0% | 18.4 | 100% |
| 2019 | 16.0 | 8.6 | 53% | 7.4 | 47% | 15.7 | 0.1 | 1% | 15.6 | 99% |

Table 10. Butte Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2014 through 2019

| | | | Average Daily | / Population | on | _ | | One-Day S | Snapshot | | | | | |
|------|------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|-----|----|-----|------|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Di | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | meanor | Fel | ony | | | | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | | | | |
| 2002 | luvenile (| Camps/Rar | nches only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2003 | | st-Dispositi | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2004 | | therefore t | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2005 | = | | ed of Post- | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2006 | Dispositio | n juveniles | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2009 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2010 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2011 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2013 | | | | | Butte C | ounty's Camp o | pened Jul | y 2014. | | | | | | |
| 2014 | | | | 13.5 | 100% | 13.5 | 0.0 | 0% | 13.5 | 100% | | | | |
| 2015 | | | | 8.7 | 100% | 8.7 | 0.2 | 2% | 8.5 | 98% | | | | |
| 2016 | | | | | | | | 8.8 | 100% | 8.8 | 0.0 | 0% | 8.8 | 100% |
| 2017 | | | | 12.2 | 100% | 12.2 | 0.0 | 0% | 12.2 | 100% | | | | |
| 2018 | | | | 7.3 | 100% | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0% | 6.7 | 100% | | | | |
| 2019 | | | | 7.3 | 100% | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0% | 7.3 | 100% | | | | |

Figure 7.

Butte ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 8. Population of Butte Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 9.

Butte Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



COLUSA COUNTY

Table 11. Colusa Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2011 1

| | _ | | Average Dail | y Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | Snapshot | |
|------|-----------------|----------|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | Juvenile Cam | nn/Ranch | es only | 96.9 | 100% | 97.3 | 8.6 | 9% | 88.8 | 91% |
| 2003 | house Post-D | • | • | 90.7 | 100% | 90.8 | 12.8 | 14% | 77.9 | 86% |
| 2004 | therefore their | | | 76.6 | 100% | 75.4 | 9.3 | 12% | 66.1 | 88% |
| 2005 | comprised of | | • | 50.9 | 100% | 51.3 | 6.3 | 12% | 45.0 | 88% |
| 2006 | juveniles. | | | 53.4 | 100% | 54.3 | 7.7 | 14% | 46.6 | 86% |
| 2007 | , | | | 57.8 | 100% | 63.0 | 12.1 | 19% | 50.9 | 81% |
| 2008 | | | | 57.4 | 100% | 57.5 | 5.4 | 9% | 52.1 | 91% |
| 2009 | | | | 44.6 | 100% | 48.6 | 3.4 | 7% | 45.2 | 93% |
| 2010 | | | | 44.5 | 100% | 44.7 | 2.3 | 5% | 42.3 | 95% |
| 2011 | | | | 45.2 | 100% | 45.3 | 2.0 | 4% | 43.3 | 96% |
| | | | Co | olusa Coun | ty's Camp clo | sed June 2011 | | | | |

Figure 10.

Colusa Juvenile Camp/Ranch ADP for 2002 through 2011





Figure 11.

Colusa Felony Population for the Juvenile Camp/Ranch as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2011



CONTRA COSTA COUNTY

Table 12. Contra Costa Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | A | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | napshot | oshot | |
|------|-------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|----------|----------|-------------|----------|--------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Disposition | | Post-Disposition | | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 227.5 | 74.4 | 33% | 153.1 | 67% | 241.1 | 84.8 | 35% | 156.3 | 65% |
| 2003 | 227.3 | 79.1 | 35% | 148.2 | 65% | 224.2 | 89.4 | 40% | 134.8 | 60% |
| 2004 | 225.0 | 81.2 | 36% | 143.8 | 64% | 217.2 | 73.8 | 34% | 143.4 | 66% |
| 2005 | 247.6 | 97.3 | 39% | 150.3 | 61% | 189.8 | 56.4 | 30% | 133.4 | 70% |
| 2006 | 276.6 | 132.8 | 48% | 143.8 | 52% | 198.4 | 59.8 | 30% | 138.6 | 70% |
| 2007 | 260.8 | 121.3 | 47% | 139.5 | 53% | 262.2 | 89.9 | 34% | 172.3 | 66% |
| 2008 | 263.9 | 110.2 | 42% | 153.7 | 58% | 264.6 | 84.2 | 32% | 180.4 | 68% |
| 2009 | 272.9 | 115.3 | 42% | 157.6 | 58% | 275.0 | 94.3 | 34% | 180.7 | 66% |
| 2010 | 292.3 | 107.5 | 37% | 184.8 | 63% | 289.9 | 87.4 | 30% | 202.5 | 70% |
| 2011 | 247.1 | 77.7 | 31% | 169.4 | 69% | 235.2 | 68.9 | 29% | 166.3 | 71% |
| 2012 | 233.4 | 79.3 | 34% | 154.1 | 66% | 233.0 | 62.3 | 27% | 170.7 | 73% |
| 2013 | 224.4 | 76.5 | 34% | 147.9 | 66% | 222.0 | 71.7 | 32% | 150.3 | 68% |
| 2014 | 224.6 | 76.1 | 34% | 148.5 | 66% | 217.5 | 52.8 | 24% | 164.7 | 76% |
| 2015 | 214.3 | 75.7 | 35% | 138.6 | 65% | 212.0 | 57.8 | 27% | 154.2 | 73% |
| 2016 | 171.5 | 54.3 | 32% | 117.2 | 68% | 168.5 | 52.6 | 31% | 115.9 | 69% |
| 2017 | 147.3 | 52.5 | 36% | 94.8 | 64% | 145.0 | 45.4 | 31% | 99.6 | 69% |
| 2018 | 149.6 | 55.3 | 37% | 94.3 | 63% | 149.2 | 35.9 | 24% | 113.3 | 76% |
| 2019 | 113.5 | 41.7 | 37% | 71.8 | 63% | 115.9 | 22.9 | 20% | 93.0 | 80% |

Table 13. Contra Costa Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Д | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | One-Day Snapshot | | | | |
|------|-------|-----------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|--|
| | _ | Pre-Disposition | | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | |
| 2002 | 135.7 | 74.4 | 55% | 61.3 | 45% | 149.2 | 56.8 | 38% | 92.4 | 62% | |
| 2003 | 129.9 | 79.1 | 61% | 50.8 | 39% | 132.4 | 59.1 | 45% | 73.3 | 55% | |
| 2004 | 139.9 | 81.2 | 58% | 58.7 | 42% | 131.4 | 49.3 | 38% | 82.1 | 63% | |
| 2005 | 156.6 | 97.3 | 62% | 59.3 | 38% | 98.0 | 33.2 | 34% | 64.8 | 66% | |
| 2006 | 179.9 | 132.8 | 74% | 47.1 | 26% | 101.5 | 28.1 | 28% | 73.4 | 72% | |
| 2007 | 167.1 | 121.3 | 73% | 45.8 | 27% | 168.5 | 55.6 | 33% | 112.9 | 67% | |
| 2008 | 164.0 | 110.2 | 67% | 53.8 | 33% | 164.9 | 53.6 | 32% | 111.3 | 68% | |
| 2009 | 176.7 | 115.3 | 65% | 61.4 | 35% | 179.0 | 60.3 | 34% | 118.7 | 66% | |
| 2010 | 193.3 | 107.5 | 56% | 85.8 | 44% | 191.0 | 55.9 | 29% | 135.1 | 71% | |
| 2011 | 149.3 | 77.7 | 52% | 71.6 | 48% | 137.5 | 40.9 | 30% | 96.6 | 70% | |
| 2012 | 144.1 | 79.3 | 55% | 64.8 | 45% | 143.4 | 41.1 | 29% | 102.3 | 71% | |
| 2013 | 119.2 | 76.5 | 64% | 42.7 | 36% | 117.9 | 41.9 | 36% | 76.0 | 64% | |
| 2014 | 121.0 | 76.1 | 63% | 44.9 | 37% | 114.0 | 28.3 | 25% | 85.7 | 75% | |
| 2015 | 118.1 | 75.7 | 64% | 42.4 | 36% | 115.7 | 32.4 | 28% | 83.3 | 72% | |
| 2016 | 86.3 | 54.3 | 63% | 32.0 | 37% | 84.0 | 31.6 | 38% | 52.4 | 62% | |
| 2017 | 76.9 | 52.5 | 68% | 24.4 | 32% | 72.8 | 25.3 | 35% | 47.5 | 65% | |
| 2018 | 75.8 | 55.3 | 73% | 20.5 | 27% | 74.4 | 21.4 | 29% | 53.0 | 71% | |
| 2019 | 57.3 | 41.7 | 73% | 15.6 | 27% | 60.3 | 15.0 | 25% | 45.3 | 75% | |

Table 14. Contra Costa Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | | Average Dail | y Populatio | n | | One-Day Snapshot | | | | |
|------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|------------------|----------|------|----------|--|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | :amns/Rar | nches only | 91.7 | 100% | 91.9 | 28.1 | 31% | 63.8 | 69% | |
| 2003 | | Juvenile Camps/Ranches only house Post-Disposition | | | 100% | 91.7 | 30.3 | 33% | 61.4 | 67% | |
| 2004 | juveniles, | • | | 85.1 | 100% | 85.9 | 24.6 | 29% | 61.3 | 71% | |
| 2005 | • | | ed of Post- | 91.1 | 100% | 92.0 | 23.3 | 25% | 68.7 | 75% | |
| 2006 | Disposition | Disposition juveniles. | | | 100% | 97.0 | 31.8 | 33% | 65.2 | 67% | |
| 2007 | | | | | 100% | 93.6 | 34.3 | 37% | 59.3 | 63% | |
| 2008 | | | | | 100% | 99.7 | 30.6 | 31% | 69.1 | 69% | |
| 2009 | | | | | 100% | 96.0 | 34.0 | 35% | 62.0 | 65% | |
| 2010 | | | | 99.0 | 100% | 98.9 | 31.5 | 32% | 67.4 | 68% | |
| 2011 | | | | 97.9 | 100% | 97.7 | 28.0 | 29% | 69.7 | 71% | |
| 2012 | | | | 89.4 | 100% | 89.6 | 21.2 | 24% | 68.4 | 76% | |
| 2013 | | | | 105.2 | 100% | 104.1 | 29.8 | 29% | 74.3 | 71% | |
| 2014 | | | | 103.6 | 100% | 103.6 | 24.6 | 24% | 79.0 | 76% | |
| 2015 | | | | 96.2 | 100% | 96.2 | 25.3 | 26% | 70.9 | 74% | |
| 2016 | | | | 85.2 | 100% | 84.5 | 21.0 | 25% | 63.5 | 75% | |
| 2017 | | | | 70.4 | 100% | 72.2 | 20.1 | 28% | 52.1 | 72% | |
| 2018 | | | | 73.8 | 100% | 74.8 | 14.5 | 19% | 60.3 | 81% | |
| 2019 | | | | 56.2 | 100% | 55.6 | 7.9 | 14% | 47.7 | 86% | |

Figure 12.
Contra Costa ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 13.
Population of Contra Costa Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 14.
Contra Costa Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



DEL NORTE COUNTY

Table 15. Del Norte Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | А | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | One-Day Snapshot | | | | |
|------|------|-----------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|--|
| | _ | Pre-Disposition | | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | |
| 2002 | 41.3 | 5.5 | 13% | 35.8 | 87% | 41.4 | 8.3 | 20% | 33.1 | 80% | |
| 2003 | 43.8 | 5.9 | 13% | 37.9 | 86% | 43.7 | 13.9 | 32% | 29.8 | 68% | |
| 2004 | 41.1 | 6.2 | 15% | 34.9 | 85% | 41.8 | 15.8 | 38% | 26.0 | 62% | |
| 2005 | 40.1 | 6.8 | 17% | 33.3 | 83% | 39.3 | 14.2 | 36% | 25.1 | 64% | |
| 2006 | 38.3 | 6.5 | 17% | 31.8 | 83% | 36.9 | 13.5 | 37% | 23.4 | 63% | |
| 2007 | 37.8 | 6.2 | 17% | 31.6 | 84% | 28.4 | 3.3 | 11% | 25.1 | 89% | |
| 2008 | 40.5 | 6.8 | 17% | 33.7 | 83% | 30.3 | 2.5 | 8% | 27.8 | 92% | |
| 2009 | 32.8 | 5.8 | 18% | 27.0 | 83% | 25.8 | 3.1 | 12% | 22.7 | 88% | |
| 2010 | 36.0 | 5.4 | 15% | 30.6 | 85% | 34.0 | 10.3 | 30% | 23.7 | 70% | |
| 2011 | 32.2 | 6.2 | 19% | 26.0 | 82% | 31.3 | 6.6 | 21% | 24.7 | 79% | |
| 2012 | 34.2 | 6.1 | 18% | 28.1 | 82% | 35.5 | 10.3 | 29% | 25.2 | 71% | |
| 2013 | 31.5 | 5.2 | 16% | 26.3 | 83% | 32.6 | 9.3 | 29% | 23.3 | 71% | |
| 2014 | 26.4 | 4.3 | 16% | 22.1 | 83% | 24.6 | 6.4 | 26% | 18.2 | 74% | |
| 2015 | 31.6 | 5.4 | 17% | 26.2 | 83% | 31.4 | 3.8 | 12% | 27.6 | 88% | |
| 2016 | 20.7 | 3.6 | 18% | 17.1 | 83% | 21.0 | 5.8 | 27% | 15.2 | 73% | |
| 2017 | 12.1 | 4.0 | 33% | 8.1 | 67% | 12.2 | 5.8 | 47% | 6.4 | 53% | |
| 2018 | 9.0 | 4.1 | 45% | 4.9 | 55% | 8.6 | 4.0 | 47% | 4.6 | 53% | |
| 2019 | 11.2 | 5.4 | 48% | 5.8 | 51% | 11.2 | 4.4 | 40% | 6.8 | 60% | |

Table 16. Del Norte Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | А | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day Snapshot | | | | |
|------|------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|----------|----------|-------------|------------------|--------|----------|--|--|
| | _ | Pre-Disposition | | Post-Disposition | | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | | | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | | |
| 2002 | 13.6 | 5.5 | 40% | 8.1 | 60% | 12.6 | 8.3 | 66% | 4.3 | 34% | | |
| 2003 | 18.1 | 5.9 | 32% | 12.2 | 67% | 18.0 | 13.9 | 77% | 4.1 | 23% | | |
| 2004 | 18.3 | 6.2 | 34% | 12.1 | 67% | 19.4 | 15.8 | 82% | 3.6 | 18% | | |
| 2005 | 17.5 | 6.8 | 39% | 10.7 | 61% | 16.5 | 14.2 | 86% | 2.3 | 14% | | |
| 2006 | 15.3 | 6.5 | 42% | 8.8 | 58% | 16.0 | 13.5 | 84% | 2.5 | 16% | | |
| 2007 | 14.9 | 6.2 | 42% | 8.7 | 58% | 5.3 | 3.3 | 62% | 2.0 | 38% | | |
| 2008 | 14.9 | 6.8 | 46% | 8.1 | 54% | 4.8 | 2.5 | 52% | 2.3 | 48% | | |
| 2009 | 11.5 | 5.8 | 51% | 5.7 | 50% | 4.5 | 3.1 | 69% | 1.4 | 31% | | |
| 2010 | 11.7 | 5.4 | 46% | 6.3 | 54% | 12.1 | 10.3 | 86% | 1.8 | 14% | | |
| 2011 | 12.5 | 6.2 | 50% | 6.3 | 51% | 11.3 | 6.5 | 57% | 4.8 | 43% | | |
| 2012 | 12.0 | 6.1 | 51% | 5.9 | 49% | 12.7 | 9.7 | 76% | 3.0 | 24% | | |
| 2013 | 8.8 | 5.2 | 59% | 3.6 | 41% | 10.1 | 8.8 | 88% | 1.3 | 13% | | |
| 2014 | 9.6 | 4.3 | 45% | 5.3 | 55% | 7.6 | 4.8 | 63% | 2.8 | 37% | | |
| 2015 | 8.4 | 5.4 | 63% | 3.0 | 35% | 8.2 | 3.5 | 43% | 4.7 | 57% | | |
| 2016 | 8.1 | 3.6 | 44% | 4.5 | 55% | 8.0 | 5.8 | 73% | 2.2 | 27% | | |
| 2017 | 8.4 | 4.0 | 48% | 4.4 | 53% | 8.5 | 5.4 | 64% | 3.1 | 36% | | |
| 2018 | 9.0 | 4.1 | 45% | 4.9 | 55% | 8.6 | 4.0 | 47% | 4.6 | 53% | | |
| 2019 | 11.2 | 5.4 | 48% | 5.8 | 51% | 11.2 | 4.4 | 40% | 6.8 | 60% | | |

Table 17. Del Norte Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2017²

| | | | Average Dail | y Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|--|----------------------------------|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Disposition | | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | luvenile (| :amns/Rar | nches only | 27.7 | 100% | 28.8 | 0.0 | 0% | 28.8 | 100% |
| 2003 | Juvenile Camps/Ranches only house Post-Disposition | | | 25.8 | 100% | 25.8 | 0.0 | 0% | 25.8 | 100% |
| 2004 | | juveniles, therefore their Total | | 22.8 | 100% | 22.4 | 0.0 | 0% | 22.4 | 100% |
| 2005 | | ADP is only comprised of Post- | | | 100% | 22.8 | 0.0 | 0% | 22.8 | 100% |
| 2006 | Dispositio | n juveniles | 3. | 23.0 | 100% | 20.9 | 0.0 | 0% | 20.9 | 100% |
| 2007 | | | | 22.9 | 100% | 23.1 | 0.0 | 0% | 23.1 | 100% |
| 2008 | | | | 25.6 | 100% | 25.5 | 0.0 | 0% | 25.5 | 100% |
| 2009 | | | | 21.3 | 100% | 21.3 | 0.0 | 0% | 21.3 | 100% |
| 2010 | | | | 24.3 | 100% | 21.9 | 0.0 | 0% | 21.9 | 100% |
| 2011 | | | | 19.7 | 100% | 19.9 | 0.1 | 0% | 19.8 | 100% |
| 2012 | | | | 22.2 | 100% | 22.8 | 0.6 | 3% | 22.2 | 97% |
| 2013 | | | | 22.7 | 100% | 22.6 | 0.6 | 3% | 22.0 | 97% |
| 2014 | | | | 16.8 | 100% | 16.9 | 1.6 | 9% | 15.3 | 91% |
| 2015 | | | | 23.2 | 100% | 23.3 | 0.3 | 1% | 23.0 | 99% |
| 2016 | | | | 12.5 | 100% | 13.0 | 0.0 | 0% | 13.0 | 100% |
| 2017 | | | | | 100% | 4.8 | 0.4 | 9% | 4.4 | 91% |
| | | | | Del Norte | e's Camp clos | sed in Septemb | er 2017 | | | |

Figure 15.

Del Norte ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 16.
Population of Del Norte Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 17.

Del Norte Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



EL DORADO COUNTY

Table 18. El Dorado Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Α | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 39.0 | 15.2 | 39% | 23.8 | 61% | 39.9 | 19.0 | 48% | 20.9 | 52% |
| 2003 | 38.8 | 14.8 | 38% | 24.0 | 62% | 37.9 | 20.1 | 53% | 17.8 | 47% |
| 2004 | 37.9 | 14.8 | 39% | 23.1 | 61% | 38.0 | 20.6 | 54% | 17.4 | 46% |
| 2005 | 41.1 | 13.0 | 32% | 28.1 | 68% | 41.6 | 25.9 | 62% | 15.7 | 38% |
| 2006 | 45.4 | 16.3 | 36% | 29.1 | 64% | 48.1 | 27.6 | 57% | 20.5 | 43% |
| 2007 | 47.2 | 15.1 | 32% | 32.1 | 68% | 51.4 | 29.4 | 57% | 22.0 | 43% |
| 2008 | 45.7 | 16.0 | 35% | 29.7 | 65% | 48.3 | 28.2 | 58% | 20.1 | 42% |
| 2009 | 54.4 | 21.4 | 39% | 33.0 | 61% | 54.5 | 32.0 | 59% | 22.5 | 41% |
| 2010 | 54.1 | 23.2 | 43% | 30.9 | 57% | 55.2 | 33.4 | 60% | 21.8 | 40% |
| 2011 | 50.4 | 18.1 | 36% | 32.3 | 64% | 49.8 | 35.3 | 71% | 14.5 | 29% |
| 2012 | 38.3 | 16.5 | 43% | 21.8 | 57% | 38.7 | 24.8 | 64% | 13.9 | 36% |
| 2013 | 35.2 | 15.8 | 45% | 19.4 | 55% | 35.4 | 25.6 | 72% | 9.8 | 28% |
| 2014 | 27.6 | 11.7 | 42% | 15.9 | 58% | 28.5 | 20.8 | 73% | 7.7 | 27% |
| 2015 | 26.4 | 15.3 | 58% | 11.1 | 42% | 25.6 | 17.5 | 68% | 8.1 | 32% |
| 2016 | 33.8 | 14.0 | 41% | 19.8 | 59% | 31.9 | 22.1 | 69% | 9.8 | 31% |
| 2017 | 31.5 | 18.3 | 58% | 13.2 | 42% | 31.7 | 21.3 | 67% | 10.4 | 33% |
| 2018 | 23.9 | 13.6 | 57% | 10.3 | 43% | 24.3 | 16.6 | 68% | 7.7 | 32% |
| 2019 | 19.6 | 11.1 | 56% | 8.5 | 43% | 21.1 | 13.7 | 65% | 7.4 | 35% |

Table 19. El Dorado Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Α | verage Daily | / Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | Snapshot | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 39.0 | 15.2 | 39% | 23.8 | 61% | 39.9 | 19.0 | 48% | 20.9 | 52% |
| 2003 | 38.8 | 14.8 | 38% | 24.0 | 62% | 37.9 | 20.1 | 53% | 17.8 | 47% |
| 2004 | 37.9 | 14.8 | 39% | 23.1 | 61% | 38.0 | 20.6 | 54% | 17.4 | 46% |
| 2005 | 41.1 | 13.0 | 32% | 28.1 | 68% | 41.6 | 25.9 | 62% | 15.7 | 38% |
| 2006 | 37.9 | 16.3 | 43% | 21.6 | 57% | 40.6 | 23.5 | 58% | 17.1 | 42% |
| 2007 | 36.9 | 15.1 | 41% | 21.8 | 59% | 41.1 | 24.7 | 60% | 16.4 | 40% |
| 2008 | 36.3 | 16.0 | 44% | 20.3 | 56% | 38.7 | 24.9 | 64% | 13.8 | 36% |
| 2009 | 45.7 | 21.4 | 47% | 24.3 | 53% | 45.9 | 27.7 | 60% | 18.2 | 40% |
| 2010 | 46.3 | 23.2 | 50% | 23.1 | 50% | 47.1 | 29.1 | 62% | 18.0 | 38% |
| 2011 | 40.9 | 18.1 | 44% | 22.8 | 56% | 40.2 | 29.9 | 74% | 10.3 | 26% |
| 2012 | 31.5 | 16.5 | 52% | 15.0 | 48% | 31.4 | 19.8 | 63% | 11.6 | 37% |
| 2013 | 29.3 | 15.8 | 54% | 13.5 | 46% | 29.7 | 21.7 | 73% | 8.0 | 27% |
| 2014 | 20.4 | 11.7 | 57% | 8.7 | 43% | 21.2 | 14.8 | 70% | 6.4 | 30% |
| 2015 | 22.8 | 15.3 | 67% | 7.5 | 33% | 22.2 | 14.8 | 67% | 7.4 | 33% |
| 2016 | 25.4 | 14.0 | 55% | 11.4 | 45% | 23.0 | 14.2 | 62% | 8.8 | 38% |
| 2017 | 25.1 | 18.3 | 73% | 6.8 | 27% | 24.9 | 16.1 | 65% | 8.8 | 35% |
| 2018 | 19.3 | 13.6 | 70% | 5.7 | 29% | 19.4 | 13.1 | 68% | 6.3 | 32% |
| 2019 | 16.2 | 11.1 | 68% | 5.1 | 32% | 16.8 | 11.0 | 66% | 5.8 | 34% |

Table 20. El Dorado Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2006 through 2019

| | | | Average Daily | / Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | Snapshot | |
|------|-------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------|-----------|----------|----------|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | lony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | .luvenile (| Camps/Rar | nches only | | | | | | | |
| 2003 | | st-Dispositi | | | | | | | | |
| 2004 | | therefore t | | | | | | | | |
| 2005 | | | ed of Post- | El Dor | ado County's | Camp opened | l March 20 | 06. | | |
| 2006 | Dispositio | n juveniles | | 9.0 | 100% | 9.0 | 4.9 | 54% | 4.1 | 46% |
| 2007 | | | | 10.3 | 100% | 10.4 | 4.8 | 46% | 5.6 | 54% |
| 2008 | | | | 9.3 | 100% | 9.6 | 3.3 | 34% | 6.3 | 66% |
| 2009 | | | | 8.8 | 100% | 8.6 | 4.3 | 50% | 4.3 | 50% |
| 2010 | | | | 7.8 | 100% | 8.1 | 4.3 | 53% | 3.8 | 47% |
| 2011 | | | | 9.6 | 100% | 9.7 | 5.4 | 56% | 4.3 | 44% |
| 2012 | | | | 6.8 | 100% | 7.2 | 4.9 | 68% | 2.3 | 32% |
| 2013 | | | | 5.9 | 100% | 5.7 | 3.9 | 69% | 1.8 | 31% |
| 2014 | | | | 7.3 | 100% | 7.3 | 6.0 | 83% | 1.3 | 17% |
| 2015 | | | | 3.6 | 100% | 3.5 | 2.8 | 80% | 0.7 | 20% |
| 2016 | | | | 8.4 | 100% | 8.9 | 7.9 | 89% | 1.0 | 11% |
| 2017 | | | | 6.4 | 100% | 6.8 | 5.2 | 77% | 1.6 | 23% |
| 2018 | | | | 4.6 | 100% | 4.9 | 3.5 | 71% | 1.4 | 29% |
| 2019 | | | | 3.4 | 100% | 4.4 | 2.7 | 62% | 1.7 | 38% |

Figure 18. El Dorado ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 19.

Population of El Dorado Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 20.

El Dorado Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



FRESNO COUNTY

Table 21. Fresno Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Α | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 474.3 | 148.5 | 31% | 325.8 | 69% | 475.8 | 150.0 | 32% | 325.8 | 68% |
| 2003 | 470.7 | 151.5 | 32% | 319.2 | 68% | 474.4 | 168.1 | 35% | 306.3 | 65% |
| 2004 | 474.8 | 159.1 | 34% | 315.7 | 66% | 474.5 | 191.4 | 40% | 283.1 | 60% |
| 2005 | 471.9 | 160.1 | 34% | 311.8 | 66% | 470.1 | 196.3 | 42% | 273.8 | 58% |
| 2006 | 494.7 | 141.4 | 29% | 353.3 | 71% | 491.0 | 199.7 | 41% | 291.3 | 59% |
| 2007 | 512.8 | 139.4 | 27% | 373.4 | 73% | 512.7 | 228.6 | 45% | 284.1 | 55% |
| 2008 | 504.8 | 139.6 | 28% | 365.2 | 72% | 515.2 | 223.9 | 43% | 291.3 | 57% |
| 2009 | 413.9 | 133.3 | 32% | 280.6 | 68% | 417.6 | 138.3 | 33% | 279.3 | 67% |
| 2010 | 355.3 | 130.8 | 37% | 224.5 | 63% | 358.0 | 112.3 | 31% | 245.7 | 69% |
| 2011 | 334.2 | 110.7 | 33% | 223.5 | 67% | 338.9 | 103.8 | 31% | 235.1 | 69% |
| 2012 | 315.6 | 109.5 | 35% | 206.1 | 65% | 318.5 | 82.1 | 26% | 236.4 | 74% |
| 2013 | 300.6 | 104.3 | 35% | 196.3 | 65% | 302.1 | 64.8 | 21% | 237.3 | 79% |
| 2014 | 298.8 | 101.4 | 34% | 197.4 | 66% | 302.1 | 70.8 | 23% | 231.3 | 77% |
| 2015 | 246.7 | 89.2 | 36% | 157.5 | 64% | 252.4 | 65.6 | 26% | 186.8 | 74% |
| 2016 | 224.2 | 91.7 | 41% | 132.5 | 59% | 220.9 | 50.6 | 23% | 170.3 | 77% |
| 2017 | 225.2 | 87.5 | 39% | 137.7 | 61% | 228.3 | 45.2 | 20% | 183.1 | 80% |
| 2018 | 218.1 | 79.2 | 36% | 138.9 | 64% | 219.7 | 38.0 | 17% | 181.7 | 83% |
| 2019 | 192.8 | 65.4 | 34% | 127.4 | 66% | 192.8 | 32.8 | 17% | 160.0 | 83% |

Table 22. Fresno Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | А | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 287.8 | 148.5 | 52% | 139.3 | 48% | 289.9 | 91.9 | 32% | 198.0 | 68% |
| 2003 | 297.1 | 151.5 | 51% | 145.6 | 49% | 300.2 | 112.8 | 38% | 187.4 | 62% |
| 2004 | 303.9 | 159.1 | 52% | 144.8 | 48% | 305.4 | 131.7 | 43% | 173.7 | 57% |
| 2005 | 302.2 | 160.1 | 53% | 142.1 | 47% | 300.9 | 133.7 | 44% | 167.2 | 56% |
| 2006 | 268.2 | 141.4 | 53% | 126.8 | 47% | 263.3 | 107.1 | 41% | 156.2 | 59% |
| 2007 | 185.5 | 139.4 | 75% | 46.1 | 25% | 143.3 | 54.3 | 38% | 89.0 | 62% |
| 2008 | 191.2 | 139.6 | 73% | 51.6 | 27% | 146.1 | 46.5 | 32% | 99.6 | 68% |
| 2009 | 186.6 | 133.3 | 71% | 53.3 | 29% | 140.7 | 35.8 | 25% | 104.9 | 75% |
| 2010 | 165.6 | 130.8 | 79% | 34.8 | 21% | 137.0 | 32.0 | 23% | 105.0 | 77% |
| 2011 | 147.3 | 110.7 | 75% | 36.6 | 25% | 116.3 | 29.3 | 25% | 87.0 | 75% |
| 2012 | 140.4 | 109.5 | 78% | 30.9 | 22% | 114.1 | 25.3 | 22% | 88.8 | 78% |
| 2013 | 132.1 | 104.3 | 79% | 27.8 | 21% | 107.0 | 18.3 | 17% | 88.7 | 83% |
| 2014 | 133.1 | 101.4 | 76% | 31.7 | 24% | 106.4 | 21.6 | 20% | 84.8 | 80% |
| 2015 | 117.1 | 89.2 | 76% | 27.9 | 24% | 95.1 | 21.7 | 23% | 73.4 | 77% |
| 2016 | 117.5 | 91.7 | 78% | 25.8 | 22% | 91.6 | 20.5 | 22% | 71.1 | 78% |
| 2017 | 111.8 | 87.5 | 78% | 24.3 | 22% | 93.5 | 18.2 | 19% | 75.3 | 81% |
| 2018 | 104.3 | 79.2 | 76% | 25.1 | 24% | 86.6 | 14.3 | 16% | 72.3 | 84% |
| 2019 | 89.8 | 65.4 | 73% | 24.4 | 27% | 79.9 | 12.3 | 15% | 67.6 | 85% |

Table 23. Fresno Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | | Average Dail | y Populatio | n | <u> </u> | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Feld | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | amns/Rar | nches only | 186.5 | 100% | 185.9 | 58.1 | 31% | 127.8 | 69% |
| 2003 | house Pos | | | 173.6 | 100% | 174.1 | 55.3 | 32% | 118.8 | 68% |
| 2004 | juveniles, | | | 170.9 | 100% | 169.2 | 59.8 | 35% | 109.4 | 65% |
| 2005 | | | ed of Post- | 169.8 | 100% | 169.3 | 62.6 | 37% | 106.7 | 63% |
| 2006 | Disposition | n juveniles | | 226.5 | 100% | 227.8 | 92.6 | 41% | 135.2 | 59% |
| 2007 | | | | 327.3 | 100% | 369.4 | 174.3 | 47% | 195.1 | 53% |
| 2008 | | | | 313.6 | 100% | 369.1 | 177.4 | 48% | 191.7 | 52% |
| 2009 | | | | 227.3 | 100% | 276.9 | 102.6 | 37% | 174.3 | 63% |
| 2010 | | | | 189.8 | 100% | 221.0 | 80.3 | 36% | 140.7 | 64% |
| 2011 | | | | 186.9 | 100% | 222.6 | 74.5 | 33% | 148.1 | 67% |
| 2012 | | | | 175.2 | 100% | 204.4 | 56.8 | 28% | 147.6 | 72% |
| 2013 | | | | 168.5 | 100% | 195.1 | 46.4 | 24% | 148.7 | 76% |
| 2014 | | | | 165.8 | 100% | 195.6 | 49.2 | 25% | 146.4 | 75% |
| 2015 | | | | 129.6 | 100% | 157.3 | 43.9 | 28% | 113.4 | 72% |
| 2016 | | | | 106.8 | 100% | 129.3 | 30.1 | 23% | 99.2 | 77% |
| 2017 | | | | 113.4 | 100% | 134.8 | 27.0 | 20% | 107.8 | 80% |
| 2018 | | | | 113.8 | 100% | 133.2 | 23.8 | 18% | 109.4 | 82% |
| 2019 | D " D . | (400) | | 103.0 | 100% | 112.8 | 20.4 | 18% | 92.4 | 82% |

Figure 21.
Fresno ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 22.

Population of Fresno Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







GLENN COUNTY

Table 24. Glenn Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2017 1

| | | Α | verage Daily | Populatio | n | _ | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 12.3 | 7.2 | 59% | 5.1 | 42% | 12.8 | 8.6 | 67% | 4.2 | 33% |
| 2003 | 6.8 | 3.6 | 53% | 3.1 | 47% | 6.8 | 6.0 | 88% | 8.0 | 12% |
| 2004 | 17.7 | 8.7 | 49% | 8.9 | 51% | 16.8 | 10.9 | 65% | 5.9 | 35% |
| 2005 | 22.7 | 10.7 | 47% | 12.1 | 53% | 22.8 | 14.3 | 63% | 8.4 | 37% |
| 2006 | 19.1 | 8.4 | 44% | 10.7 | 56% | 19.5 | 12.7 | 65% | 6.8 | 35% |
| 2007 | 17.8 | 6.5 | 36% | 11.4 | 64% | 17.1 | 6.7 | 39% | 10.4 | 61% |
| 2008 | 16.8 | 8.2 | 49% | 8.6 | 51% | 16.8 | 3.3 | 19% | 13.6 | 81% |
| 2009 | 15.7 | 7.0 | 45% | 8.6 | 55% | 15.8 | 5.8 | 37% | 10.0 | 63% |
| 2010 | 15.1 | 8.4 | 55% | 6.7 | 45% | 15.1 | 7.9 | 52% | 7.2 | 48% |
| 2011 | 13.1 | 6.1 | 46% | 6.9 | 53% | 13.4 | 4.2 | 31% | 9.3 | 69% |
| 2012 | 11.2 | 5.6 | 50% | 5.5 | 49% | 10.2 | 5.0 | 49% | 5.2 | 51% |
| 2013 | 14.5 | 6.8 | 47% | 7.7 | 53% | 14.6 | 5.8 | 39% | 8.8 | 61% |
| 2014 | 11.8 | 4.3 | 37% | 7.6 | 64% | 11.6 | 6.1 | 53% | 5.5 | 47% |
| 2015 | 7.8 | 3.5 | 45% | 4.1 | 53% | 8.6 | 6.4 | 75% | 2.2 | 25% |
| 2016 | 7.8 | 3.1 | 39% | 4.8 | 61% | 8.3 | 6.7 | 80% | 1.7 | 20% |
| 2017 | 6.0 | 3.2 | 54% | 2.8 | 46% | 7.5 | 4.7 | 62% | 2.8 | 38% |
| | | | Glenn Co | ounty close | ed their Juver | nile Hall in June | 2017 | | | |

Figure 24.

Glenn Juvenile Hall Population 2002 through 2017




Figure 25.

Population of Glenn Juvenile Hall by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2017





Figure 26.



HUMBOLDT COUNTY

Table 25. Humboldt Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | _ | Д | verage Daily | Populatio | n | _ | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|-----------|----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | position | Snapshot | Misden | neanor | Feld | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 49.1 | 17.1 | 35% | 32.0 | 65% | 47.7 | 26.8 | 56% | 20.9 | 44% |
| 2003 | 40.7 | 15.7 | 39% | 25.0 | 62% | 40.4 | 21.5 | 53% | 18.9 | 47% |
| 2004 | 39.3 | 14.9 | 38% | 24.4 | 62% | 39.0 | 16.7 | 43% | 22.3 | 57% |
| 2005 | 40.1 | 14.2 | 35% | 25.9 | 65% | 39.6 | 15.3 | 39% | 24.3 | 61% |
| 2006 | 40.4 | 17.8 | 44% | 22.6 | 56% | 40.1 | 20.2 | 50% | 19.9 | 50% |
| 2007 | 37.7 | 16.8 | 44% | 20.9 | 55% | 37.3 | 14.8 | 40% | 22.5 | 60% |
| 2008 | 40.9 | 21.7 | 53% | 19.2 | 47% | 40.6 | 15.6 | 38% | 25.0 | 62% |
| 2009 | 38.6 | 20.3 | 52% | 18.3 | 47% | 37.6 | 26.7 | 71% | 10.9 | 29% |
| 2010 | 33.4 | 15.8 | 47% | 17.6 | 53% | 33.2 | 25.9 | 78% | 7.3 | 22% |
| 2011 | 30.5 | 18.8 | 61% | 11.7 | 38% | 30.1 | 19.7 | 65% | 10.4 | 35% |
| 2012 | 29.6 | 18.3 | 62% | 11.3 | 38% | 28.0 | 19.2 | 69% | 8.8 | 31% |
| 2013 | 27.3 | 13.9 | 51% | 13.4 | 49% | 26.7 | 18.9 | 71% | 7.8 | 29% |
| 2014 | 26.4 | 14.9 | 56% | 11.5 | 43% | 25.7 | 18.8 | 73% | 6.9 | 27% |
| 2015 | 24.1 | 13.9 | 58% | 10.2 | 42% | 23.7 | 20.8 | 88% | 2.9 | 12% |
| 2016 | 24.2 | 11.6 | 47% | 12.6 | 51% | 23.7 | 17.8 | 75% | 5.9 | 25% |
| 2017 | 18.6 | 15.9 | 86% | 2.7 | 15% | 18.2 | 11.8 | 65% | 6.4 | 35% |
| 2018 | 20.5 | 19.7 | 95% | 0.8 | 4% | 20.1 | 14.0 | 70% | 6.1 | 30% |
| 2019 | 18.1 | 17.3 | 96% | 0.8 | 4% | 18.4 | 10.2 | 55% | 8.2 | 45% |

Figure 27. Humboldt Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019 ¹







Figure 28.
Population of Humboldt Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







IMPERIAL COUNTY

Table 26. Imperial Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | A | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|------------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot _ | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 43.4 | 29.9 | 69% | 13.5 | 31% | 44.7 | 0.0 | 0% | 44.7 | 100% |
| 2003 | 43.2 | 30.8 | 71% | 12.4 | 29% | 43.8 | 0.0 | 0% | 43.8 | 100% |
| 2004 | 42.7 | 29.9 | 70% | 12.8 | 30% | 44.5 | 0.0 | 0% | 44.5 | 100% |
| 2005 | 45.9 | 31.4 | 68% | 14.5 | 32% | 40.5 | 0.0 | 0% | 40.5 | 100% |
| 2006 | 47.1 | 35.9 | 76% | 11.2 | 24% | 47.8 | 0.0 | 0% | 47.8 | 100% |
| 2007 | 46.8 | 33.0 | 71% | 13.8 | 29% | 47.3 | 0.0 | 0% | 47.3 | 100% |
| 2008 | 30.8 | 24.5 | 79% | 6.3 | 21% | 29.3 | 0.3 | 1% | 29.0 | 99% |
| 2009 | 27.3 | 21.5 | 78% | 5.8 | 21% | 25.9 | 0.1 | 0% | 25.8 | 100% |
| 2010 | 27.5 | 22.2 | 81% | 5.3 | 19% | 26.8 | 0.0 | 0% | 26.8 | 100% |
| 2011 | 20.3 | 15.0 | 73% | 5.3 | 26% | 20.1 | 5.4 | 27% | 14.7 | 73% |
| 2012 | 14.5 | 9.1 | 62% | 5.4 | 37% | 13.5 | 6.6 | 49% | 6.9 | 51% |
| 2013 | 17.1 | 13.5 | 79% | 3.6 | 21% | 16.6 | 6.8 | 41% | 9.8 | 59% |
| 2014 | 12.2 | 8.8 | 72% | 3.4 | 28% | 12.6 | 6.2 | 49% | 6.4 | 51% |
| 2015 | 11.4 | 8.1 | 71% | 3.3 | 29% | 12.0 | 5.7 | 48% | 6.3 | 52% |
| 2016 | 11.9 | 8.2 | 69% | 3.7 | 31% | 11.5 | 6.4 | 56% | 5.1 | 44% |
| 2017 | 14.0 | 9.9 | 71% | 4.1 | 29% | 13.6 | 6.4 | 47% | 7.2 | 53% |
| 2018 | 21.3 | 14.8 | 70% | 6.5 | 30% | 20.7 | 6.2 | 30% | 14.5 | 70% |
| 2019 | 16.5 | 12.1 | 73% | 4.4 | 27% | 16.2 | 5.9 | 36% | 10.3 | 64% |

Figure 30. *Imperial Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019* ¹







Figure 31.

Population of Imperial Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







INYO COUNTY

Table 27. Inyo Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2016

| | | P | verage Daily | Population | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Di | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | meanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 6.3 | 2.6 | 41% | 3.7 | 59% | 6.0 | 3.8 | 64% | 2.2 | 36% |
| 2003 | 9.5 | 3.9 | 41% | 5.6 | 59% | 10.0 | 7.8 | 78% | 2.2 | 22% |
| 2004 | 11.4 | 4.9 | 43% | 6.5 | 57% | 11.6 | 7.7 | 66% | 3.9 | 34% |
| 2005 | 10.8 | 3.6 | 33% | 7.2 | 66% | 11.1 | 6.8 | 61% | 4.3 | 39% |
| 2006 | 10.3 | 3.4 | 33% | 6.9 | 67% | 10.2 | 7.6 | 75% | 2.6 | 25% |
| 2007 | 9.9 | 2.8 | 29% | 7.1 | 71% | 10.0 | 8.6 | 86% | 1.4 | 14% |
| 2008 | 10.9 | 4.9 | 45% | 6.0 | 55% | 11.6 | 8.6 | 74% | 3.0 | 26% |
| 2009 | 11.1 | 4.3 | 38% | 6.8 | 62% | 11.4 | 8.8 | 77% | 2.6 | 23% |
| 2010 | 11.8 | 4.3 | 37% | 7.5 | 63% | 12.4 | 9.1 | 74% | 3.3 | 26% |
| 2011 | 7.6 | 3.8 | 50% | 3.8 | 50% | 7.2 | 3.4 | 47% | 3.8 | 53% |
| 2012 | 6.7 | 2.9 | 44% | 3.8 | 56% | 6.6 | 2.7 | 41% | 3.9 | 59% |
| 2013 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 62% | 2.5 | 38% | 6.0 | 2.2 | 37% | 3.8 | 63% |
| 2014 | 4.6 | 2.2 | 48% | 2.4 | 51% | 4.7 | 2.6 | 55% | 2.1 | 45% |
| 2015 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 54% | 1.7 | 46% | 3.9 | 3.1 | 80% | 0.8 | 20% |
| 2016 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 82% | 0.4 | 18% | 2.3 | 1.2 | 52% | 1.1 | 48% |

Inyo County converted their Juvenile Hall to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall in January 2017

Note. ¹ Inyo County's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall (SPJH) in January 2017. An SPJH is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Facility-level data of provided of SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Figure 33. Inyo Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2016




Figure 34.

Population of Inyo Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2016





Figure 35.



KERN COUNTY

Table 28. Kern Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | _ | Α | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misden | neanor | Feld | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 384.2 | 58.5 | 15% | 325.7 | 85% | 387.4 | 180.2 | 47% | 207.2 | 53% |
| 2003 | 379.3 | 53.2 | 14% | 326.1 | 86% | 380.9 | 177.3 | 47% | 203.6 | 53% |
| 2004 | 392.6 | 62.4 | 16% | 330.2 | 84% | 391.6 | 207.3 | 53% | 184.3 | 47% |
| 2005 | 378.6 | 55.6 | 15% | 323.0 | 85% | 378.2 | 183.9 | 49% | 194.3 | 51% |
| 2006 | 403.9 | 64.6 | 16% | 339.3 | 84% | 412.5 | 154.4 | 37% | 258.1 | 63% |
| 2007 | 436.8 | 71.1 | 16% | 365.7 | 84% | 437.4 | 141.8 | 32% | 295.6 | 68% |
| 2008 | 449.8 | 71.1 | 16% | 378.7 | 84% | 446.6 | 175.5 | 39% | 271.1 | 61% |
| 2009 | 401.5 | 64.6 | 16% | 336.9 | 84% | 396.3 | 175.0 | 44% | 221.3 | 56% |
| 2010 | 385.7 | 75.9 | 20% | 309.8 | 80% | 376.4 | 138.8 | 37% | 237.6 | 63% |
| 2011 | 377.2 | 61.5 | 16% | 315.7 | 84% | 376.2 | 122.8 | 33% | 253.4 | 67% |
| 2012 | 350.7 | 52.0 | 15% | 298.7 | 85% | 344.8 | 131.7 | 38% | 213.1 | 62% |
| 2013 | 342.0 | 51.4 | 15% | 290.6 | 85% | 379.2 | 176.8 | 47% | 202.4 | 53% |
| 2014 | 338.5 | 46.9 | 14% | 291.6 | 86% | 345.5 | 170.7 | 49% | 174.8 | 51% |
| 2015 | 299.8 | 49.7 | 17% | 250.1 | 83% | 301.5 | 178.8 | 59% | 122.7 | 41% |
| 2016 | 251.3 | 42.5 | 17% | 208.8 | 83% | 259.2 | 150.3 | 58% | 108.9 | 42% |
| 2017 | 258.8 | 55.5 | 21% | 203.3 | 78% | 279.1 | 152.8 | 55% | 126.3 | 45% |
| 2018 | 253.6 | 56.6 | 22% | 197.0 | 78% | 253.0 | 122.4 | 48% | 130.6 | 52% |
| 2019 | 228.8 | 49.5 | 22% | 179.3 | 78% | 231.7 | 109.4 | 47% | 122.3 | 53% |

Table 29. Kern Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | А | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | | position | | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 121.9 | 58.5 | 48% | 63.4 | 52% | 123.4 | 79.9 | 65% | 43.5 | 35% |
| 2003 | 105.0 | 53.2 | 51% | 51.8 | 49% | 105.3 | 62.1 | 59% | 43.2 | 41% |
| 2004 | 125.7 | 62.4 | 50% | 63.3 | 50% | 126.5 | 88.8 | 70% | 37.7 | 30% |
| 2005 | 136.0 | 55.6 | 41% | 80.4 | 59% | 135.8 | 90.0 | 66% | 45.8 | 34% |
| 2006 | 148.1 | 64.6 | 44% | 83.5 | 56% | 156.0 | 65.2 | 42% | 90.8 | 58% |
| 2007 | 159.6 | 71.1 | 45% | 88.5 | 55% | 161.5 | 64.3 | 40% | 97.2 | 60% |
| 2008 | 157.0 | 71.1 | 45% | 85.9 | 55% | 156.3 | 71.4 | 46% | 84.9 | 54% |
| 2009 | 154.9 | 64.6 | 42% | 90.3 | 58% | 152.6 | 67.3 | 44% | 85.3 | 56% |
| 2010 | 154.6 | 75.9 | 49% | 78.7 | 51% | 141.9 | 53.6 | 38% | 88.3 | 62% |
| 2011 | 140.7 | 61.5 | 44% | 79.2 | 56% | 139.1 | 45.3 | 33% | 93.8 | 67% |
| 2012 | 114.6 | 52.0 | 45% | 62.6 | 55% | 113.3 | 38.8 | 34% | 74.5 | 66% |
| 2013 | 103.7 | 51.4 | 50% | 52.3 | 50% | 131.4 | 51.9 | 40% | 79.5 | 60% |
| 2014 | 92.0 | 46.9 | 51% | 45.1 | 49% | 98.2 | 42.5 | 43% | 55.7 | 57% |
| 2015 | 83.3 | 49.7 | 60% | 33.6 | 40% | 85.1 | 45.9 | 54% | 39.2 | 46% |
| 2016 | 67.1 | 42.5 | 63% | 24.6 | 37% | 68.6 | 33.3 | 49% | 35.3 | 51% |
| 2017 | 75.5 | 55.5 | 73% | 20.0 | 26% | 87.6 | 36.3 | 41% | 51.3 | 59% |
| 2018 | 82.2 | 56.6 | 69% | 25.6 | 31% | 79.9 | 30.3 | 38% | 49.6 | 62% |
| 2019 | 75.3 | 49.5 | 66% | 25.8 | 34% | 76.1 | 30.0 | 39% | 46.1 | 61% |

Table 30. Kern Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Average Daily Population | | | | | One-Day Snapshot | | | |
|------|--|--------------------------|------------|------------------|----------|----------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|
| | | Pre-Disposition | | Post-Disposition | | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | Juvenile Camps/Ranches only house Post-Disposition juveniles, therefore their Total ADP is only comprised of Post- | | nches only | 262.3 | 100% | 264.0 | 100.3 | 38% | 163.7 | 62% |
| 2003 | | | 274.3 | 100% | 275.6 | 115.2 | 42% | 160.4 | 58% | |
| 2004 | | | 267.0 | 100% | 265.0 | 118.4 | 45% | 146.6 | 55% | |
| 2005 | | | 242.6 | 100% | 242.5 | 93.9 | 39% | 148.6 | 61% | |
| 2006 | Disposition | Disposition juveniles. | | 255.8 | 100% | 256.6 | 89.3 | 35% | 167.3 | 65% |
| 2007 | | | | 277.2 | 100% | 275.8 | 77.4 | 28% | 198.4 | 72% |
| 2008 | | | 292.8 | 100% | 290.3 | 104.1 | 36% | 186.2 | 64% | |
| 2009 | | | 246.6 | 100% | 243.9 | 107.8 | 44% | 136.1 | 56% | |
| 2010 | | | 231.1 | 100% | 234.5 | 85.2 | 36% | 149.3 | 64% | |
| 2011 | | | 236.4 | 100% | 237.1 | 77.5 | 33% | 159.6 | 67% | |
| 2012 | | | 236.1 | 100% | 231.5 | 92.9 | 40% | 138.6 | 60% | |
| 2013 | | | 238.3 | 100% | 247.8 | 124.9 | 50% | 122.9 | 50% | |
| 2014 | | | 246.5 | 100% | 247.4 | 128.2 | 52% | 119.2 | 48% | |
| 2015 | | | | 216.5 | 100% | 216.3 | 132.8 | 61% | 83.5 | 39% |
| 2016 | | | 184.2 | 100% | 190.5 | 116.9 | 61% | 73.6 | 39% | |
| 2017 | | | 183.3 | 100% | 191.4 | 116.4 | 61% | 75.0 | 39% | |
| 2018 | | | 171.4 | 100% | 173.2 | 92.1 | 53% | 81.1 | 47% | |
| 2019 | D 11 D 1 | (i (ABB) | | 153.5 | 100% | 155.6 | 79.4 | 51% | 76.2 | 49% |

Figure 36. Kern ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 ¹




Figure 37.
Population of Kern Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 38.

Kern Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



KINGS COUNTY

Table 31. Kings Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| Year | | Average Daily Population | | | | _ | One-Day Snapshot | | | |
|------|-------|--------------------------|----------|------------------|----------|----------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Disposition | | Post-Disposition | | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | |
| | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 104.0 | 23.1 | 22% | 80.9 | 78% | 105.1 | 61.5 | 59% | 43.6 | 41% |
| 2003 | 104.9 | 22.0 | 21% | 82.9 | 79% | 104.4 | 53.8 | 52% | 50.6 | 48% |
| 2004 | 101.6 | 22.2 | 22% | 79.4 | 78% | 98.5 | 44.0 | 45% | 54.5 | 55% |
| 2005 | 93.7 | 24.3 | 26% | 69.4 | 74% | 94.7 | 43.0 | 45% | 51.7 | 55% |
| 2006 | 90.6 | 23.8 | 26% | 66.8 | 74% | 89.3 | 45.8 | 51% | 43.5 | 49% |
| 2007 | 83.6 | 20.5 | 25% | 63.1 | 75% | 83.9 | 53.5 | 64% | 30.4 | 36% |
| 2008 | 88.4 | 22.8 | 26% | 65.6 | 74% | 89.8 | 72.2 | 80% | 17.6 | 20% |
| 2009 | 84.8 | 24.0 | 28% | 60.8 | 72% | 91.7 | 79.7 | 87% | 12.0 | 13% |
| 2010 | 75.0 | 24.6 | 33% | 50.4 | 67% | 75.2 | 63.8 | 85% | 11.4 | 15% |
| 2011 | 86.6 | 28.8 | 33% | 57.8 | 67% | 92.3 | 58.8 | 64% | 33.5 | 36% |
| 2012 | 73.9 | 26.4 | 36% | 47.5 | 64% | 73.9 | 10.6 | 14% | 63.3 | 86% |
| 2013 | 62.7 | 26.6 | 42% | 36.1 | 58% | 64.0 | 11.4 | 18% | 52.6 | 82% |
| 2014 | 51.9 | 26.5 | 51% | 25.4 | 49% | 48.4 | 3.6 | 7% | 44.8 | 93% |
| 2015 | 44.4 | 24.8 | 56% | 19.6 | 44% | 40.8 | 2.5 | 6% | 38.3 | 94% |
| 2016 | 52.9 | 24.5 | 46% | 28.4 | 54% | 52.7 | 9.9 | 19% | 42.8 | 81% |
| 2017 | 39.8 | 23.9 | 60% | 15.9 | 40% | 41.0 | 6.3 | 15% | 34.7 | 85% |
| 2018 | 33.8 | 18.1 | 54% | 15.7 | 46% | 32.9 | 2.6 | 8% | 30.3 | 92% |
| 2019 | 27.2 | 15.3 | 56% | 11.9 | 44% | 29.7 | 5.4 | 18% | 24.3 | 82% |

Table 32. Kings Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Average Daily Population | | | | _ | One-Day Snapshot | | | |
|------|------|--------------------------|----------|------------------|----------|----------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Disposition | | Post-Disposition | | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 56.8 | 23.1 | 41% | 33.7 | 59% | 57.7 | 26.3 | 46% | 31.4 | 54% |
| 2003 | 58.4 | 22.0 | 38% | 36.4 | 62% | 57.9 | 24.1 | 42% | 33.8 | 58% |
| 2004 | 59.9 | 22.2 | 37% | 37.7 | 63% | 59.7 | 25.3 | 42% | 34.4 | 58% |
| 2005 | 60.6 | 24.3 | 40% | 36.3 | 60% | 60.2 | 23.9 | 40% | 36.3 | 60% |
| 2006 | 60.2 | 23.8 | 39% | 36.4 | 61% | 60.2 | 29.2 | 48% | 31.0 | 52% |
| 2007 | 61.1 | 20.5 | 34% | 40.6 | 66% | 62.1 | 37.4 | 60% | 24.7 | 40% |
| 2008 | 59.3 | 22.8 | 38% | 36.5 | 62% | 59.7 | 47.7 | 80% | 12.0 | 20% |
| 2009 | 57.4 | 24.0 | 42% | 33.4 | 58% | 63.1 | 55.8 | 88% | 7.3 | 12% |
| 2010 | 37.1 | 24.6 | 66% | 12.5 | 34% | 36.8 | 34.8 | 95% | 2.0 | 5% |
| 2011 | 40.6 | 28.8 | 71% | 11.8 | 29% | 41.0 | 25.1 | 61% | 15.9 | 39% |
| 2012 | 35.4 | 26.4 | 75% | 9.0 | 26% | 35.2 | 3.8 | 11% | 31.4 | 89% |
| 2013 | 38.2 | 26.6 | 70% | 11.6 | 30% | 38.2 | 5.1 | 13% | 33.1 | 87% |
| 2014 | 35.6 | 26.5 | 74% | 9.1 | 26% | 32.6 | 2.3 | 7% | 30.3 | 93% |
| 2015 | 29.8 | 24.8 | 83% | 5.0 | 17% | 26.5 | 1.8 | 7% | 24.7 | 93% |
| 2016 | 36.9 | 24.5 | 67% | 12.4 | 34% | 37.3 | 7.1 | 19% | 30.2 | 81% |
| 2017 | 29.0 | 23.9 | 82% | 5.1 | 18% | 29.9 | 3.6 | 12% | 26.3 | 88% |
| 2018 | 23.0 | 18.1 | 79% | 4.9 | 21% | 23.2 | 1.8 | 8% | 21.4 | 92% |
| 2019 | 22.6 | 15.3 | 68% | 7.3 | 32% | 24.5 | 4.6 | 19% | 19.9 | 81% |

Table 33. Kings Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | | Average Daily | y Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | | | | |
|------|-------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|-----|------|-----|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misden | neanor | Fel | ony | | | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | | | |
| 2002 | .luvenile (| Camps/Ran | nches only | 47.2 | 100% | 47.5 | 35.3 | 74% | 12.2 | 26% | | | |
| 2003 | | st-Dispositi | | 46.5 | 100% | 46.6 | 29.8 | 64% | 16.8 | 36% | | | |
| 2004 | | therefore t | | 41.8 | 100% | 38.8 | 18.7 | 48% | 20.1 | 52% | | | |
| 2005 | • | | ed of Post- | 33.2 | 100% | 34.5 | 19.1 | 55% | 15.4 | 45% | | | |
| 2006 | Dispositio | n juveniles | | 30.3 | 100% | 29.2 | 16.7 | 57% | 12.5 | 43% | | | |
| 2007 | | | | 22.5 | 100% | 21.9 | 16.1 | 74% | 5.8 | 26% | | | |
| 2008 | | | | 29.1 | 100% | 30.1 | 24.5 | 81% | 5.6 | 19% | | | |
| 2009 | | | | 27.4 | 100% | 28.6 | 23.9 | 84% | 4.7 | 16% | | | |
| 2010 | | | | 37.9 | 100% | 38.4 | 29.0 | 75% | 9.4 | 25% | | | |
| 2011 | | | | 46.0 | 100% | 51.4 | 33.8 | 66% | 17.6 | 34% | | | |
| 2012 | | | | 38.5 | 100% | 38.6 | 6.8 | 18% | 31.8 | 82% | | | |
| 2013 | | | | 24.5 | 100% | 25.8 | 6.3 | 25% | 19.5 | 75% | | | |
| 2014 | | | | 16.2 | 100% | 15.8 | 1.3 | 8% | 14.5 | 92% | | | |
| 2015 | | | 14.6 | 100% | 14.3 | 0.7 | 5% | 13.6 | 95% | | | | |
| 2016 | | | | | | | 16.0 | 100% | 15.4 | 2.8 | 18% | 12.6 | 82% |
| 2017 | | | | 10.8 | 100% | 11.2 | 2.8 | 25% | 8.4 | 75% | | | |
| 2018 | | | | 10.8 | 100% | 9.7 | 0.8 | 8% | 8.9 | 92% | | | |
| 2019 | | | | | 100% | 5.2 | 0.8 | 15% | 4.4 | 85% | | | |

Figure 39.

Kings ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 40.

Population of Kings Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 41.

Kings Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



LAKE COUNTY

Table 34. Lake Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2015 1

| | | A | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|------------|---------------|------------------|---------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | meanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 30.2 | 16.8 | 56% | 13.4 | 44% | 17.4 | 7.5 | 43% | 9.9 | 57% |
| 2003 | 35.2 | 14.8 | 42% | 20.3 | 58% | 18.3 | 7.5 | 41% | 10.8 | 59% |
| 2004 | 35.8 | 15.8 | 44% | 20.0 | 56% | 20.6 | 9.5 | 46% | 11.1 | 54% |
| 2005 | 35.2 | 14.9 | 42% | 20.3 | 58% | 23.9 | 9.8 | 41% | 14.2 | 59% |
| 2006 | 38.5 | 16.3 | 42% | 22.2 | 58% | 27.1 | 12.0 | 44% | 15.1 | 56% |
| 2007 | 38.4 | 16.5 | 43% | 21.9 | 57% | 27.6 | 12.9 | 47% | 14.7 | 53% |
| 2008 | 32.0 | 11.4 | 36% | 20.6 | 64% | 19.3 | 11.1 | 58% | 8.2 | 42% |
| 2009 | 21.0 | 9.8 | 47% | 11.2 | 53% | 14.9 | 6.6 | 44% | 8.3 | 56% |
| 2010 | 14.3 | 6.4 | 45% | 7.9 | 55% | 6.8 | 2.6 | 38% | 4.3 | 62% |
| 2011 | 12.4 | 5.7 | 46% | 6.8 | 54% | 6.6 | 3.8 | 58% | 2.8 | 42% |
| 2012 | 17.0 | 9.8 | 57% | 7.3 | 43% | 11.4 | 3.8 | 34% | 7.6 | 66% |
| 2013 | 19.6 | 9.1 | 46% | 10.5 | 54% | 11.5 | 4.4 | 38% | 7.1 | 62% |
| 2014 | 13.7 | 7.8 | 57% | 5.8 | 43% | 7.5 | 5.0 | 67% | 2.5 | 33% |
| 2015 | 9.5 | 6.1 | 64% | 3.4 | 36% | 5.2 | 3.3 | 63% | 1.9 | 37% |
| | | | Lake Cou | nty closed | their Juvenil | e Hall in Octobe | er 2015 | | | |

Figure 42. *Lake Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2015*




Figure 43.

Population of Lake Juvenile Hall by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2015





Figure 44.

Population of Lake Juvenile Hall by Misdemeanor and Felony as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2015



LASSEN COUNTY

Table 35. Lassen Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | А | verage Daily | Population | n | | | One-Day S | Snapshot | |
|-------------------|------|---------|--------------|------------|-----------|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot _ | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 21.1 | 15.0 | 71% | 6.1 | 29% | 20.4 | 7.4 | 36% | 13.0 | 64% |
| 2003 | 15.9 | 13.3 | 84% | 2.6 | 16% | 15.4 | 5.6 | 36% | 9.8 | 64% |
| 2004 | 14.3 | 11.8 | 83% | 2.5 | 18% | 13.7 | 2.4 | 18% | 11.3 | 82% |
| 2005 | 14.1 | 12.0 | 85% | 2.1 | 15% | 11.4 | 6.5 | 57% | 4.9 | 43% |
| 2006 | 14.1 | 11.5 | 81% | 2.6 | 18% | 13.3 | 10.4 | 78% | 2.9 | 22% |
| 2007 | 18.2 | 12.8 | 70% | 5.4 | 30% | 18.1 | 12.4 | 69% | 5.7 | 31% |
| 2008 | 16.5 | 6.1 | 37% | 10.4 | 63% | 14.9 | 8.6 | 58% | 6.3 | 42% |
| 2009 | 8.6 | 5.1 | 59% | 3.5 | 41% | 9.3 | 6.8 | 73% | 2.5 | 27% |
| 2010 | 10.3 | 6.1 | 59% | 4.2 | 41% | 10.4 | 6.2 | 60% | 4.2 | 40% |
| 2011 | 11.0 | 5.4 | 49% | 5.6 | 51% | 11.0 | 5.2 | 47% | 5.8 | 53% |
| 2012 | 8.3 | 3.0 | 36% | 5.3 | 64% | 8.3 | 4.3 | 52% | 4.0 | 48% |
| 2013 | 9.2 | 2.4 | 26% | 6.8 | 74% | 9.2 | 4.1 | 45% | 5.1 | 55% |
| 2014 | 10.1 | 3.8 | 38% | 6.3 | 62% | 10.1 | 0.8 | 8% | 9.3 | 92% |
| 2015 | 8.8 | 7.3 | 83% | 1.5 | 17% | 8.2 | 5.8 | 70% | 2.4 | 30% |
| 2016 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 100% | 0.0 | 0% | 5.3 | 5.3 | 100% | 0.0 | 0% |
| 2017 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 100% | 0.0 | 0% | 4.3 | 4.3 | 100% | 0.0 | 0% |
| 2018 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 88% | 0.4 | 12% | 3.6 | 3.3 | 91% | 0.3 | 9% |
| 2019 ² | 3.0 | 0.2 | 6% | 2.8 | 94% | 1.5 | 0.3 | 22% | 1.2 | 78% |

Figure 45. *Lassen Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019* ¹







Figure 46. Population of Lassen Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 ¹







LOS ANGELES COUNTY

Table 36. Los Angeles Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Д | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|--------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Feld | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 3686.2 | 892.3 | 24% | 2793.9 | 76% | 3669.4 | 819.4 | 22% | 2850.0 | 78% |
| 2003 | 3728.8 | 903.2 | 24% | 2825.6 | 76% | 3694.4 | 771.3 | 21% | 2923.1 | 79% |
| 2004 | 3528.0 | 979.8 | 28% | 2548.2 | 72% | 3534.2 | 694.9 | 20% | 2839.3 | 80% |
| 2005 | 3540.6 | 1071.8 | 30% | 2468.8 | 70% | 3515.6 | 696.5 | 20% | 2819.1 | 80% |
| 2006 | 3437.2 | 1137.8 | 33% | 2299.4 | 67% | 3377.7 | 596.9 | 18% | 2780.8 | 82% |
| 2007 | 3276.2 | 1110.2 | 34% | 2166.0 | 66% | 3171.3 | 582.5 | 18% | 2588.8 | 82% |
| 2008 | 3161.8 | 1056.8 | 33% | 2105.0 | 67% | 3167.3 | 576.4 | 18% | 2590.9 | 82% |
| 2009 | 2986.8 | 981.1 | 33% | 2005.7 | 67% | 2989.1 | 531.3 | 18% | 2457.8 | 82% |
| 2010 | 2569.8 | 747.8 | 29% | 1822.0 | 71% | 2605.3 | 633.5 | 24% | 1971.8 | 76% |
| 2011 | 2294.8 | 646.8 | 28% | 1648.0 | 72% | 2303.4 | 598.9 | 26% | 1704.5 | 74% |
| 2012 | 2057.8 | 547.3 | 27% | 1510.5 | 73% | 2053.8 | 549.0 | 27% | 1504.8 | 73% |
| 2013 | 1753.1 | 396.7 | 23% | 1356.4 | 77% | 1727.4 | 492.0 | 28% | 1235.4 | 72% |
| 2014 | 1440.4 | 373.8 | 26% | 1066.6 | 74% | 1473.2 | 409.3 | 28% | 1063.9 | 72% |
| 2015 | 1310.8 | 391.0 | 30% | 919.8 | 70% | 1366.0 | 407.9 | 30% | 958.1 | 70% |
| 2016 | 1168.9 | 367.3 | 31% | 801.6 | 69% | 1201.3 | 329.2 | 27% | 872.1 | 73% |
| 2017 | 1129.7 | 362.8 | 32% | 766.9 | 68% | 1122.2 | 318.9 | 28% | 803.3 | 72% |
| 2018 | 956.5 | 324.1 | 34% | 632.4 | 66% | 963.6 | 256.0 | 27% | 707.6 | 73% |
| 2019 | 867.0 | 386.3 | 45% | 480.7 | 55% | 879.7 | 225.0 | 26% | 654.7 | 74% |

Table 37. Los Angeles Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Д | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|--------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 1614.5 | 892.3 | 55% | 722.2 | 45% | 1595.0 | 305.8 | 19% | 1289.2 | 81% |
| 2003 | 1625.3 | 903.2 | 56% | 722.1 | 44% | 1586.2 | 275.3 | 17% | 1310.9 | 83% |
| 2004 | 1557.8 | 979.8 | 63% | 578.0 | 37% | 1550.1 | 299.3 | 19% | 1250.8 | 81% |
| 2005 | 1656.0 | 1071.8 | 65% | 584.2 | 35% | 1635.0 | 334.5 | 20% | 1300.5 | 80% |
| 2006 | 1722.7 | 1137.8 | 66% | 584.9 | 34% | 1715.6 | 351.6 | 20% | 1364.0 | 80% |
| 2007 | 1683.9 | 1110.2 | 66% | 573.7 | 34% | 1650.9 | 350.1 | 21% | 1300.8 | 79% |
| 2008 | 1578.3 | 1056.8 | 67% | 521.5 | 33% | 1575.3 | 360.8 | 23% | 1214.5 | 77% |
| 2009 | 1475.2 | 981.1 | 67% | 494.1 | 33% | 1473.7 | 351.4 | 24% | 1122.3 | 76% |
| 2010 | 1260.6 | 747.8 | 59% | 512.8 | 41% | 1299.7 | 486.0 | 37% | 813.7 | 63% |
| 2011 | 1125.1 | 646.8 | 57% | 478.3 | 43% | 1140.1 | 467.2 | 41% | 672.9 | 59% |
| 2012 | 980.1 | 547.3 | 56% | 432.8 | 44% | 987.8 | 416.2 | 42% | 571.6 | 58% |
| 2013 | 897.5 | 396.7 | 44% | 500.8 | 56% | 893.6 | 383.8 | 43% | 509.8 | 57% |
| 2014 | 802.5 | 373.8 | 47% | 428.7 | 53% | 795.1 | 343.8 | 43% | 451.3 | 57% |
| 2015 | 734.9 | 391.0 | 53% | 343.9 | 47% | 748.1 | 337.8 | 45% | 410.3 | 55% |
| 2016 | 657.3 | 367.3 | 56% | 290.0 | 44% | 662.4 | 287.7 | 43% | 374.7 | 57% |
| 2017 | 702.5 | 362.8 | 52% | 339.7 | 48% | 701.1 | 274.3 | 39% | 426.8 | 61% |
| 2018 | 638.0 | 324.1 | 51% | 313.9 | 49% | 644.6 | 238.4 | 37% | 406.2 | 63% |
| 2019 | 584.1 | 386.3 | 66% | 197.8 | 34% | 592.7 | 205.6 | 35% | 387.1 | 65% |

Table 38. Los Angeles Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | <u> </u> | | Average Dail | y Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | | |
|------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|-------|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misden | neanor | Fel | ony | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | :amps/Rar | nches only | 2071.8 | 100% | 2074.4 | 513.6 | 25% | 1560.8 | 75% | |
| 2003 | house Pos | | | 2103.5 | 100% | 2108.2 | 496.0 | 24% | 1612.2 | 76% | |
| 2004 | juveniles, | | | 1970.2 | 100% | 1984.2 | 395.7 | 20% | 1588.5 | 80% | |
| 2005 | | | ed of Post- | 1884.6 | 100% | 1880.6 | 362.0 | 19% | 1518.6 | 81% | |
| 2006 | Disposition | n juveniles | 5. | 1714.5 | 100% | 1662.1 | 245.3 | 15% | 1416.8 | 85% | |
| 2007 | | | | 1592.3 | 100% | 1520.4 | 232.4 | 15% | 1288.0 | 85% | |
| 2008 | | | | 1583.5 | 100% | 1592.0 | 215.6 | 14% | 1376.4 | 86% | |
| 2009 | | | | 1511.6 | 100% | 1515.3 | 179.8 | 12% | 1335.5 | 88% | |
| 2010 | | | | 1309.2 | 100% | 1305.6 | 147.5 | 11% | 1158.1 | 89% | |
| 2011 | | | | 1169.8 | 100% | 1163.4 | 131.8 | 11% | 1031.6 | 89% | |
| 2012 | | | | 1077.8 | 100% | 1066.1 | 132.8 | 12% | 933.3 | 88% | |
| 2013 | | | | 855.7 | 100% | 833.9 | 108.2 | 13% | 725.7 | 87% | |
| 2014 | | | | 637.9 | 100% | 678.2 | 65.5 | 10% | 612.7 | 90% | |
| 2015 | | 575.8 | 100% | 618.0 | 70.2 | 11% | 547.8 | 89% | | | |
| 2016 | | | | | | 511.6 | 100% | 538.9 | 41.5 | 8% | 497.4 |
| 2017 | | | | 427.3 | 100% | 421.2 | 44.7 | 11% | 376.5 | 89% | |
| 2018 | | | | 318.5 | 100% | 319.0 | 17.6 | 6% | 301.4 | 94% | |
| 2019 | D 11 D 1 | | | | 100% | 287.0 | 19.4 | 7% | 267.6 | 93% | |

Figure 48.
Los Angeles ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 49.
Population of Los Angeles Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 50.
Los Angeles Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



MADERA COUNTY

Table 39. Madera Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Α | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 91.4 | 20.4 | 22% | 71.0 | 78% | 89.2 | 38.0 | 43% | 51.2 | 57% |
| 2003 | 82.9 | 21.9 | 26% | 61.0 | 74% | 83.7 | 42.1 | 50% | 41.6 | 50% |
| 2004 | 75.2 | 20.9 | 28% | 54.3 | 72% | 76.0 | 34.2 | 45% | 41.8 | 55% |
| 2005 | 76.5 | 21.8 | 28% | 54.7 | 71% | 77.0 | 38.6 | 50% | 38.4 | 50% |
| 2006 | 82.8 | 26.0 | 31% | 56.8 | 69% | 82.2 | 41.3 | 50% | 40.9 | 50% |
| 2007 | 73.2 | 21.6 | 29% | 51.6 | 70% | 73.4 | 28.9 | 39% | 44.5 | 61% |
| 2008 | 75.7 | 22.6 | 30% | 53.1 | 70% | 75.8 | 26.8 | 35% | 49.0 | 65% |
| 2009 | 73.4 | 29.8 | 41% | 43.6 | 59% | 73.2 | 18.4 | 25% | 54.8 | 75% |
| 2010 | 53.6 | 26.5 | 50% | 27.1 | 51% | 53.4 | 16.3 | 30% | 37.1 | 70% |
| 2011 | 46.6 | 21.8 | 47% | 24.8 | 53% | 46.3 | 14.9 | 32% | 31.4 | 68% |
| 2012 | 51.1 | 21.2 | 41% | 29.9 | 59% | 51.4 | 16.2 | 31% | 35.2 | 69% |
| 2013 | 56.9 | 25.1 | 44% | 31.8 | 56% | 56.9 | 18.1 | 32% | 38.8 | 68% |
| 2014 | 54.0 | 21.1 | 39% | 32.9 | 61% | 54.2 | 20.6 | 38% | 33.6 | 62% |
| 2015 | 44.1 | 17.8 | 40% | 26.3 | 60% | 44.2 | 26.4 | 60% | 17.8 | 40% |
| 2016 | 43.8 | 17.0 | 39% | 26.8 | 61% | 43.7 | 24.4 | 56% | 19.3 | 44% |
| 2017 | 48.6 | 16.8 | 34% | 31.8 | 66% | 48.8 | 26.5 | 54% | 22.3 | 46% |
| 2018 | 43.4 | 16.0 | 37% | 27.4 | 63% | 43.4 | 17.9 | 41% | 25.5 | 59% |
| 2019 | 39.5 | 14.8 | 38% | 24.7 | 62% | 39.5 | 17.2 | 43% | 22.3 | 57% |

Table 40. Madera Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Д | verage Daily | / Population | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|--------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 45.3 | 20.4 | 45% | 24.9 | 55% | 43.3 | 20.1 | 46% | 23.2 | 54% |
| 2003 | 39.4 | 21.9 | 56% | 17.5 | 44% | 39.7 | 21.4 | 54% | 18.3 | 46% |
| 2004 | 39.6 | 20.9 | 53% | 18.7 | 47% | 39.6 | 18.8 | 48% | 20.8 | 52% |
| 2005 | 37.4 | 21.8 | 58% | 15.6 | 42% | 37.5 | 20.2 | 54% | 17.3 | 46% |
| 2006 | 43.2 | 26.0 | 60% | 17.2 | 40% | 42.9 | 30.0 | 70% | 12.9 | 30% |
| 2007 | 40.7 | 21.6 | 53% | 19.1 | 47% | 40.6 | 14.8 | 36% | 25.8 | 64% |
| 2008 | 36.6 | 22.6 | 62% | 14.0 | 38% | 36.6 | 9.4 | 26% | 27.2 | 74% |
| 2009 | 45.1 | 29.8 | 66% | 15.3 | 34% | 45.1 | 10.8 | 24% | 34.3 | 76% |
| 2010 | 34.4 | 26.5 | 77% | 7.9 | 23% | 34.4 | 11.1 | 32% | 23.3 | 68% |
| 2011 | 27.1 | 21.8 | 80% | 5.3 | 20% | 27.2 | 8.7 | 32% | 18.5 | 68% |
| 2012 | 28.5 | 21.2 | 74% | 7.3 | 26% | 28.4 | 8.4 | 30% | 20.0 | 70% |
| 2013 | 31.3 | 25.1 | 80% | 6.2 | 20% | 31.1 | 6.8 | 22% | 24.3 | 78% |
| 2014 | 30.0 | 21.1 | 70% | 8.9 | 30% | 30.0 | 10.1 | 34% | 19.9 | 66% |
| 2015 | 26.6 | 17.8 | 67% | 8.8 | 33% | 26.5 | 15.2 | 57% | 11.3 | 43% |
| 2016 | 29.3 | 17.0 | 58% | 12.3 | 42% | 29.4 | 15.2 | 52% | 14.2 | 48% |
| 2017 | 27.6 | 16.8 | 61% | 10.8 | 39% | 27.6 | 15.3 | 55% | 12.3 | 45% |
| 2018 | 25.9 | 16.0 | 62% | 9.9 | 38% | 25.9 | 11.9 | 46% | 14.0 | 54% |
| 2019 | 26.0 | 14.8 | 57% | 11.2 | 43% | 26.0 | 13.3 | 51% | 12.7 | 49% |

Table 41. Madera Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | | Average Dail | y Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | | | | | | |
|------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|------|-----|------|------|-----|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Feld | ony | | | | | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | | | | | |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | amns/Rar | nches only | 46.0 | 100% | 45.9 | 17.9 | 39% | 28.0 | 61% | | | | | |
| 2003 | house Pos | | | 43.5 | 100% | 44.0 | 20.7 | 47% | 23.3 | 53% | | | | | |
| 2004 | juveniles, t | | | 35.6 | 100% | 36.3 | 15.3 | 42% | 21.0 | 58% | | | | | |
| 2005 | | | ed of Post- | 39.1 | 100% | 39.6 | 18.4 | 47% | 21.2 | 53% | | | | | |
| 2006 | Disposition | n juveniles | | 39.6 | 100% | 39.3 | 11.3 | 29% | 28.0 | 71% | | | | | |
| 2007 | | | | 32.5 | 100% | 32.8 | 14.1 | 43% | 18.7 | 57% | | | | | |
| 2008 | | | | | 100% | 39.2 | 17.4 | 44% | 21.8 | 56% | | | | | |
| 2009 | | | | | 100% | 28.1 | 7.6 | 27% | 20.5 | 73% | | | | | |
| 2010 | | | | 19.2 | 100% | 19.0 | 5.2 | 27% | 13.8 | 73% | | | | | |
| 2011 | | | | | | | | | 19.5 | 100% | 19.2 | 6.3 | 33% | 12.9 | 67% |
| 2012 | | | | | | | | | 22.7 | 100% | 23.0 | 7.8 | 34% | 15.2 | 66% |
| 2013 | | | | | | | | 25.7 | 100% | 25.8 | 11.3 | 44% | 14.5 | 56% | |
| 2014 | | | | | | | | 24.0 | 100% | 24.2 | 10.5 | 43% | 13.7 | 57% | |
| 2015 | | | 17.6 | 100% | 17.7 | 11.3 | 64% | 6.4 | 36% | | | | | | |
| 2016 | | | | | | | 14.4 | 100% | 14.5 | 9.3 | 64% | 5.2 | 36% | | |
| 2017 | | | | 21.1 | 100% | 21.3 | 11.3 | 53% | 10.0 | 47% | | | | | |
| 2018 | | | | 17.5 | 100% | 17.5 | 6.0 | 34% | 11.5 | 66% | | | | | |
| 2019 | D " D . | | | | 100% | 13.5 | 3.8 | 28% | 9.7 | 72% | | | | | |

Figure 51.

Madera ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 52.
Population of Madera Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







MARIN COUNTY

Table 42. Marin Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Α | verage Daily | / Population | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|--------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 22.1 | 10.8 | 49% | 11.3 | 51% | 22.0 | 14.3 | 65% | 7.7 | 35% |
| 2003 | 22.6 | 12.6 | 55% | 10.0 | 44% | 22.8 | 15.5 | 68% | 7.3 | 32% |
| 2004 | 25.7 | 12.4 | 48% | 13.3 | 52% | 25.2 | 15.8 | 63% | 9.4 | 37% |
| 2005 | 29.9 | 16.1 | 54% | 13.8 | 46% | 31.0 | 15.8 | 51% | 15.2 | 49% |
| 2006 | 25.7 | 15.1 | 59% | 10.6 | 41% | 25.4 | 12.2 | 48% | 13.2 | 52% |
| 2007 | 24.8 | 14.3 | 57% | 10.5 | 42% | 24.8 | 12.3 | 50% | 12.5 | 50% |
| 2008 | 25.4 | 12.2 | 48% | 13.2 | 52% | 25.4 | 13.2 | 52% | 12.2 | 48% |
| 2009 | 22.2 | 8.8 | 39% | 13.4 | 60% | 23.7 | 15.4 | 65% | 8.3 | 35% |
| 2010 | 20.6 | 8.3 | 40% | 12.3 | 59% | 23.7 | 15.3 | 64% | 8.4 | 36% |
| 2011 | 16.0 | 7.1 | 44% | 8.9 | 55% | 18.6 | 9.2 | 49% | 9.4 | 51% |
| 2012 | 12.6 | 5.1 | 41% | 7.5 | 60% | 13.6 | 8.9 | 66% | 4.7 | 34% |
| 2013 | 10.8 | 3.8 | 35% | 7.0 | 65% | 11.4 | 7.4 | 65% | 4.0 | 35% |
| 2014 | 8.2 | 3.2 | 39% | 5.0 | 61% | 8.9 | 6.3 | 71% | 2.6 | 29% |
| 2015 | 13.9 | 6.8 | 49% | 7.1 | 51% | 14.9 | 9.5 | 64% | 5.4 | 36% |
| 2016 | 14.9 | 9.1 | 61% | 5.8 | 39% | 14.2 | 7.3 | 51% | 6.9 | 49% |
| 2017 | 12.9 | 5.9 | 46% | 7.0 | 54% | 13.9 | 8.9 | 64% | 5.0 | 36% |
| 2018 | 12.4 | 5.4 | 43% | 7.0 | 56% | 10.5 | 7.6 | 72% | 2.9 | 28% |
| 2019 | 13.8 | 4.5 | 32% | 9.3 | 66% | 9.5 | 7.6 | 80% | 1.9 | 20% |

Figure 54. *Marin Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019* ¹






Figure 55.
Population of Marin Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







MENDOCINO COUNTY

Table 43. Mendocino Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | А | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | _ |
|------|------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 32.4 | 19.6 | 60% | 12.8 | 39% | 33.0 | 23.3 | 71% | 9.7 | 29% |
| 2003 | 33.6 | 19.4 | 58% | 14.2 | 42% | 35.9 | 24.8 | 69% | 11.1 | 31% |
| 2004 | 32.5 | 18.2 | 56% | 14.3 | 44% | 34.5 | 25.8 | 75% | 8.7 | 25% |
| 2005 | 37.0 | 18.6 | 50% | 18.4 | 50% | 39.5 | 27.8 | 70% | 11.7 | 30% |
| 2006 | 30.2 | 16.6 | 55% | 13.6 | 45% | 32.5 | 23.0 | 71% | 9.5 | 29% |
| 2007 | 35.4 | 20.6 | 58% | 14.8 | 42% | 36.6 | 23.8 | 65% | 12.8 | 35% |
| 2008 | 33.7 | 18.2 | 54% | 15.5 | 46% | 34.0 | 25.6 | 75% | 8.4 | 25% |
| 2009 | 28.9 | 14.6 | 50% | 14.3 | 50% | 29.1 | 23.4 | 81% | 5.7 | 19% |
| 2010 | 25.9 | 14.4 | 55% | 11.5 | 44% | 26.1 | 18.5 | 71% | 7.6 | 29% |
| 2011 | 22.1 | 12.6 | 57% | 9.5 | 43% | 24.6 | 18.3 | 74% | 6.3 | 26% |
| 2012 | 23.4 | 13.2 | 56% | 10.2 | 44% | 23.8 | 18.5 | 78% | 5.3 | 22% |
| 2013 | 20.3 | 11.3 | 56% | 9.0 | 44% | 22.1 | 16.3 | 74% | 5.8 | 26% |
| 2014 | 10.5 | 6.8 | 65% | 3.7 | 35% | 11.7 | 8.9 | 76% | 2.8 | 24% |
| 2015 | 12.1 | 8.0 | 67% | 4.1 | 34% | 12.9 | 9.5 | 74% | 3.4 | 26% |
| 2016 | 21.6 | 15.3 | 71% | 6.3 | 29% | 22.1 | 14.9 | 68% | 7.2 | 32% |
| 2017 | 17.0 | 11.4 | 67% | 5.6 | 33% | 17.9 | 13.6 | 76% | 4.3 | 24% |
| 2018 | 15.1 | 11.1 | 74% | 4.0 | 26% | 17.1 | 10.3 | 60% | 6.8 | 40% |
| 2019 | 13.4 | 7.5 | 56% | 5.9 | 44% | 12.7 | 4.3 | 34% | 8.4 | 66% |

Figure 57.

Mendocino Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019 ¹






Figure 58.
Population of Mendocino Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







MERCED COUNTY

Table 44. Merced Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Α | verage Daily | Populatio | n | _ | | One-Day S | Snapshot | |
|-------------------|-------|----------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 42.3 | 36.8 | 87% | 5.5 | 13% | 42.9 | 2.9 | 7% | 40.0 | 93% |
| 2003 | 43.2 | 38.5 | 89% | 4.7 | 11% | 42.3 | 3.9 | 9% | 38.4 | 91% |
| 2004 | 48.1 | 42.6 | 88% | 5.5 | 11% | 48.3 | 6.6 | 14% | 41.7 | 86% |
| 2005 | 69.7 | 53.5 | 77% | 16.2 | 23% | 65.6 | 14.3 | 22% | 51.3 | 78% |
| 2006 | 92.0 | 52.3 | 57% | 39.7 | 43% | 86.3 | 21.2 | 25% | 65.1 | 75% |
| 2007 | 99.0 | 44.6 | 45% | 54.4 | 55% | 88.1 | 25.6 | 29% | 62.5 | 71% |
| 2008 | 96.0 | 42.0 | 44% | 54.0 | 56% | 101.4 | 29.3 | 29% | 72.1 | 71% |
| 2009 | 98.4 | 41.2 | 42% | 57.2 | 58% | 102.9 | 24.6 | 24% | 78.3 | 76% |
| 2010 | 105.9 | 47.9 | 45% | 58.0 | 55% | 108.8 | 26.8 | 25% | 82.0 | 75% |
| 2011 | 91.7 | 44.4 | 48% | 47.3 | 52% | 77.1 | 13.6 | 18% | 63.5 | 82% |
| 2012 | 77.2 | 35.8 | 46% | 41.4 | 54% | 57.5 | 6.8 | 12% | 50.7 | 88% |
| 2013 | 65.1 | 36.0 | 55% | 29.1 | 45% | 47.7 | 6.8 | 14% | 40.9 | 86% |
| 2014 | 56.9 | 30.1 | 53% | 26.8 | 47% | 43.1 | 5.9 | 14% | 37.2 | 86% |
| 2015 | 52.0 | 28.2 | 54% | 23.8 | 46% | 42.2 | 7.1 | 17% | 35.1 | 83% |
| 2016 | 54.9 | 29.4 | 54% | 25.5 | 46% | 40.1 | 4.6 | 11% | 35.5 | 89% |
| 2017 | 49.4 | 23.4 | 48% | 26.0 | 53% | 35.6 | 4.3 | 12% | 31.3 | 88% |
| 2018 | 47.7 | 24.4 | 51% | 23.3 | 49% | 38.2 | 4.8 | 12% | 33.4 | 88% |
| 2019 ² | 37.8 | U ³ | U | U | U | 37.0 | 2.8 | 8% | 34.2 | 92% |

Note. ² 2019 Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition breakdown is currently unavailable. ³ U is defined as unavailable. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Table 45. Merced Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Average Daily Population | | | | | One-Day Snapshot | | | |
|-------------------|------|--------------------------|----------|------------------|----------|----------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Disposition | | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 42.3 | 36.8 | 87% | 5.5 | 13% | 42.9 | 2.9 | 7% | 40.0 | 93% |
| 2003 | 43.2 | 38.5 | 89% | 4.7 | 11% | 42.3 | 3.9 | 9% | 38.4 | 91% |
| 2004 | 48.1 | 42.6 | 88% | 5.5 | 11% | 48.3 | 6.6 | 14% | 41.7 | 86% |
| 2005 | 69.7 | 53.5 | 77% | 16.2 | 23% | 65.6 | 14.3 | 22% | 51.3 | 78% |
| 2006 | 57.8 | 52.3 | 90% | 5.5 | 10% | 54.2 | 10.7 | 20% | 43.5 | 80% |
| 2007 | 46.3 | 44.6 | 96% | 1.7 | 4% | 42.8 | 6.3 | 15% | 36.5 | 85% |
| 2008 | 42.9 | 42.0 | 98% | 0.9 | 2% | 50.1 | 14.5 | 29% | 35.6 | 71% |
| 2009 | 41.8 | 41.2 | 99% | 0.6 | 1% | 48.9 | 14.9 | 30% | 34.0 | 70% |
| 2010 | 49.0 | 47.9 | 98% | 1.1 | 2% | 50.9 | 10.1 | 20% | 40.8 | 80% |
| 2011 | 45.1 | 44.4 | 98% | 0.7 | 1% | 39.3 | 4.8 | 12% | 34.5 | 88% |
| 2012 | 36.4 | 35.8 | 98% | 0.6 | 2% | 28.8 | 3.4 | 12% | 25.4 | 88% |
| 2013 | 36.3 | 36.0 | 99% | 0.3 | 1% | 28.2 | 3.4 | 12% | 24.8 | 88% |
| 2014 | 30.8 | 30.1 | 98% | 0.7 | 2% | 26.5 | 3.6 | 14% | 22.9 | 86% |
| 2015 | 28.3 | 28.2 | 100% | 0.1 | 0% | 25.7 | 4.5 | 18% | 21.2 | 82% |
| 2016 | 29.5 | 29.4 | 99% | 0.1 | 0% | 26.6 | 2.8 | 11% | 23.8 | 89% |
| 2017 | 23.7 | 23.4 | 99% | 0.3 | 1% | 21.1 | 1.8 | 9% | 19.3 | 91% |
| 2018 | 24.7 | 24.4 | 99% | 0.3 | 1% | 22.3 | 3.0 | 13% | 19.3 | 87% |
| 2019 ² | 20.8 | U^3 | U | U | U | 20.3 | 2.5 | 12% | 17.8 | 88% |

Note. ² 2019 Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition breakdown is currently unavailable. ³ U is defined as unavailable. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the

Table 46. Merced Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2006² through 2019¹

| 1 able 40. | 1110100000 | | Average Daily Population | | | One-Day Snapshot | | | | | |
|------------|------------------------|------------|--------------------------|--|----------|------------------|-------------|----------|--------|----------|--|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Disposition | | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | amps/Rar | nches only | | | | | | | | |
| 2003 | house Pos | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2004 | juveniles, | • | | | | | | | | | |
| 2005 | ADP is on | ly compris | ed of Post- | Merced County's Camp opened February 2006. | | | | | | | |
| 2006 | Disposition juveniles. | | | 37.3 | 100% | 35.0 | 11.5 | 33% | 23.5 | 67% | |
| 2007 | | | | 52.8 | 100% | 45.3 | 19.3 | 43% | 26.0 | 57% | |
| 2008 | | | | 53.1 | 100% | 51.3 | 14.8 | 29% | 36.5 | 71% | |
| 2009 | | | | 56.6 | 100% | 54.0 | 9.7 | 18% | 44.3 | 82% | |
| 2010 | | | | 56.8 | 100% | 58.0 | 16.8 | 29% | 41.2 | 71% | |
| 2011 | | | | 46.7 | 100% | 37.8 | 8.8 | 23% | 29.0 | 77% | |
| 2012 | | | | 40.8 | 100% | 28.7 | 3.4 | 12% | 25.3 | 88% | |
| 2013 | | | | 28.8 | 100% | 19.5 | 3.3 | 17% | 16.2 | 83% | |
| 2014 | | | | 26.1 | 100% | 16.6 | 2.3 | 14% | 14.3 | 86% | |
| 2015 | | | | 23.7 | 100% | 16.5 | 2.6 | 16% | 13.9 | 84% | |
| 2016 | | | | 25.4 | 100% | 13.5 | 1.8 | 13% | 11.7 | 87% | |
| 2017 | | | | 25.7 | 100% | 14.5 | 2.4 | 17% | 12.1 | 83% | |
| 2018 | | | | 23.0 | 100% | 15.9 | 1.8 | 11% | 14.1 | 89% | |
| 2019 | | | | 17.2 | 100% | 16.6 | 0.3 | 2% | 16.3 | 98% | |

Figure 60.

Merced ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 61.
Population of Merced Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2018²



Note. 2 2019 data is currently unavailable

Figure 62.

Merced Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



MONTEREY COUNTY

Table 47. Monterey Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019

| | | Д | verage Daily | Populatio | n | _ | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 154.7 | 81.5 | 53% | 73.2 | 47% | 154.6 | 98.8 | 64% | 55.8 | 36% |
| 2003 | 125.6 | 58.9 | 47% | 66.7 | 53% | 125.6 | 76.8 | 61% | 48.8 | 39% |
| 2004 | 133.5 | 49.9 | 37% | 83.6 | 63% | 131.4 | 65.3 | 50% | 66.1 | 50% |
| 2005 | 141.8 | 51.9 | 37% | 89.9 | 63% | 141.8 | 84.0 | 59% | 57.8 | 41% |
| 2006 | 148.8 | 58.7 | 39% | 90.1 | 61% | 148.7 | 89.4 | 60% | 59.3 | 40% |
| 2007 | 148.2 | 66.6 | 45% | 81.6 | 55% | 148.2 | 86.5 | 58% | 61.7 | 42% |
| 2008 | 152.7 | 61.0 | 40% | 91.7 | 60% | 152.7 | 97.3 | 64% | 55.4 | 36% |
| 2009 | 164.4 | 65.4 | 40% | 99.0 | 60% | 164.4 | 104.3 | 63% | 60.1 | 37% |
| 2010 | 162.9 | 59.3 | 36% | 103.6 | 64% | 162.8 | 84.5 | 52% | 78.3 | 48% |
| 2011 | 140.3 | 58.0 | 41% | 82.3 | 59% | 140.4 | 54.1 | 39% | 86.3 | 61% |
| 2012 | 126.6 | 48.8 | 39% | 77.8 | 61% | 128.6 | 55.8 | 43% | 72.8 | 57% |
| 2013 | 118.8 | 44.7 | 38% | 74.1 | 62% | 119.4 | 33.2 | 28% | 86.2 | 72% |
| 2014 | 93.9 | 33.9 | 36% | 60.0 | 64% | 94.0 | 33.6 | 36% | 60.4 | 64% |
| 2015 | 92.6 | 32.4 | 35% | 60.2 | 65% | 93.1 | 33.3 | 36% | 59.8 | 64% |
| 2016 | 90.0 | 31.8 | 35% | 58.2 | 65% | 87.6 | 32.8 | 37% | 54.8 | 63% |
| 2017 | 75.5 | 32.8 | 43% | 42.7 | 57% | 75.5 | 23.3 | 31% | 52.2 | 69% |
| 2018 | 77.9 | 33.4 | 43% | 44.5 | 57% | 76.0 | 13.6 | 18% | 62.4 | 82% |
| 2019 | 80.6 | 33.9 | 42% | 46.7 | 58% | 77.1 | 14.9 | 19% | 62.2 | 81% |

Table 48. Monterey Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | А | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 111.4 | 81.5 | 73% | 29.9 | 27% | 111.5 | 73.8 | 66% | 37.7 | 34% |
| 2003 | 87.5 | 58.9 | 67% | 28.6 | 33% | 87.5 | 56.8 | 65% | 30.7 | 35% |
| 2004 | 87.3 | 49.9 | 57% | 37.4 | 43% | 85.3 | 40.2 | 47% | 45.1 | 53% |
| 2005 | 97.2 | 51.9 | 53% | 45.3 | 47% | 97.2 | 54.4 | 56% | 42.8 | 44% |
| 2006 | 98.5 | 58.7 | 60% | 39.8 | 40% | 98.4 | 54.1 | 55% | 44.3 | 45% |
| 2007 | 99.8 | 66.6 | 67% | 33.2 | 33% | 99.8 | 50.8 | 51% | 49.0 | 49% |
| 2008 | 100.3 | 61.0 | 61% | 39.3 | 39% | 100.4 | 55.3 | 55% | 45.1 | 45% |
| 2009 | 110.3 | 65.4 | 59% | 44.9 | 41% | 110.4 | 61.3 | 56% | 49.1 | 44% |
| 2010 | 109.6 | 59.3 | 54% | 50.3 | 46% | 109.6 | 62.4 | 57% | 47.2 | 43% |
| 2011 | 93.3 | 58.0 | 62% | 35.3 | 38% | 93.3 | 52.0 | 56% | 41.3 | 44% |
| 2012 | 78.1 | 48.8 | 63% | 29.3 | 38% | 79.9 | 54.8 | 69% | 25.1 | 31% |
| 2013 | 71.8 | 44.7 | 62% | 27.1 | 38% | 72.3 | 31.8 | 44% | 40.5 | 56% |
| 2014 | 53.7 | 33.9 | 63% | 19.8 | 37% | 53.9 | 24.7 | 46% | 29.2 | 54% |
| 2015 | 54.2 | 32.4 | 60% | 21.8 | 40% | 55.0 | 30.7 | 56% | 24.3 | 44% |
| 2016 | 51.7 | 31.8 | 61% | 19.9 | 39% | 50.1 | 30.8 | 61% | 19.3 | 39% |
| 2017 | 50.4 | 32.8 | 65% | 17.6 | 35% | 50.2 | 20.4 | 41% | 29.8 | 59% |
| 2018 | 50.7 | 33.4 | 66% | 17.3 | 34% | 48.7 | 11.6 | 24% | 37.1 | 76% |
| 2019 | 47.7 | 33.9 | 71% | 13.8 | 29% | 44.1 | 11.7 | 26% | 32.4 | 74% |

Table 49. Monterey Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | | Average Daily | / Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | | | |
|------|-------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|------|-----|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | position | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony | | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | | |
| 2002 | .luvenile (| Camps/Rar | nches only | 43.3 | 100% | 43.3 | 25.1 | 58% | 18.2 | 42% | | |
| 2003 | | st-Dispositi | | 38.1 | 100% | 38.1 | 20.0 | 53% | 18.1 | 47% | | |
| 2004 | | therefore t | | 46.2 | 100% | 46.2 | 25.2 | 55% | 21.0 | 45% | | |
| 2005 | | | ed of Post- | 44.7 | 100% | 44.7 | 29.6 | 66% | 15.1 | 34% | | |
| 2006 | Dispositio | n juveniles | | 50.3 | 100% | 50.3 | 35.3 | 70% | 15.0 | 30% | | |
| 2007 | | | | 48.4 | 100% | 48.5 | 35.8 | 74% | 12.7 | 26% | | |
| 2008 | | | | 52.3 | 100% | 52.3 | 42.0 | 80% | 10.3 | 20% | | |
| 2009 | | | | 54.1 | 100% | 54.1 | 43.1 | 80% | 11.0 | 20% | | |
| 2010 | | | | 53.3 | 100% | 53.3 | 22.1 | 41% | 31.2 | 59% | | |
| 2011 | | | | 47.0 | 100% | 47.0 | 2.1 | 4% | 44.9 | 96% | | |
| 2012 | | | | 48.6 | 100% | 48.6 | 0.9 | 2% | 47.7 | 98% | | |
| 2013 | | | | 47.0 | 100% | 47.0 | 1.3 | 3% | 45.7 | 97% | | |
| 2014 | | | | 40.2 | 100% | 40.2 | 8.9 | 22% | 31.3 | 78% | | |
| 2015 | | | | | 38.4 | 100% | 38.2 | 2.6 | 7% | 35.6 | 93% | |
| 2016 | | | | | | 38.3 | 100% | 37.5 | 2.0 | 5% | 35.5 | 95% |
| 2017 | | | | 25.1 | 100% | 25.2 | 2.8 | 11% | 22.4 | 89% | | |
| 2018 | | | | 27.3 | 100% | 27.3 | 2.0 | 7% | 25.3 | 93% | | |
| 2019 | | | | | 100% | 33.0 | 3.2 | 10% | 29.8 | 90% | | |

Figure 63.

Monterey ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 64.
Population of Monterey Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







NAPA COUNTY

Table 50. Napa Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Α | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misden | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 32.4 | 17.4 | 53% | 15.0 | 46% | 34.9 | 16.8 | 48% | 18.1 | 52% |
| 2003 | 31.9 | 18.5 | 58% | 13.4 | 42% | 32.2 | 16.6 | 52% | 15.6 | 48% |
| 2004 | 30.9 | 21.5 | 70% | 9.4 | 30% | 30.9 | 14.3 | 46% | 16.6 | 54% |
| 2005 | 32.6 | 21.0 | 64% | 11.6 | 36% | 35.1 | 16.4 | 47% | 18.7 | 53% |
| 2006 | 37.8 | 23.7 | 62% | 14.1 | 37% | 39.6 | 15.8 | 40% | 23.8 | 60% |
| 2007 | 43.0 | 28.3 | 66% | 14.7 | 34% | 43.4 | 16.7 | 38% | 26.7 | 62% |
| 2008 | 41.1 | 24.6 | 60% | 16.5 | 40% | 41.4 | 17.1 | 41% | 24.3 | 59% |
| 2009 | 43.2 | 27.3 | 63% | 15.9 | 37% | 42.3 | 13.8 | 33% | 28.5 | 67% |
| 2010 | 36.4 | 19.6 | 54% | 16.8 | 46% | 36.5 | 14.3 | 39% | 22.2 | 61% |
| 2011 | 30.2 | 17.2 | 57% | 13.0 | 43% | 29.4 | 9.4 | 32% | 20.0 | 68% |
| 2012 | 25.1 | 17.1 | 68% | 8.0 | 32% | 26.9 | 8.9 | 33% | 18.0 | 67% |
| 2013 | 22.7 | 14.6 | 64% | 8.1 | 36% | 23.6 | 6.7 | 28% | 16.9 | 72% |
| 2014 | 24.4 | 14.3 | 59% | 10.1 | 42% | 24.0 | 8.2 | 34% | 15.8 | 66% |
| 2015 | 16.6 | 10.6 | 64% | 6.0 | 36% | 17.0 | 5.9 | 35% | 11.1 | 65% |
| 2016 | 14.2 | 9.6 | 67% | 4.6 | 32% | 14.5 | 6.1 | 42% | 8.4 | 58% |
| 2017 | 15.9 | 10.2 | 64% | 5.7 | 36% | 14.3 | 7.0 | 49% | 7.3 | 51% |
| 2018 | 12.5 | 8.3 | 67% | 4.2 | 33% | 12.6 | 5.3 | 42% | 7.3 | 58% |
| 2019 | 16.8 | 9.9 | 59% | 6.9 | 41% | 16.2 | 7.8 | 48% | 8.4 | 52% |

Figure 66. Napa Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019 ¹






Figure 67.

Population of Napa Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







NEVADA COUNTY

Table 51. Nevada Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | _ | Д | verage Daily | Population | n | _ | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 20.7 | 9.8 | 47% | 10.9 | 53% | 20.6 | 10.8 | 52% | 9.8 | 48% |
| 2003 | 20.1 | 12.0 | 60% | 8.1 | 40% | 19.6 | 14.5 | 74% | 5.1 | 26% |
| 2004 | 24.6 | 12.4 | 50% | 12.2 | 50% | 25.9 | 14.9 | 58% | 11.0 | 42% |
| 2005 | 23.4 | 13.1 | 56% | 10.3 | 44% | 22.7 | 13.3 | 58% | 9.4 | 42% |
| 2006 | 16.0 | 9.9 | 62% | 6.1 | 38% | 15.9 | 9.2 | 58% | 6.7 | 42% |
| 2007 | 16.3 | 10.0 | 61% | 6.3 | 39% | 16.3 | 11.6 | 71% | 4.7 | 29% |
| 2008 | 17.5 | 13.9 | 80% | 3.6 | 20% | 17.8 | 9.8 | 55% | 8.0 | 45% |
| 2009 | 18.5 | 11.4 | 62% | 7.1 | 38% | 17.5 | 11.7 | 67% | 5.8 | 33% |
| 2010 | 19.5 | 11.4 | 59% | 8.1 | 41% | 19.5 | 14.0 | 72% | 5.5 | 28% |
| 2011 | 19.6 | 11.8 | 60% | 7.8 | 40% | 19.5 | 14.2 | 73% | 5.3 | 27% |
| 2012 | 15.8 | 7.5 | 47% | 8.3 | 53% | 15.3 | 11.3 | 74% | 4.0 | 26% |
| 2013 | 12.1 | 5.3 | 44% | 6.8 | 56% | 11.6 | 9.1 | 78% | 2.5 | 22% |
| 2014 | 17.9 | 11.8 | 66% | 6.1 | 34% | 17.9 | 11.1 | 62% | 6.8 | 38% |
| 2015 | 15.9 | 11.4 | 72% | 4.5 | 28% | 16.2 | 8.8 | 54% | 7.4 | 46% |
| 2016 | 10.3 | 7.0 | 68% | 3.3 | 32% | 10.4 | 5.1 | 49% | 5.3 | 51% |
| 2017 | 6.3 | 4.0 | 61% | 2.3 | 36% | 6.2 | 2.1 | 34% | 4.1 | 66% |
| 2018 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 75% | 1.1 | 23% | 5.1 | 1.3 | 25% | 3.8 | 75% |
| 2019 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 65% | 1.3 | 34% | 3.4 | 2.0 | 58% | 1.4 | 42% |

Figure 69. *Nevada Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019* ¹







Figure 70.
Population of Nevada Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







ORANGE COUNTY

Table 52. Orange Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Α | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 745.2 | 168.9 | 23% | 576.3 | 77% | 740.2 | 212.9 | 29% | 527.3 | 71% |
| 2003 | 675.2 | 169.6 | 25% | 505.6 | 75% | 677.8 | 172.5 | 25% | 505.3 | 75% |
| 2004 | 709.4 | 185.9 | 26% | 523.5 | 74% | 710.4 | 177.7 | 25% | 532.7 | 75% |
| 2005 | 743.2 | 173.5 | 23% | 569.7 | 77% | 746.8 | 207.5 | 28% | 539.3 | 72% |
| 2006 | 816.5 | 213.8 | 26% | 602.7 | 74% | 815.8 | 291.1 | 36% | 524.7 | 64% |
| 2007 | 864.8 | 241.2 | 28% | 623.6 | 72% | 859.8 | 192.5 | 22% | 667.3 | 78% |
| 2008 | 926.4 | 202.6 | 22% | 723.8 | 78% | 928.3 | 216.3 | 23% | 712.0 | 77% |
| 2009 | 727.2 | 162.4 | 22% | 564.8 | 78% | 728.4 | 170.3 | 23% | 558.1 | 77% |
| 2010 | 610.9 | 155.4 | 25% | 455.5 | 75% | 605.9 | 123.3 | 20% | 482.6 | 80% |
| 2011 | 573.9 | 135.6 | 24% | 438.3 | 76% | 571.4 | 117.1 | 20% | 454.3 | 80% |
| 2012 | 489.7 | 162.5 | 33% | 327.2 | 67% | 489.6 | 102.2 | 21% | 387.4 | 79% |
| 2013 | 445.8 | 131.3 | 29% | 314.5 | 71% | 446.5 | 111.3 | 25% | 335.2 | 75% |
| 2014 | 377.8 | 103.6 | 27% | 274.2 | 73% | 375.4 | 101.1 | 27% | 274.3 | 73% |
| 2015 | 307.1 | 89.6 | 29% | 217.5 | 71% | 306.9 | 100.7 | 33% | 206.2 | 67% |
| 2016 | 270.3 | 94.8 | 35% | 175.5 | 65% | 272.7 | 81.9 | 30% | 190.8 | 70% |
| 2017 | 282.8 | 118.5 | 42% | 164.3 | 58% | 284.2 | 69.6 | 24% | 214.6 | 76% |
| 2018 | 246.8 | 96.8 | 39% | 150.0 | 61% | 250.7 | 54.0 | 22% | 196.7 | 78% |
| 2019 | 219.8 | 90.2 | 41% | 129.6 | 59% | 222.1 | 51.7 | 23% | 170.4 | 77% |

Table 53. Orange Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Д | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 441.7 | 168.9 | 38% | 272.8 | 62% | 438.1 | 133.7 | 31% | 304.4 | 69% |
| 2003 | 399.5 | 169.6 | 42% | 229.9 | 58% | 401.5 | 105.8 | 26% | 295.7 | 74% |
| 2004 | 431.5 | 185.9 | 43% | 245.6 | 57% | 431.5 | 112.2 | 26% | 319.3 | 74% |
| 2005 | 451.2 | 173.5 | 38% | 277.7 | 62% | 454.2 | 137.4 | 30% | 316.8 | 70% |
| 2006 | 487.5 | 213.8 | 44% | 273.7 | 56% | 486.2 | 173.7 | 36% | 312.5 | 64% |
| 2007 | 504.7 | 241.2 | 48% | 263.5 | 52% | 503.2 | 106.8 | 21% | 396.4 | 79% |
| 2008 | 501.3 | 202.6 | 40% | 298.7 | 60% | 502.1 | 107.2 | 21% | 394.9 | 79% |
| 2009 | 412.0 | 162.4 | 39% | 249.6 | 61% | 414.2 | 96.7 | 23% | 317.5 | 77% |
| 2010 | 404.3 | 155.4 | 38% | 248.9 | 62% | 399.9 | 79.7 | 20% | 320.2 | 80% |
| 2011 | 380.4 | 135.6 | 36% | 244.8 | 64% | 378.2 | 74.0 | 20% | 304.2 | 80% |
| 2012 | 309.3 | 162.5 | 53% | 146.8 | 47% | 307.9 | 58.1 | 19% | 249.8 | 81% |
| 2013 | 262.2 | 131.3 | 50% | 130.9 | 50% | 264.0 | 59.0 | 22% | 205.0 | 78% |
| 2014 | 203.9 | 103.6 | 51% | 100.3 | 49% | 201.7 | 51.4 | 25% | 150.3 | 75% |
| 2015 | 143.3 | 89.6 | 63% | 53.7 | 37% | 142.4 | 44.4 | 31% | 98.0 | 69% |
| 2016 | 136.4 | 94.8 | 70% | 41.6 | 30% | 139.4 | 39.2 | 28% | 100.2 | 72% |
| 2017 | 154.4 | 118.5 | 77% | 35.9 | 23% | 154.3 | 30.0 | 19% | 124.3 | 81% |
| 2018 | 129.1 | 96.8 | 75% | 32.3 | 25% | 133.1 | 24.8 | 19% | 108.3 | 81% |
| 2019 | 116.2 | 90.2 | 78% | 26.0 | 22% | 117.0 | 19.7 | 17% | 97.3 | 83% |

Table 54. Orange Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | | Average Daily | y Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | | | | | |
|------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|-------|-----|------|-----|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony | | | | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | | | | |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | :amns/Rar | nches only | 303.5 | 100% | 302.2 | 79.3 | 26% | 222.9 | 74% | | | | |
| 2003 | house Pos | | | 275.7 | 100% | 276.3 | 66.7 | 24% | 209.6 | 76% | | | | |
| 2004 | juveniles, | | | 278.0 | 100% | 278.8 | 65.5 | 23% | 213.3 | 77% | | | | |
| 2005 | • | | ed of Post- | 292.0 | 100% | 292.7 | 70.1 | 24% | 222.6 | 76% | | | | |
| 2006 | Disposition | n juveniles | · · | 329.0 | 100% | 329.6 | 117.4 | 36% | 212.2 | 64% | | | | |
| 2007 | | | | 360.1 | 100% | 356.6 | 85.8 | 24% | 270.8 | 76% | | | | |
| 2008 | | | | | 100% | 426.2 | 109.1 | 26% | 317.1 | 74% | | | | |
| 2009 | | | | 315.2 | 100% | 314.2 | 73.6 | 23% | 240.6 | 77% | | | | |
| 2010 | | | | | 100% | 206.1 | 43.7 | 21% | 162.4 | 79% | | | | |
| 2011 | | | | 193.4 | 100% | 193.2 | 43.1 | 22% | 150.1 | 78% | | | | |
| 2012 | | | | 180.5 | 100% | 181.8 | 44.1 | 24% | 137.7 | 76% | | | | |
| 2013 | | | | 183.6 | 100% | 182.5 | 52.3 | 29% | 130.2 | 71% | | | | |
| 2014 | | | | | | 173.8 | 100% | 173.7 | 49.7 | 29% | 124.0 | 71% | | |
| 2015 | | | | 163.8 | 100% | 164.5 | 56.3 | 34% | 108.2 | 66% | | | | |
| 2016 | | | | | | | | 133.9 | 100% | 133.5 | 42.8 | 32% | 90.7 | 68% |
| 2017 | | | | 128.4 | 100% | 129.9 | 39.6 | 30% | 90.3 | 70% | | | | |
| 2018 | | | | 117.7 | 100% | 117.5 | 29.2 | 25% | 88.3 | 75% | | | | |
| 2019 | | | | 103.5 | 100% | 105.1 | 32.0 | 30% | 73.1 | 70% | | | | |

Figure 72.
Orange ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 73.

Population of Orange Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 74.
Orange Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



PLACER COUNTY

Table 55. Placer Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | А | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | Snapshot | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 56.3 | 36.1 | 64% | 20.2 | 36% | 57.7 | 34.2 | 59% | 23.5 | 41% |
| 2003 | 51.2 | 24.8 | 48% | 26.4 | 52% | 57.3 | 33.9 | 59% | 23.4 | 41% |
| 2004 | 42.1 | 20.8 | 49% | 21.3 | 51% | 45.5 | 32.7 | 72% | 12.8 | 28% |
| 2005 | 46.2 | 24.9 | 54% | 21.3 | 46% | 45.1 | 26.3 | 58% | 18.8 | 42% |
| 2006 | 46.7 | 25.3 | 54% | 21.4 | 46% | 47.6 | 18.3 | 38% | 29.3 | 62% |
| 2007 | 44.9 | 17.0 | 38% | 27.9 | 62% | 44.7 | 11.8 | 26% | 32.9 | 74% |
| 2008 | 50.3 | 14.8 | 29% | 35.5 | 70% | 50.3 | 9.6 | 19% | 40.7 | 81% |
| 2009 | 38.8 | 13.4 | 34% | 25.4 | 65% | 38.8 | 12.3 | 32% | 26.5 | 68% |
| 2010 | 36.3 | 10.6 | 29% | 25.7 | 71% | 34.3 | 10.5 | 31% | 23.8 | 69% |
| 2011 | 33.6 | 8.9 | 26% | 24.7 | 73% | 32.6 | 11.9 | 37% | 20.7 | 63% |
| 2012 | 30.4 | 9.1 | 30% | 21.3 | 70% | 30.1 | 9.3 | 31% | 20.8 | 69% |
| 2013 | 25.3 | 14.1 | 56% | 11.2 | 44% | 25.3 | 9.3 | 37% | 16.0 | 63% |
| 2014 | 22.9 | 7.3 | 32% | 15.6 | 69% | 22.8 | 7.0 | 31% | 15.8 | 69% |
| 2015 | 18.2 | 5.6 | 31% | 12.6 | 70% | 18.4 | 9.6 | 52% | 8.8 | 48% |
| 2016 | 16.9 | 5.8 | 34% | 11.1 | 66% | 14.9 | 6.6 | 44% | 8.3 | 56% |
| 2017 | 22.7 | 7.3 | 32% | 15.4 | 68% | 19.9 | 11.3 | 57% | 8.6 | 43% |
| 2018 | 19.9 | 7.0 | 35% | 12.9 | 65% | 17.8 | 7.0 | 39% | 10.8 | 61% |
| 2019 | 13.7 | 3.8 | 28% | 9.9 | 72% | 11.4 | 3.7 | 32% | 7.7 | 68% |

Figure 75.

Placer Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 76.

Population of Placer Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 77.

Placer Juvenile Hall Felony Population as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



RIVERSIDE COUNTY

Table 56. Riverside Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019

| | | Д | verage Daily | Populatio | n | _ | | One-Day S | Snapshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 421.8 | 140.5 | 33% | 281.3 | 67% | 423.3 | 219.8 | 52% | 203.5 | 48% |
| 2003 | 478.1 | 150.6 | 32% | 327.5 | 69% | 486.6 | 228.4 | 47% | 258.2 | 53% |
| 2004 | 413.4 | 154.3 | 37% | 259.1 | 63% | 420.4 | 144.8 | 34% | 275.6 | 66% |
| 2005 | 429.0 | 178.8 | 42% | 250.2 | 58% | 432.6 | 178.3 | 41% | 254.3 | 59% |
| 2006 | 441.5 | 200.8 | 45% | 240.7 | 55% | 443.1 | 171.8 | 39% | 271.3 | 61% |
| 2007 | 437.6 | 208.5 | 48% | 229.1 | 52% | 433.1 | 158.1 | 37% | 275.0 | 63% |
| 2008 | 366.0 | 144.1 | 39% | 221.9 | 61% | 362.5 | 118.7 | 33% | 243.8 | 67% |
| 2009 | 366.0 | 106.8 | 29% | 259.2 | 71% | 370.1 | 54.0 | 15% | 316.1 | 85% |
| 2010 | 357.6 | 90.8 | 25% | 266.8 | 75% | 362.3 | 34.2 | 9% | 328.1 | 91% |
| 2011 | 326.6 | 69.5 | 21% | 257.1 | 79% | 328.9 | 33.4 | 10% | 295.5 | 90% |
| 2012 | 272.9 | 61.4 | 22% | 211.5 | 78% | 272.2 | 32.9 | 12% | 239.3 | 88% |
| 2013 | 262.1 | 61.6 | 23% | 200.5 | 76% | 264.0 | 35.3 | 13% | 228.7 | 87% |
| 2014 | 199.6 | 53.9 | 27% | 145.7 | 73% | 202.1 | 94.1 | 47% | 108.0 | 53% |
| 2015 | 186.2 | 52.3 | 28% | 133.9 | 72% | 185.9 | 130.6 | 70% | 55.3 | 30% |
| 2016 | 181.3 | 53.9 | 30% | 127.4 | 70% | 177.0 | 27.8 | 16% | 149.2 | 84% |
| 2017 | 174.3 | 27.3 | 16% | 147.0 | 84% | 171.4 | 29.3 | 17% | 142.1 | 83% |
| 2018 | 146.0 | 33.3 | 23% | 112.7 | 77% | 147.2 | 23.4 | 16% | 123.8 | 84% |
| 2019 | 139.1 | 46.2 | 33% | 92.9 | 67% | 138.4 | 20.4 | 15% | 118.0 | 85% |

Table 57. Riverside Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | А | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 336.3 | 140.5 | 42% | 195.8 | 58% | 336.0 | 171.8 | 51% | 164.2 | 49% |
| 2003 | 377.9 | 150.6 | 40% | 227.3 | 60% | 382.3 | 172.3 | 45% | 210.0 | 55% |
| 2004 | 312.9 | 154.3 | 49% | 158.6 | 51% | 318.5 | 103.5 | 32% | 215.0 | 68% |
| 2005 | 322.3 | 178.8 | 55% | 143.5 | 45% | 325.2 | 128.8 | 40% | 196.4 | 60% |
| 2006 | 333.7 | 200.8 | 60% | 132.9 | 40% | 334.7 | 118.4 | 35% | 216.3 | 65% |
| 2007 | 330.1 | 208.5 | 63% | 121.6 | 37% | 325.0 | 107.4 | 33% | 217.6 | 67% |
| 2008 | 258.9 | 144.1 | 56% | 114.8 | 44% | 252.6 | 73.7 | 29% | 178.9 | 71% |
| 2009 | 248.0 | 106.8 | 43% | 141.2 | 57% | 253.9 | 32.1 | 13% | 221.8 | 87% |
| 2010 | 235.3 | 90.8 | 39% | 144.5 | 62% | 238.9 | 21.5 | 9% | 217.4 | 91% |
| 2011 | 206.0 | 69.5 | 34% | 136.5 | 66% | 208.7 | 19.9 | 10% | 188.8 | 90% |
| 2012 | 182.4 | 61.4 | 34% | 121.0 | 66% | 180.6 | 21.8 | 12% | 158.8 | 88% |
| 2013 | 181.2 | 61.6 | 34% | 119.6 | 66% | 182.8 | 23.3 | 13% | 159.5 | 87% |
| 2014 | 138.3 | 53.9 | 39% | 84.4 | 61% | 141.4 | 71.4 | 51% | 70.0 | 49% |
| 2015 | 134.8 | 52.3 | 39% | 82.5 | 61% | 132.8 | 93.0 | 70% | 39.8 | 30% |
| 2016 | 126.8 | 53.9 | 42% | 72.9 | 57% | 121.3 | 19.1 | 16% | 102.2 | 84% |
| 2017 | 120.5 | 27.3 | 23% | 93.2 | 77% | 118.8 | 21.5 | 18% | 97.3 | 82% |
| 2018 | 105.7 | 33.3 | 32% | 72.4 | 68% | 106.8 | 19.0 | 18% | 87.8 | 82% |
| 2019 | 93.6 | 46.2 | 49% | 47.4 | 51% | 93.2 | 16.1 | 17% | 77.1 | 83% |

Table 58. Riverside Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | | Average Dail | y Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | | | | | | |
|------|------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|------|------|-----|------|-----|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misden | neanor | Fel | ony | | | | | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | | | | | |
| 2002 | Juvenile (| Camps/Rar | nches only | 85.5 | 100% | 87.3 | 48.0 | 55% | 39.3 | 45% | | | | | |
| 2003 | | st-Dispositi | • | 100.3 | 100% | 104.3 | 56.1 | 54% | 48.2 | 46% | | | | | |
| 2004 | | therefore t | | 100.5 | 100% | 101.9 | 41.3 | 41% | 60.6 | 59% | | | | | |
| 2005 | • | | ed of Post- | 106.7 | 100% | 107.2 | 49.4 | 46% | 57.8 | 54% | | | | | |
| 2006 | Dispositio | n juveniles | | 107.8 | 100% | 108.4 | 53.3 | 49% | 55.1 | 51% | | | | | |
| 2007 | | | | 107.5 | 100% | 108.1 | 50.7 | 47% | 57.4 | 53% | | | | | |
| 2008 | | | | 107.2 | 100% | 109.9 | 45.0 | 41% | 64.9 | 59% | | | | | |
| 2009 | | | | 118.0 | 100% | 116.2 | 21.9 | 19% | 94.3 | 81% | | | | | |
| 2010 | | | | 122.3 | 100% | 123.4 | 12.7 | 10% | 110.7 | 90% | | | | | |
| 2011 | | | | 120.6 | 100% | 120.3 | 13.5 | 11% | 106.8 | 89% | | | | | |
| 2012 | | | | 90.6 | 100% | 91.6 | 11.2 | 12% | 80.4 | 88% | | | | | |
| 2013 | | | | 81.0 | 100% | 81.3 | 12.1 | 15% | 69.2 | 85% | | | | | |
| 2014 | | | | 61.3 | 100% | 60.7 | 22.7 | 37% | 38.0 | 63% | | | | | |
| 2015 | | | | | | | | | 51.4 | 100% | 53.0 | 37.6 | 71% | 15.4 | 29% |
| 2016 | | | | | | 54.5 | 100% | 55.7 | 8.7 | 16% | 47.0 | 84% | | | |
| 2017 | | | | | | | 53.8 | 100% | 52.6 | 7.8 | 15% | 44.8 | 85% | | |
| 2018 | | | | | | | 40.3 | 100% | 40.5 | 4.4 | 11% | 36.1 | 89% | | |
| 2019 | | | | 45.5 | 100% | 45.2 | 4.3 | 10% | 40.9 | 90% | | | | | |

Figure 78.

Riverside ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 79.

Population of Riverside Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







SACRAMENTO COUNTY

Table 59. Sacramento Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Α | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | One-Day Snapshot | | | |
|------|-------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|----------|----------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|
| | | Pre-Disposition | | Post-Disposition | | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 511.9 | 193.6 | 38% | 318.3 | 62% | 509.6 | 268.3 | 53% | 241.3 | 47% |
| 2003 | 487.4 | 187.4 | 38% | 300.0 | 62% | 490.5 | 256.7 | 52% | 233.8 | 48% |
| 2004 | 497.6 | 196.6 | 40% | 301.0 | 60% | 499.6 | 251.1 | 50% | 248.5 | 50% |
| 2005 | 508.7 | 208.2 | 41% | 300.5 | 59% | 505.3 | 278.2 | 55% | 227.1 | 45% |
| 2006 | 538.1 | 234.8 | 44% | 303.3 | 56% | 535.4 | 261.0 | 49% | 274.4 | 51% |
| 2007 | 503.6 | 210.9 | 42% | 292.7 | 58% | 504.8 | 200.3 | 40% | 304.5 | 60% |
| 2008 | 484.9 | 206.8 | 43% | 278.1 | 57% | 455.3 | 178.0 | 39% | 277.3 | 61% |
| 2009 | 372.5 | 152.5 | 41% | 220.0 | 59% | 369.6 | 142.7 | 39% | 226.9 | 61% |
| 2010 | 271.4 | 131.4 | 48% | 140.0 | 52% | 260.9 | 100.1 | 38% | 160.8 | 62% |
| 2011 | 186.2 | 101.3 | 54% | 84.9 | 46% | 187.6 | 62.9 | 34% | 124.7 | 66% |
| 2012 | 178.2 | 98.4 | 55% | 79.8 | 45% | 179.0 | 56.5 | 32% | 122.5 | 68% |
| 2013 | 191.7 | 109.4 | 57% | 82.3 | 43% | 197.7 | 65.3 | 33% | 132.4 | 67% |
| 2014 | 183.7 | 109.9 | 60% | 73.8 | 40% | 177.4 | 58.3 | 33% | 119.1 | 67% |
| 2015 | 192.1 | 130.8 | 68% | 61.3 | 32% | 195.0 | 61.3 | 31% | 133.7 | 69% |
| 2016 | 143.2 | 91.1 | 64% | 52.1 | 36% | 145.2 | 40.2 | 28% | 105.0 | 72% |
| 2017 | 136.0 | 106.8 | 79% | 29.2 | 21% | 137.8 | 30.0 | 22% | 107.8 | 78% |
| 2018 | 120.0 | 100.9 | 84% | 19.1 | 16% | 122.0 | 32.6 | 27% | 89.4 | 73% |
| 2019 | 124.9 | 101.6 | 81% | 23.3 | 19% | 128.2 | 41.0 | 32% | 87.2 | 68% |

Table 60. Sacramento Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Average Daily Population | | | | | One-Day Snapshot | | | |
|------|-------|--------------------------|----------|------------------|----------|----------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|
| | | Pre-Disposition | | Post-Disposition | | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 307.1 | 193.6 | 63% | 113.5 | 37% | 313.0 | 143.7 | 46% | 169.3 | 54% |
| 2003 | 317.4 | 187.4 | 59% | 130.0 | 41% | 320.5 | 150.5 | 47% | 170.0 | 53% |
| 2004 | 340.7 | 196.6 | 58% | 144.1 | 42% | 342.6 | 169.0 | 49% | 173.6 | 51% |
| 2005 | 341.4 | 208.2 | 61% | 133.2 | 39% | 338.2 | 178.8 | 53% | 159.4 | 47% |
| 2006 | 335.1 | 234.8 | 70% | 100.3 | 30% | 331.9 | 153.3 | 46% | 178.6 | 54% |
| 2007 | 287.2 | 210.9 | 73% | 76.3 | 27% | 289.6 | 86.3 | 30% | 203.3 | 70% |
| 2008 | 277.9 | 206.8 | 74% | 71.1 | 26% | 247.0 | 64.8 | 26% | 182.2 | 74% |
| 2009 | 242.7 | 152.5 | 63% | 90.2 | 37% | 240.6 | 82.3 | 34% | 158.3 | 66% |
| 2010 | 234.7 | 131.4 | 56% | 103.3 | 44% | 222.9 | 79.9 | 36% | 143.0 | 64% |
| 2011 | 186.2 | 101.3 | 54% | 84.9 | 46% | 187.6 | 62.9 | 34% | 124.7 | 66% |
| 2012 | 178.2 | 98.4 | 55% | 79.8 | 45% | 179.0 | 56.5 | 32% | 122.5 | 68% |
| 2013 | 191.7 | 109.4 | 57% | 82.3 | 43% | 197.7 | 65.3 | 33% | 132.4 | 67% |
| 2014 | 183.7 | 109.9 | 60% | 73.8 | 40% | 177.4 | 58.3 | 33% | 119.1 | 67% |
| 2015 | 192.1 | 130.8 | 68% | 61.3 | 32% | 195.0 | 61.3 | 31% | 133.7 | 69% |
| 2016 | 143.2 | 91.1 | 64% | 52.1 | 36% | 145.2 | 40.2 | 28% | 105.0 | 72% |
| 2017 | 136.0 | 106.8 | 79% | 29.2 | 21% | 137.8 | 30.0 | 22% | 107.8 | 78% |
| 2018 | 120.0 | 100.9 | 84% | 19.1 | 16% | 122.0 | 32.6 | 27% | 89.4 | 73% |
| 2019 | 124.9 | 101.6 | 81% | 23.3 | 19% | 128.2 | 41.0 | 32% | 87.2 | 68% |

Table 61. Sacramento Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2010²

| Table 01. | | | Average Daily | | | 2002 till Odgir 2 | One-Day Snapshot | | | |
|-----------|------------|--|---------------|------------------|--|-------------------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Disposition | | Snapshot _ | Misdemeanor | | Felony | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | Juvenile (| Juvenile Camps/Ranches only house Post-Disposition juveniles, therefore their Total ADP is only comprised of Post-Disposition juveniles. | | | 100% | 196.7 | 124.6 | 63% | 72.1 | 37% |
| 2003 | | | | | 100% | 170.0 | 106.2 | 62% | 63.8 | 38% |
| 2004 | | | | | 100% | 157.0 | 82.1 | 52% | 74.9 | 48% |
| 2005 | • | | | | 100% | 167.0 | 99.3 | 59% | 67.7 | 41% |
| 2006 | Dispositio | | | | 100% | 203.5 | 107.7 | 53% | 95.8 | 47% |
| 2007 | | | | 216.4 | 100% | 215.2 | 114.0 | 53% | 101.2 | 47% |
| 2008 | | | | 207.1 | 100% | 208.3 | 113.2 | 54% | 95.1 | 46% |
| 2009 | | | | | 100% | 129.0 | 60.3 | 47% | 68.7 | 53% |
| 2010 | | | | | 100% | 76.0 | 40.3 | 53% | 35.7 | 47% |
| 2011 | | | | | Sacramento County's Camp closed June 2010. | | | | | |
| 2012 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2013 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2014 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2015 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2016 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2017 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2018 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2019 | | | | | | | | | | |

Figure 81.
Sacramento ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 82.
Population of Sacramento Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 83. Sacramento Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



SAN BENITO COUNTY

Table 62. San Benito Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Α | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | _ |
|------|------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 14.8 | 9.4 | 64% | 5.4 | 37% | 14.3 | 8.0 | 56% | 6.3 | 44% |
| 2003 | 19.6 | 10.4 | 53% | 9.2 | 47% | 21.6 | 14.3 | 66% | 7.3 | 34% |
| 2004 | 18.8 | 10.0 | 53% | 8.8 | 47% | 20.6 | 13.7 | 66% | 6.9 | 34% |
| 2005 | 16.4 | 9.4 | 57% | 7.0 | 43% | 16.5 | 7.0 | 42% | 9.5 | 58% |
| 2006 | 14.6 | 10.0 | 69% | 4.6 | 31% | 14.7 | 8.0 | 55% | 6.7 | 45% |
| 2007 | 17.2 | 11.2 | 65% | 6.0 | 35% | 16.6 | 4.9 | 30% | 11.7 | 70% |
| 2008 | 17.0 | 10.7 | 63% | 6.3 | 37% | 15.8 | 6.7 | 42% | 9.1 | 58% |
| 2009 | 18.5 | 8.8 | 48% | 9.7 | 52% | 18.5 | 2.5 | 14% | 16.0 | 86% |
| 2010 | 16.1 | 9.4 | 59% | 6.7 | 41% | 16.1 | 0.7 | 4% | 15.4 | 96% |
| 2011 | 10.1 | 5.4 | 54% | 4.7 | 46% | 10.0 | 0.3 | 3% | 9.7 | 97% |
| 2012 | 10.6 | 6.3 | 59% | 4.3 | 41% | 10.6 | 0.1 | 1% | 10.5 | 99% |
| 2013 | 10.8 | 5.9 | 55% | 4.9 | 45% | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0% | 10.8 | 100% |
| 2014 | 10.9 | 6.6 | 60% | 4.3 | 40% | 11.1 | 1.6 | 14% | 9.5 | 86% |
| 2015 | 11.7 | 7.2 | 62% | 4.5 | 39% | 11.6 | 2.3 | 20% | 9.3 | 80% |
| 2016 | 6.5 | 3.0 | 45% | 3.5 | 54% | 6.2 | 2.6 | 42% | 3.6 | 58% |
| 2017 | 8.3 | 4.9 | 59% | 3.4 | 41% | 8.1 | 4.7 | 58% | 3.4 | 42% |
| 2018 | 5.5 | 3.3 | 61% | 2.2 | 40% | 5.5 | 3.0 | 55% | 2.5 | 45% |
| 2019 | 6.7 | 4.9 | 72% | 1.8 | 27% | 6.3 | 2.2 | 35% | 4.1 | 65% |

Figure 84.
San Benito ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 85.
Population of San Benito Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 86.
San Benito Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Table 63. San Bernardino Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | _ | Α | verage Daily I | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Feld | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 494.1 | 267.4 | 54% | 226.7 | 46% | 484.4 | 160.1 | 33% | 324.3 | 67% |
| 2003 | 478.8 | 256.6 | 54% | 222.2 | 46% | 475.1 | 154.3 | 32% | 320.8 | 68% |
| 2004 | 482.8 | 247.4 | 51% | 235.4 | 49% | 471.6 | 149.3 | 32% | 322.3 | 68% |
| 2005 | 530.6 | 266.8 | 50% | 263.8 | 50% | 521.7 | 189.3 | 36% | 332.4 | 64% |
| 2006 | 528.7 | 266.7 | 50% | 262.0 | 50% | 523.2 | 158.5 | 30% | 364.7 | 70% |
| 2007 | 475.3 | 244.2 | 51% | 231.1 | 49% | 477.4 | 87.8 | 18% | 389.6 | 82% |
| 2008 | 450.3 | 148.2 | 33% | 302.1 | 67% | 453.1 | 41.5 | 9% | 411.6 | 91% |
| 2009 | 370.5 | 118.7 | 32% | 251.8 | 68% | 369.5 | 87.4 | 24% | 282.1 | 76% |
| 2010 | 355.4 | 108.2 | 30% | 247.2 | 70% | 354.2 | 95.2 | 27% | 259.0 | 73% |
| 2011 | 367.4 | 94.5 | 26% | 272.9 | 74% | 365.1 | 103.4 | 28% | 261.7 | 72% |
| 2012 | 308.6 | 84.0 | 27% | 224.6 | 73% | 317.7 | 78.1 | 25% | 239.6 | 75% |
| 2013 | 272.5 | 99.4 | 36% | 173.1 | 64% | 275.7 | 68.6 | 25% | 207.1 | 75% |
| 2014 | 277.5 | 143.2 | 52% | 134.3 | 48% | 275.4 | 64.1 | 23% | 211.3 | 77% |
| 2015 | 271.4 | 141.2 | 52% | 130.2 | 48% | 271.9 | 66.8 | 25% | 205.1 | 75% |
| 2016 | 242.2 | 130.3 | 54% | 111.9 | 46% | 240.3 | 57.6 | 24% | 182.7 | 76% |
| 2017 | 192.9 | 101.3 | 53% | 91.6 | 47% | 192.7 | 44.6 | 23% | 148.1 | 77% |
| 2018 | 172.7 | 93.6 | 54% | 79.1 | 46% | 173.9 | 32.2 | 19% | 141.7 | 81% |
| 2019 | 150.4 | 80.2 | 53% | 70.2 | 47% | 159.2 | 34.4 | 22% | 124.8 | 78% |

Table 64. San Bernardino Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Д | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 433.7 | 267.4 | 62% | 166.3 | 38% | 424.1 | 134.5 | 32% | 289.6 | 68% |
| 2003 | 416.7 | 256.6 | 62% | 160.1 | 38% | 413.0 | 128.3 | 31% | 284.7 | 69% |
| 2004 | 420.2 | 247.4 | 59% | 172.8 | 41% | 408.9 | 128.0 | 31% | 280.9 | 69% |
| 2005 | 468.6 | 266.8 | 57% | 201.8 | 43% | 461.1 | 169.8 | 37% | 291.3 | 63% |
| 2006 | 471.1 | 266.7 | 57% | 204.4 | 43% | 466.0 | 131.5 | 28% | 334.5 | 72% |
| 2007 | 435.9 | 244.2 | 56% | 191.7 | 44% | 435.9 | 71.4 | 16% | 364.5 | 84% |
| 2008 | 425.2 | 148.2 | 35% | 277.0 | 65% | 428.8 | 34.2 | 8% | 394.6 | 92% |
| 2009 | 351.5 | 118.7 | 34% | 232.8 | 66% | 350.6 | 85.8 | 24% | 264.8 | 76% |
| 2010 | 322.8 | 108.2 | 34% | 214.6 | 66% | 322.5 | 93.4 | 29% | 229.1 | 71% |
| 2011 | 329.5 | 94.5 | 29% | 235.0 | 71% | 327.8 | 99.6 | 30% | 228.2 | 70% |
| 2012 | 271.6 | 84.0 | 31% | 187.6 | 69% | 280.9 | 69.8 | 25% | 211.1 | 75% |
| 2013 | 235.7 | 99.4 | 42% | 136.3 | 58% | 239.0 | 64.5 | 27% | 174.5 | 73% |
| 2014 | 243.7 | 143.2 | 59% | 100.5 | 41% | 241.8 | 64.1 | 27% | 177.7 | 73% |
| 2015 | 233.7 | 141.2 | 60% | 92.5 | 40% | 234.5 | 66.8 | 28% | 167.7 | 72% |
| 2016 | 205.2 | 130.3 | 63% | 74.9 | 37% | 203.1 | 57.6 | 28% | 145.5 | 72% |
| 2017 | 158.6 | 101.3 | 64% | 57.3 | 36% | 158.4 | 44.6 | 28% | 113.8 | 72% |
| 2018 | 140.8 | 93.6 | 66% | 47.2 | 34% | 141.6 | 32.2 | 23% | 109.4 | 77% |
| 2019 | 123.5 | 80.2 | 65% | 43.3 | 35% | 131.3 | 34.4 | 26% | 96.9 | 74% |

Table 65. San Bernardino Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| ' | | | Average Daily | y Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | :amns/Rar | nches only | 60.3 | 100% | 60.4 | 25.6 | 42% | 34.8 | 58% |
| 2003 | house Pos | | | 62.1 | 100% | 62.2 | 26.1 | 42% | 36.1 | 58% |
| 2004 | juveniles, | | | 62.6 | 100% | 62.6 | 21.3 | 34% | 41.3 | 66% |
| 2005 | | | ed of Post- | 61.9 | 100% | 60.6 | 19.5 | 32% | 41.1 | 68% |
| 2006 | Disposition | n juveniles | | 57.6 | 100% | 57.2 | 27.0 | 47% | 30.2 | 53% |
| 2007 | | | | 39.4 | 100% | 41.4 | 16.3 | 39% | 25.1 | 61% |
| 2008 | | | | 25.1 | 100% | 24.3 | 7.3 | 30% | 17.0 | 70% |
| 2009 | | | | 19.0 | 100% | 18.9 | 1.6 | 8% | 17.3 | 92% |
| 2010 | | | | 32.6 | 100% | 31.7 | 1.8 | 6% | 29.9 | 94% |
| 2011 | | | | 37.9 | 100% | 37.3 | 3.8 | 10% | 33.5 | 90% |
| 2012 | | | | 37.0 | 100% | 36.8 | 8.3 | 23% | 28.5 | 77% |
| 2013 | | | | 36.8 | 100% | 36.7 | 4.1 | 11% | 32.6 | 89% |
| 2014 | | | | 33.8 | 100% | 33.6 | 0.0 | 0% | 33.6 | 100% |
| 2015 | | | | 37.7 | 100% | 37.4 | 0.0 | 0% | 37.4 | 100% |
| 2016 | | | | 37.0 | 100% | 37.2 | 0.0 | 0% | 37.2 | 100% |
| 2017 | | | | 34.3 | 100% | 34.3 | 0.0 | 0% | 34.3 | 100% |
| 2018 | | | | 31.9 | 100% | 32.3 | 0.0 | 0% | 32.3 | 100% |
| 2019 | | | | 26.9 | 100% | 27.9 | 0.0 | 0% | 27.9 | 100% |

Figure 87.
San Bernardino ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 88.

Population of San Bernardino Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 89.
San Bernardino Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



SAN DIEGO COUNTY

Table 66. San Diego Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | _ | A | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|------------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Feld | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 747.2 | 127.5 | 17% | 619.7 | 83% | 749.1 | 309.8 | 41% | 439.3 | 59% |
| 2003 | 727.8 | 128.0 | 18% | 599.8 | 82% | 743.4 | 300.1 | 40% | 443.3 | 60% |
| 2004 | 650.9 | 261.2 | 40% | 389.7 | 60% | 667.7 | 223.8 | 34% | 443.9 | 66% |
| 2005 | 743.0 | 287.3 | 39% | 455.7 | 61% | 747.9 | 231.5 | 31% | 516.4 | 69% |
| 2006 | 888.0 | 325.5 | 37% | 562.5 | 63% | 891.6 | 276.3 | 31% | 615.3 | 69% |
| 2007 | 858.1 | 319.9 | 37% | 538.2 | 63% | 861.9 | 249.2 | 29% | 612.7 | 71% |
| 2008 | 821.1 | 291.1 | 35% | 530.0 | 65% | 831.1 | 222.9 | 27% | 608.2 | 73% |
| 2009 | 836.2 | 268.3 | 32% | 567.9 | 68% | 846.1 | 231.3 | 27% | 614.8 | 73% |
| 2010 | 784.0 | 218.2 | 28% | 565.8 | 72% | 871.9 | 211.2 | 24% | 660.7 | 76% |
| 2011 | 758.4 | 200.5 | 26% | 557.9 | 74% | 773.7 | 213.2 | 28% | 560.5 | 72% |
| 2012 | 714.0 | 187.2 | 26% | 526.8 | 74% | 729.8 | 206.2 | 28% | 523.6 | 72% |
| 2013 | 630.1 | 167.5 | 27% | 462.6 | 73% | 645.9 | 161.1 | 25% | 484.8 | 75% |
| 2014 | 483.6 | 149.4 | 31% | 334.2 | 69% | 496.1 | 135.5 | 27% | 360.6 | 73% |
| 2015 | 422.5 | 138.2 | 33% | 284.3 | 67% | 434.5 | 127.2 | 29% | 307.3 | 71% |
| 2016 | 375.5 | 129.8 | 35% | 245.7 | 65% | 383.6 | 115.0 | 30% | 268.6 | 70% |
| 2017 | 349.7 | 118.4 | 34% | 231.3 | 66% | 363.9 | 95.6 | 26% | 268.3 | 74% |
| 2018 | 324.3 | 114.5 | 35% | 209.8 | 65% | 336.9 | 75.8 | 23% | 261.1 | 77% |
| 2019 | 292.5 | 112.1 | 38% | 180.4 | 62% | 298.4 | 49.1 | 16% | 249.3 | 84% |

Table 67. San Diego Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | А | verage Daily | ^r Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|------------------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 435.2 | 127.5 | 29% | 307.7 | 71% | 437.3 | 193.0 | 44% | 244.3 | 56% |
| 2003 | 425.4 | 128.0 | 30% | 297.4 | 70% | 423.0 | 183.5 | 43% | 239.5 | 57% |
| 2004 | 417.7 | 261.2 | 63% | 156.5 | 37% | 419.3 | 137.3 | 33% | 282.0 | 67% |
| 2005 | 495.3 | 287.3 | 58% | 208.0 | 42% | 493.3 | 149.6 | 30% | 343.7 | 70% |
| 2006 | 569.3 | 325.5 | 57% | 243.8 | 43% | 563.3 | 172.3 | 31% | 391.0 | 69% |
| 2007 | 525.2 | 319.9 | 61% | 205.3 | 39% | 526.1 | 156.7 | 30% | 369.4 | 70% |
| 2008 | 485.4 | 291.1 | 60% | 194.3 | 40% | 490.5 | 132.3 | 27% | 358.2 | 73% |
| 2009 | 509.1 | 268.3 | 53% | 240.8 | 47% | 508.2 | 136.4 | 27% | 371.8 | 73% |
| 2010 | 487.8 | 218.2 | 45% | 269.6 | 55% | 489.1 | 127.8 | 26% | 361.3 | 74% |
| 2011 | 470.4 | 200.5 | 43% | 269.9 | 57% | 479.6 | 132.8 | 28% | 346.8 | 72% |
| 2012 | 446.5 | 187.2 | 42% | 259.3 | 58% | 455.0 | 126.7 | 28% | 328.3 | 72% |
| 2013 | 386.3 | 167.5 | 43% | 218.8 | 57% | 394.5 | 96.8 | 25% | 297.7 | 75% |
| 2014 | 313.8 | 149.4 | 48% | 164.4 | 52% | 316.1 | 86.4 | 27% | 229.7 | 73% |
| 2015 | 303.8 | 138.2 | 45% | 165.6 | 55% | 311.9 | 89.9 | 29% | 222.0 | 71% |
| 2016 | 276.9 | 129.8 | 47% | 147.1 | 53% | 282.5 | 84.3 | 30% | 198.2 | 70% |
| 2017 | 251.6 | 118.4 | 47% | 133.2 | 53% | 262.4 | 63.3 | 24% | 199.1 | 76% |
| 2018 | 240.8 | 114.5 | 48% | 126.3 | 52% | 249.8 | 51.7 | 21% | 198.1 | 79% |
| 2019 | 214.4 | 112.1 | 52% | 102.3 | 48% | 217.2 | 34.1 | 16% | 183.1 | 84% |

Table 68. San Diego Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | | Average Daily | y Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | :amns/Rar | nches only | 312.1 | 100% | 311.9 | 116.8 | 37% | 195.1 | 63% |
| 2003 | house Pos | | | 302.5 | 100% | 320.4 | 116.6 | 36% | 203.8 | 64% |
| 2004 | juveniles, | | | 233.2 | 100% | 248.4 | 86.5 | 35% | 161.9 | 65% |
| 2005 | | | ed of Post- | 247.7 | 100% | 254.7 | 81.9 | 32% | 172.8 | 68% |
| 2006 | Disposition | n juveniles | | 318.7 | 100% | 328.4 | 104.1 | 32% | 224.3 | 68% |
| 2007 | | | | 332.8 | 100% | 335.8 | 92.5 | 28% | 243.3 | 72% |
| 2008 | | | | 335.7 | 100% | 340.7 | 90.7 | 27% | 250.0 | 73% |
| 2009 | | | | 327.2 | 100% | 337.9 | 94.9 | 28% | 243.0 | 72% |
| 2010 | | | | 296.2 | 100% | 382.6 | 83.3 | 22% | 299.3 | 78% |
| 2011 | | | | 288.0 | 100% | 294.1 | 80.4 | 27% | 213.7 | 73% |
| 2012 | | | | 267.5 | 100% | 274.8 | 79.5 | 29% | 195.3 | 71% |
| 2013 | | | | 243.8 | 100% | 251.5 | 64.3 | 26% | 187.2 | 74% |
| 2014 | | | | 169.8 | 100% | 180.0 | 49.1 | 27% | 130.9 | 73% |
| 2015 | | | | 118.8 | 100% | 122.6 | 37.3 | 30% | 85.3 | 70% |
| 2016 | | | | 98.6 | 100% | 101.2 | 30.8 | 30% | 70.4 | 70% |
| 2017 | | | | 98.1 | 100% | 101.6 | 32.3 | 32% | 69.3 | 68% |
| 2018 | | | | 83.5 | 100% | 87.2 | 24.2 | 28% | 63.0 | 72% |
| 2019 | | | | 78.1 | 100% | 81.2 | 15.0 | 18% | 66.2 | 82% |

Figure 90.
San Diego ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 91.

Population of San Diego Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 92. San Diego Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY

Table 69. San Francisco Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Α | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 123.4 | 74.2 | 60% | 49.2 | 40% | 127.3 | 47.8 | 38% | 79.5 | 62% |
| 2003 | 113.6 | 58.7 | 52% | 54.9 | 48% | 117.4 | 37.4 | 32% | 80.0 | 68% |
| 2004 | 108.3 | 51.8 | 48% | 56.5 | 52% | 110.1 | 35.8 | 33% | 74.3 | 67% |
| 2005 | 116.9 | 57.1 | 49% | 59.8 | 51% | 120.1 | 39.3 | 33% | 80.8 | 67% |
| 2006 | 133.1 | 62.6 | 47% | 70.5 | 53% | 134.2 | 41.7 | 31% | 92.5 | 69% |
| 2007 | 147.7 | 60.3 | 41% | 87.4 | 59% | 146.8 | 41.8 | 28% | 105.0 | 72% |
| 2008 | 139.9 | 59.9 | 43% | 80.0 | 58% | 140.6 | 34.9 | 25% | 105.7 | 75% |
| 2009 | 123.4 | 54.8 | 44% | 68.6 | 56% | 125.1 | 33.4 | 27% | 91.7 | 73% |
| 2010 | 108.3 | 50.0 | 46% | 58.3 | 54% | 108.0 | 32.0 | 30% | 76.0 | 70% |
| 2011 | 107.7 | 37.0 | 34% | 70.7 | 66% | 105.6 | 33.8 | 32% | 71.8 | 68% |
| 2012 | 92.6 | 28.5 | 31% | 64.1 | 69% | 89.1 | 29.5 | 33% | 59.6 | 67% |
| 2013 | 88.1 | 28.8 | 33% | 59.3 | 67% | 86.0 | 28.5 | 33% | 57.5 | 67% |
| 2014 | 87.1 | 27.9 | 32% | 59.2 | 68% | 83.1 | 28.8 | 35% | 54.3 | 65% |
| 2015 | 68.8 | 19.6 | 28% | 49.2 | 72% | 66.6 | 27.2 | 41% | 39.4 | 59% |
| 2016 | 58.0 | 15.2 | 26% | 42.8 | 74% | 56.4 | 29.3 | 52% | 27.1 | 48% |
| 2017 | 58.0 | 17.2 | 30% | 40.8 | 70% | 58.1 | 29.6 | 51% | 28.5 | 49% |
| 2018 | 51.6 | 20.8 | 40% | 30.8 | 60% | 50.6 | 20.8 | 41% | 29.8 | 59% |
| 2019 | 38.0 | 19.0 | 50% | 19.0 | 50% | 35.8 | 13.4 | 37% | 22.4 | 63% |

Table 70. San Francisco Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | А | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 100.9 | 74.2 | 74% | 26.7 | 27% | 106.1 | 40.1 | 38% | 66.0 | 62% |
| 2003 | 90.0 | 58.7 | 65% | 31.3 | 35% | 94.1 | 30.6 | 33% | 63.5 | 67% |
| 2004 | 93.1 | 51.8 | 56% | 41.3 | 44% | 94.4 | 29.3 | 31% | 65.1 | 69% |
| 2005 | 100.7 | 57.1 | 57% | 43.6 | 43% | 104.1 | 32.7 | 31% | 71.4 | 69% |
| 2006 | 108.3 | 62.6 | 58% | 45.7 | 42% | 109.2 | 32.8 | 30% | 76.4 | 70% |
| 2007 | 119.0 | 60.3 | 51% | 58.7 | 49% | 119.4 | 34.4 | 29% | 85.0 | 71% |
| 2008 | 124.0 | 59.9 | 48% | 64.1 | 52% | 125.3 | 32.2 | 26% | 93.1 | 74% |
| 2009 | 109.5 | 54.8 | 50% | 54.7 | 50% | 111.2 | 31.8 | 29% | 79.4 | 71% |
| 2010 | 94.0 | 50.0 | 53% | 44.0 | 47% | 93.6 | 28.8 | 31% | 64.8 | 69% |
| 2011 | 86.8 | 37.0 | 43% | 49.8 | 57% | 84.4 | 24.7 | 29% | 59.7 | 71% |
| 2012 | 74.4 | 28.5 | 38% | 45.9 | 62% | 71.9 | 22.3 | 31% | 49.6 | 69% |
| 2013 | 73.7 | 28.8 | 39% | 44.9 | 61% | 71.6 | 23.8 | 33% | 47.8 | 67% |
| 2014 | 70.0 | 27.9 | 40% | 42.1 | 60% | 66.6 | 22.8 | 34% | 43.8 | 66% |
| 2015 | 56.7 | 19.6 | 35% | 37.1 | 65% | 54.7 | 23.3 | 43% | 31.4 | 57% |
| 2016 | 45.5 | 15.2 | 33% | 30.3 | 67% | 44.2 | 21.6 | 49% | 22.6 | 51% |
| 2017 | 46.1 | 17.2 | 37% | 28.9 | 63% | 46.3 | 22.0 | 48% | 24.3 | 52% |
| 2018 | 46.3 | 20.8 | 45% | 25.5 | 55% | 45.5 | 18.7 | 41% | 26.8 | 59% |
| 2019 | 38.0 | 19.0 | 50% | 19.0 | 50% | 35.8 | 13.4 | 37% | 22.4 | 63% |

Table 71. San Francisco Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | | Average Dail | y Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | :amps/Rar | nches only | 22.5 | 100% | 21.3 | 7.8 | 36% | 13.5 | 64% |
| 2003 | | st-Disposit | | 23.6 | 100% | 23.3 | 6.8 | 29% | 16.5 | 71% |
| 2004 | juveniles, | | | 15.2 | 100% | 15.7 | 6.5 | 41% | 9.2 | 59% |
| 2005 | | | ed of Post- | 16.2 | 100% | 16.0 | 6.6 | 41% | 9.4 | 59% |
| 2006 | Dispositio | n juveniles | 3. | 24.9 | 100% | 25.0 | 8.9 | 36% | 16.1 | 64% |
| 2007 | | | | 28.8 | 100% | 27.3 | 7.3 | 27% | 20.0 | 73% |
| 2008 | | | | 15.9 | 100% | 15.4 | 2.8 | 18% | 12.6 | 82% |
| 2009 | | | | 13.9 | 100% | 14.0 | 1.7 | 12% | 12.3 | 88% |
| 2010 | | | | 14.3 | 100% | 14.5 | 3.3 | 23% | 11.2 | 77% |
| 2011 | | | | 20.9 | 100% | 21.3 | 9.2 | 43% | 12.1 | 57% |
| 2012 | | | | 18.2 | 100% | 17.2 | 7.2 | 42% | 10.0 | 58% |
| 2013 | | | | 14.4 | 100% | 14.5 | 4.8 | 33% | 9.7 | 67% |
| 2014 | | | | 17.1 | 100% | 16.6 | 6.1 | 37% | 10.5 | 63% |
| 2015 | | | | 12.1 | 100% | 11.9 | 3.9 | 33% | 8.0 | 67% |
| 2016 | | | | 12.5 | 100% | 12.3 | 7.8 | 63% | 4.5 | 37% |
| 2017 | | | | 11.9 | 100% | 11.9 | 7.6 | 64% | 4.3 | 36% |
| 2018 | | | | 5.3 | 100% | 5.2 | 2.2 | 42% | 3.0 | 58% |
| 2019 | D 11 D 1 | (' (ABB) | | 0.0 | 100% | 0.0 | 0.0 | n/a | 0.0 | n/a |

Figure 93.
San Francisco ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 94.

Population of San Francisco Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 95.
San Francisco Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY

Table 72. San Joaquin Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | A | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misden | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 192.0 | 101.6 | 53% | 90.4 | 47% | 193.9 | 39.1 | 20% | 154.8 | 80% |
| 2003 | 208.9 | 112.7 | 54% | 96.2 | 46% | 206.2 | 36.3 | 18% | 169.9 | 82% |
| 2004 | 209.0 | 121.4 | 58% | 87.6 | 42% | 210.3 | 40.5 | 19% | 169.8 | 81% |
| 2005 | 198.0 | 117.1 | 59% | 80.9 | 41% | 197.5 | 53.2 | 27% | 144.3 | 73% |
| 2006 | 187.1 | 101.2 | 54% | 85.9 | 46% | 185.5 | 22.8 | 12% | 162.7 | 88% |
| 2007 | 182.8 | 89.0 | 49% | 93.8 | 51% | 182.0 | 38.7 | 21% | 143.3 | 79% |
| 2008 | 193.6 | 104.4 | 54% | 89.2 | 46% | 192.3 | 65.9 | 34% | 126.4 | 66% |
| 2009 | 179.4 | 89.9 | 50% | 89.5 | 50% | 177.7 | 11.1 | 6% | 166.6 | 94% |
| 2010 | 172.8 | 84.8 | 49% | 88.0 | 51% | 165.5 | 8.2 | 5% | 157.3 | 95% |
| 2011 | 155.5 | 86.9 | 56% | 68.6 | 44% | 152.4 | 5.3 | 3% | 147.1 | 97% |
| 2012 | 142.0 | 87.3 | 62% | 54.7 | 38% | 142.7 | 5.4 | 4% | 137.3 | 96% |
| 2013 | 120.0 | 72.3 | 60% | 47.7 | 40% | 120.7 | 8.4 | 7% | 112.3 | 93% |
| 2014 | 110.0 | 58.3 | 53% | 51.7 | 47% | 109.5 | 6.9 | 6% | 102.6 | 94% |
| 2015 | 113.8 | 64.7 | 57% | 49.1 | 43% | 114.8 | 9.8 | 9% | 105.0 | 91% |
| 2016 | 123.2 | 75.0 | 61% | 48.2 | 39% | 125.4 | 8.4 | 7% | 117.0 | 93% |
| 2017 | 115.9 | 70.3 | 61% | 45.6 | 39% | 119.4 | 7.2 | 6% | 112.2 | 94% |
| 2018 | 109.7 | 65.4 | 60% | 44.3 | 40% | 109.7 | 3.9 | 4% | 105.8 | 96% |
| 2019 | 92.8 | 58.4 | 63% | 34.4 | 37% | 99.6 | 4.9 | 5% | 94.7 | 95% |

Table 73. San Joaquin Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Д | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misden | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 154.2 | 101.6 | 66% | 52.6 | 34% | 156.1 | 33.2 | 21% | 122.9 | 79% |
| 2003 | 167.0 | 112.7 | 67% | 54.3 | 33% | 164.4 | 30.2 | 18% | 134.2 | 82% |
| 2004 | 166.4 | 121.4 | 73% | 45.0 | 27% | 167.7 | 33.3 | 20% | 134.4 | 80% |
| 2005 | 159.4 | 117.1 | 73% | 42.3 | 27% | 159.7 | 42.0 | 26% | 117.7 | 74% |
| 2006 | 150.6 | 101.2 | 67% | 49.4 | 33% | 147.2 | 19.6 | 13% | 127.6 | 87% |
| 2007 | 147.4 | 89.0 | 60% | 58.4 | 40% | 145.5 | 34.8 | 24% | 110.7 | 76% |
| 2008 | 156.5 | 104.4 | 67% | 52.1 | 33% | 155.5 | 54.7 | 35% | 100.8 | 65% |
| 2009 | 142.6 | 89.9 | 63% | 52.7 | 37% | 138.3 | 10.4 | 8% | 127.9 | 92% |
| 2010 | 137.8 | 84.8 | 62% | 53.0 | 38% | 129.4 | 8.1 | 6% | 121.3 | 94% |
| 2011 | 119.6 | 86.9 | 73% | 32.7 | 27% | 116.8 | 5.3 | 4% | 111.5 | 96% |
| 2012 | 114.3 | 87.3 | 76% | 27.0 | 24% | 114.5 | 4.0 | 3% | 110.5 | 97% |
| 2013 | 95.4 | 72.3 | 76% | 23.1 | 24% | 94.1 | 8.4 | 9% | 85.7 | 91% |
| 2014 | 84.2 | 58.3 | 69% | 25.9 | 31% | 83.0 | 6.9 | 8% | 76.1 | 92% |
| 2015 | 91.9 | 64.7 | 70% | 27.2 | 30% | 91.2 | 8.9 | 10% | 82.3 | 90% |
| 2016 | 103.3 | 75.0 | 73% | 28.3 | 27% | 103.5 | 8.4 | 8% | 95.1 | 92% |
| 2017 | 92.5 | 70.3 | 76% | 22.2 | 24% | 95.6 | 7.2 | 7% | 88.4 | 93% |
| 2018 | 88.7 | 65.4 | 74% | 23.3 | 26% | 88.3 | 3.9 | 4% | 84.4 | 96% |
| 2019 | 73.2 | 58.4 | 80% | 14.8 | 20% | 72.6 | 4.7 | 6% | 67.9 | 94% |

Table 74. San Joaquin Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | | Average Dail | y Populatio | n | | One-Day Snapshot | | | |
|------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|
| | | Pre-Disposition | | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | Juvenile Camps/Ranches only house Post-Disposition juveniles, therefore their Total ADP is only comprised of Post-Disposition juveniles. | | | 100% | 37.8 | 5.9 | 16% | 31.9 | 84% |
| 2003 | | | | | 100% | 42.0 | 6.2 | 15% | 35.8 | 85% |
| 2004 | | | | | 100% | 42.7 | 7.3 | 17% | 35.4 | 83% |
| 2005 | • | | | | 100% | 37.9 | 11.2 | 30% | 26.7 | 70% |
| 2006 | Disposition | | | | 100% | 38.4 | 3.3 | 8% | 35.1 | 92% |
| 2007 | | | | | 100% | 36.4 | 3.8 | 11% | 32.6 | 89% |
| 2008 | | | | 37.1 | 100% | 36.9 | 11.3 | 31% | 25.6 | 69% |
| 2009 | | | | 36.8 | 100% | 39.4 | 0.7 | 2% | 38.7 | 98% |
| 2010 | | | | 35.0 | 100% | 36.0 | 0.1 | 0% | 35.9 | 100% |
| 2011 | | | | 35.9 | 100% | 35.7 | 0.1 | 0% | 35.6 | 100% |
| 2012 | | | | 27.7 | 100% | 28.2 | 1.4 | 5% | 26.8 | 95% |
| 2013 | | | | 24.6 | 100% | 26.6 | 0.0 | 0% | 26.6 | 100% |
| 2014 | | | | 25.8 | 100% | 26.5 | 0.0 | 0% | 26.5 | 100% |
| 2015 | | | | | 100% | 23.6 | 0.9 | 4% | 22.7 | 96% |
| 2016 | | | | | 100% | 21.9 | 0.0 | 0% | 21.9 | 100% |
| 2017 | | | | | 100% | 23.8 | 0.0 | 0% | 23.8 | 100% |
| 2018 | | | | 21.0 | 100% | 21.3 | 0.0 | 0% | 21.3 | 100% |
| 2019 | | | | 19.7 | 100% | 27.0 | 0.2 | 1% | 26.8 | 99% |

Figure 96.
San Joaquin ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 97.
Population of San Joaquin Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 98.
San Joaquin Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY

Table 75. San Luis Obispo Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | _ | Α | verage Daily | Populatio | n | _ | One-Day Snapshot | | | |
|------|------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|----------|----------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|
| | | Pre-Disposition | | Post-Disposition | | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 33.7 | 29.0 | 86% | 4.7 | 14% | 34.3 | 17.6 | 51% | 16.7 | 49% |
| 2003 | 35.0 | 28.7 | 82% | 6.3 | 18% | 35.7 | 20.3 | 57% | 15.4 | 43% |
| 2004 | 31.6 | 24.1 | 76% | 7.5 | 24% | 31.7 | 19.3 | 61% | 12.4 | 39% |
| 2005 | 40.4 | 27.2 | 67% | 13.2 | 33% | 41.1 | 24.3 | 59% | 16.8 | 41% |
| 2006 | 41.6 | 25.0 | 60% | 16.6 | 40% | 42.2 | 26.7 | 63% | 15.5 | 37% |
| 2007 | 41.2 | 26.6 | 65% | 14.6 | 35% | 41.0 | 23.5 | 57% | 17.5 | 43% |
| 2008 | 33.5 | 26.8 | 80% | 6.7 | 20% | 34.2 | 21.5 | 63% | 12.7 | 37% |
| 2009 | 40.2 | 29.9 | 74% | 10.3 | 26% | 40.6 | 20.0 | 49% | 20.6 | 51% |
| 2010 | 37.8 | 27.4 | 72% | 10.4 | 28% | 37.7 | 13.9 | 37% | 23.8 | 63% |
| 2011 | 33.0 | 22.1 | 67% | 10.9 | 33% | 33.9 | 15.4 | 45% | 18.5 | 55% |
| 2012 | 29.3 | 20.3 | 69% | 9.0 | 31% | 32.2 | 19.4 | 60% | 12.8 | 40% |
| 2013 | 29.5 | 21.1 | 72% | 8.4 | 28% | 29.2 | 12.6 | 43% | 16.6 | 57% |
| 2014 | 28.6 | 19.4 | 68% | 9.2 | 32% | 25.2 | 14.9 | 59% | 10.3 | 41% |
| 2015 | 25.9 | 15.8 | 61% | 10.1 | 39% | 26.1 | 15.5 | 59% | 10.6 | 41% |
| 2016 | 23.9 | 14.3 | 60% | 9.6 | 40% | 23.0 | 12.4 | 54% | 10.6 | 46% |
| 2017 | 30.7 | 14.0 | 45% | 16.7 | 54% | 30.6 | 16.8 | 55% | 13.8 | 45% |
| 2018 | 23.4 | 10.5 | 45% | 12.9 | 55% | 23.1 | 11.3 | 49% | 11.8 | 51% |
| 2019 | 23.1 | 9.7 | 43% | 13.4 | 59% | 22.8 | 10.7 | 47% | 12.1 | 53% |

Table 76. San Luis Obispo Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Α | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | One-Day Snapshot | | | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|------------------|----------|----------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Disposition | | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 33.7 | 29.0 | 86% | 4.7 | 14% | 34.3 | 17.6 | 51% | 16.7 | 49% |
| 2003 | 35.0 | 28.7 | 82% | 6.3 | 18% | 35.7 | 20.3 | 57% | 15.4 | 43% |
| 2004 | 31.6 | 24.1 | 76% | 7.5 | 24% | 31.7 | 19.3 | 61% | 12.4 | 39% |
| 2005 | 40.4 | 27.2 | 67% | 13.2 | 33% | 41.1 | 24.3 | 59% | 16.8 | 41% |
| 2006 | 41.6 | 25.0 | 60% | 16.6 | 40% | 42.2 | 26.7 | 63% | 15.5 | 37% |
| 2007 | 41.2 | 26.6 | 65% | 14.6 | 35% | 41.0 | 23.5 | 57% | 17.5 | 43% |
| 2008 | 33.5 | 26.8 | 80% | 6.7 | 20% | 34.2 | 21.5 | 63% | 12.7 | 37% |
| 2009 | 40.2 | 29.9 | 74% | 10.3 | 26% | 40.6 | 20.0 | 49% | 20.6 | 51% |
| 2010 | 37.8 | 27.4 | 72% | 10.4 | 28% | 37.7 | 13.9 | 37% | 23.8 | 63% |
| 2011 | 33.0 | 22.1 | 67% | 10.9 | 33% | 33.9 | 15.4 | 45% | 18.5 | 55% |
| 2012 | 29.3 | 20.3 | 69% | 9.0 | 31% | 32.2 | 19.4 | 60% | 12.8 | 40% |
| 2013 | 29.5 | 21.1 | 72% | 8.4 | 28% | 29.2 | 12.6 | 43% | 16.6 | 57% |
| 2014 | 28.6 | 19.4 | 68% | 9.2 | 32% | 25.2 | 14.9 | 59% | 10.3 | 41% |
| 2015 | 25.9 | 15.8 | 61% | 10.1 | 39% | 26.1 | 15.5 | 59% | 10.6 | 41% |
| 2016 | 23.9 | 14.3 | 60% | 9.6 | 40% | 23.0 | 12.4 | 54% | 10.6 | 46% |
| 2017 | 27.0 | 14.0 | 52% | 13.0 | 48% | 26.8 | 13.8 | 52% | 13.0 | 48% |
| 2018 | 17.1 | 10.5 | 62% | 6.6 | 39% | 17.0 | 7.8 | 46% | 9.2 | 54% |
| 2019 | 17.1 | 9.7 | 57% | 7.4 | 44% | 16.7 | 8.3 | 50% | 8.4 | 50% |

Table 77. San Luis Obispo Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2017² through 2019¹

| | | | Average Daily | / Populati | on | _ | One-Day Snapshot | | | | |
|------|------------|-------------|---------------|---|----------|----------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|--|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Disposition | | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | :amns/Rar | nches only | | | | | | | | |
| 2003 | | st-Disposit | - | | | | | | | | |
| 2004 | | therefore t | | | | | | | | | |
| 2005 | ADP is on | ly compris | ed of Post- | | | | | | | | |
| 2006 | Dispositio | n juveniles | | | | | | | | | |
| 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2009 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2010 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2011 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2013 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2014 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2015 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2016 | | | | San Luis Obispo County's Camp opened June 2017. | | | | | | | |
| 2017 | | | | 6.3 | 3 100% | 6.5 | 5.1 | 78% | 1.4 | 22% | |
| 2018 | | | | 6.3 | 3 100% | 6.2 | 3.5 | 57% | 2.7 | 43% | |
| 2019 | | | | 6.0 | 100% | 6.0 | 2.3 | 39% | 3.7 | 61% | |

Figure 99.
San Luis Obispo ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 100.

Population of San Luis Obispo Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 101.
San Luis Obispo Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



SAN MATEO COUNTY

Table 78. San Mateo Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | _ | A | verage Daily | / Populatio | n | _ | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 195.4 | 49.1 | 25% | 146.3 | 75% | 202.2 | 11.9 | 6% | 190.3 | 94% |
| 2003 | 205.2 | 56.9 | 28% | 148.3 | 72% | 206.1 | 4.8 | 2% | 201.3 | 98% |
| 2004 | 224.8 | 57.6 | 26% | 167.2 | 74% | 224.2 | 6.8 | 3% | 217.4 | 97% |
| 2005 | 237.4 | 65.6 | 28% | 171.8 | 72% | 236.9 | 9.5 | 4% | 227.4 | 96% |
| 2006 | 223.5 | 65.3 | 29% | 158.2 | 71% | 224.6 | 15.8 | 7% | 208.8 | 93% |
| 2007 | 217.8 | 71.8 | 33% | 146.0 | 67% | 221.6 | 18.3 | 8% | 203.3 | 92% |
| 2008 | 214.0 | 70.7 | 33% | 143.3 | 67% | 213.6 | 19.9 | 9% | 193.7 | 91% |
| 2009 | 208.6 | 73.8 | 35% | 134.8 | 65% | 210.6 | 20.8 | 10% | 189.8 | 90% |
| 2010 | 185.9 | 62.6 | 34% | 123.3 | 66% | 183.3 | 68.7 | 37% | 114.6 | 63% |
| 2011 | 167.2 | 62.1 | 37% | 105.1 | 63% | 166.6 | 74.8 | 45% | 91.8 | 55% |
| 2012 | 136.1 | 53.6 | 39% | 82.5 | 61% | 139.5 | 64.6 | 46% | 74.9 | 54% |
| 2013 | 122.3 | 43.1 | 35% | 79.2 | 65% | 144.3 | 78.4 | 54% | 65.9 | 46% |
| 2014 | 111.4 | 40.7 | 37% | 70.7 | 63% | 113.2 | 59.6 | 53% | 53.6 | 47% |
| 2015 | 112.4 | 41.1 | 37% | 71.3 | 63% | 113.4 | 61.2 | 54% | 52.2 | 46% |
| 2016 | 101.4 | 36.3 | 36% | 65.1 | 64% | 99.0 | 53.8 | 54% | 45.2 | 46% |
| 2017 | 87.2 | 36.7 | 42% | 50.5 | 58% | 85.9 | 43.3 | 50% | 42.6 | 50% |
| 2018 | 74.0 | 32.8 | 44% | 41.2 | 56% | 67.9 | 25.6 | 38% | 42.3 | 62% |
| 2019 | 57.1 | 34.2 | 60% | 22.9 | 40% | 58.5 | 22.3 | 38% | 36.2 | 62% |

Table 79. San Mateo Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Д | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 141.2 | 49.1 | 35% | 92.1 | 65% | 148.1 | 0.5 | 0% | 147.6 | 100% |
| 2003 | 149.4 | 56.9 | 38% | 92.5 | 62% | 150.3 | 0.5 | 0% | 149.8 | 100% |
| 2004 | 172.4 | 57.6 | 33% | 114.8 | 67% | 171.9 | 0.6 | 0% | 171.3 | 100% |
| 2005 | 183.0 | 65.6 | 36% | 117.4 | 64% | 181.7 | 1.8 | 1% | 179.9 | 99% |
| 2006 | 166.6 | 65.3 | 39% | 101.3 | 61% | 167.0 | 2.1 | 1% | 164.9 | 99% |
| 2007 | 153.6 | 71.8 | 47% | 81.8 | 53% | 156.6 | 0.9 | 1% | 155.7 | 99% |
| 2008 | 158.0 | 70.7 | 45% | 87.3 | 55% | 158.5 | 2.3 | 1% | 156.2 | 99% |
| 2009 | 157.9 | 73.8 | 47% | 84.1 | 53% | 159.8 | 2.3 | 1% | 157.5 | 99% |
| 2010 | 133.5 | 62.6 | 47% | 70.9 | 53% | 130.1 | 47.4 | 36% | 82.7 | 64% |
| 2011 | 132.4 | 62.1 | 47% | 70.3 | 53% | 131.6 | 66.1 | 50% | 65.5 | 50% |
| 2012 | 110.8 | 53.6 | 48% | 57.2 | 52% | 113.8 | 57.0 | 50% | 56.8 | 50% |
| 2013 | 95.9 | 43.1 | 45% | 52.8 | 55% | 117.6 | 71.8 | 61% | 45.8 | 39% |
| 2014 | 85.4 | 40.7 | 48% | 44.7 | 52% | 87.1 | 50.8 | 58% | 36.3 | 42% |
| 2015 | 83.2 | 41.1 | 49% | 42.1 | 51% | 84.2 | 47.0 | 56% | 37.2 | 44% |
| 2016 | 74.0 | 36.3 | 49% | 37.7 | 51% | 72.4 | 39.6 | 55% | 32.8 | 45% |
| 2017 | 61.1 | 36.7 | 60% | 24.4 | 40% | 59.9 | 31.5 | 53% | 28.4 | 47% |
| 2018 | 56.9 | 32.8 | 58% | 24.1 | 42% | 50.8 | 17.7 | 35% | 33.1 | 65% |
| 2019 | 49.1 | 34.2 | 70% | 14.9 | 30% | 49.9 | 18.1 | 36% | 31.8 | 64% |

Table 80. San Mateo Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | | Average Dail | y Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | Snapshot | | | | | |
|------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|------|------|-----|------|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony | | | | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | | | | |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | :amns/Rar | nches only | 54.2 | 100% | 54.1 | 11.4 | 21% | 42.7 | 79% | | | | |
| 2003 | | st-Disposit | | 55.8 | 100% | 55.7 | 4.3 | 8% | 51.4 | 92% | | | | |
| 2004 | juveniles, | | | 52.3 | 100% | 52.4 | 6.2 | 12% | 46.2 | 88% | | | | |
| 2005 | | | ed of Post- | 54.4 | 100% | 55.2 | 7.7 | 14% | 47.5 | 86% | | | | |
| 2006 | Dispositio | n juveniles | . | 56.8 | 100% | 57.7 | 13.8 | 24% | 43.9 | 76% | | | | |
| 2007 | | | | 64.2 | 100% | 65.1 | 17.4 | 27% | 47.7 | 73% | | | | |
| 2008 | | | | 56.1 | 100% | 55.2 | 17.7 | 32% | 37.5 | 68% | | | | |
| 2009 | | | | 50.8 | 100% | 50.9 | 18.6 | 36% | 32.3 | 64% | | | | |
| 2010 | | | | 52.3 | 100% | 53.2 | 21.3 | 40% | 31.9 | 60% | | | | |
| 2011 | | | | 34.8 | 100% | 35.1 | 8.8 | 25% | 26.3 | 75% | | | | |
| 2012 | | | | 25.3 | 100% | 25.8 | 7.6 | 29% | 18.2 | 71% | | | | |
| 2013 | | | | 26.4 | 100% | 26.9 | 6.7 | 25% | 20.2 | 75% | | | | |
| 2014 | | | | 26.0 | 100% | 26.1 | 8.8 | 34% | 17.3 | 66% | | | | |
| 2015 | | 29.2 | 100% | 29.2 | 14.2 | 49% | 15.0 | 51% | | | | | | |
| 2016 | | | | | | | | | 27.4 | 100% | 26.6 | 14.2 | 53% | 12.4 |
| 2017 | | | | 26.1 | 100% | 26.0 | 11.8 | 46% | 14.2 | 54% | | | | |
| 2018 | | | | 17.1 | 100% | 17.2 | 7.9 | 46% | 9.3 | 54% | | | | |
| 2019 | D 11 D 1 | | | | 100% | 8.6 | 4.2 | 49% | 4.4 | 51% | | | | |

Figure 102.
San Mateo ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 ¹




Figure 103.

Population of San Mateo Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 104.
San Mateo Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



SANTA BARBARA COUNTY

Table 81. Santa Barbara Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | A | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 171.4 | 60.5 | 35% | 110.9 | 65% | 168.3 | 114.0 | 68% | 54.3 | 32% |
| 2003 | 171.1 | 58.8 | 34% | 112.3 | 66% | 172.4 | 117.3 | 68% | 55.1 | 32% |
| 2004 | 144.8 | 56.5 | 39% | 88.3 | 61% | 145.5 | 94.7 | 65% | 50.8 | 35% |
| 2005 | 149.8 | 67.3 | 45% | 82.5 | 55% | 153.6 | 101.4 | 66% | 52.2 | 34% |
| 2006 | 183.7 | 74.3 | 40% | 109.4 | 60% | 186.9 | 107.0 | 57% | 79.9 | 43% |
| 2007 | 186.8 | 73.8 | 39% | 113.0 | 61% | 188.0 | 105.3 | 56% | 82.7 | 44% |
| 2008 | 184.2 | 46.6 | 25% | 137.6 | 75% | 179.3 | 118.2 | 66% | 61.1 | 34% |
| 2009 | 189.2 | 35.4 | 19% | 153.8 | 81% | 188.4 | 120.6 | 64% | 67.8 | 36% |
| 2010 | 183.4 | 31.6 | 17% | 151.8 | 83% | 187.2 | 124.7 | 67% | 62.5 | 33% |
| 2011 | 173.6 | 27.3 | 16% | 146.3 | 84% | 169.0 | 115.9 | 69% | 53.1 | 31% |
| 2012 | 156.3 | 31.6 | 20% | 124.7 | 80% | 157.8 | 111.4 | 71% | 46.4 | 29% |
| 2013 | 121.0 | 18.7 | 15% | 102.3 | 85% | 119.1 | 84.9 | 71% | 34.2 | 29% |
| 2014 | 98.3 | 13.0 | 13% | 85.3 | 87% | 98.6 | 74.3 | 75% | 24.3 | 25% |
| 2015 | 102.0 | 31.8 | 31% | 70.2 | 69% | 104.0 | 76.6 | 74% | 27.4 | 26% |
| 2016 | 103.3 | 37.1 | 36% | 66.2 | 64% | 106.5 | 69.7 | 65% | 36.8 | 35% |
| 2017 | 98.9 | 32.2 | 33% | 66.7 | 67% | 98.0 | 65.2 | 66% | 32.8 | 34% |
| 2018 | 65.1 | 22.6 | 35% | 42.5 | 65% | 64.9 | 41.8 | 64% | 23.1 | 36% |
| 2019 | 55.6 | 16.7 | 30% | 38.9 | 70% | 59.7 | 7.9 | 13% | 51.8 | 87% |

Table 82. Santa Barbara Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Д | verage Daily | / Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 87.7 | 60.5 | 69% | 27.2 | 31% | 83.9 | 57.2 | 68% | 26.7 | 32% |
| 2003 | 90.6 | 58.8 | 65% | 31.8 | 35% | 91.8 | 60.9 | 66% | 30.9 | 34% |
| 2004 | 83.5 | 56.5 | 68% | 27.0 | 32% | 83.8 | 54.8 | 65% | 29.0 | 35% |
| 2005 | 90.6 | 67.3 | 74% | 23.3 | 26% | 94.3 | 64.9 | 69% | 29.4 | 31% |
| 2006 | 111.6 | 74.3 | 67% | 37.3 | 33% | 111.6 | 70.3 | 63% | 41.3 | 37% |
| 2007 | 116.8 | 73.8 | 63% | 43.0 | 37% | 118.4 | 68.1 | 58% | 50.3 | 42% |
| 2008 | 112.2 | 46.6 | 42% | 65.6 | 59% | 108.7 | 71.9 | 66% | 36.8 | 34% |
| 2009 | 111.7 | 35.4 | 32% | 76.3 | 68% | 110.0 | 69.0 | 63% | 41.0 | 37% |
| 2010 | 106.9 | 31.6 | 30% | 75.3 | 70% | 109.6 | 71.8 | 66% | 37.8 | 34% |
| 2011 | 100.4 | 27.3 | 27% | 73.1 | 73% | 100.2 | 67.4 | 67% | 32.8 | 33% |
| 2012 | 90.9 | 31.6 | 35% | 59.3 | 65% | 91.6 | 64.0 | 70% | 27.6 | 30% |
| 2013 | 67.0 | 18.7 | 28% | 48.3 | 72% | 65.5 | 45.3 | 69% | 20.2 | 31% |
| 2014 | 57.9 | 13.0 | 22% | 44.9 | 78% | 58.9 | 41.8 | 71% | 17.1 | 29% |
| 2015 | 64.9 | 31.8 | 49% | 33.1 | 51% | 64.3 | 46.7 | 73% | 17.6 | 27% |
| 2016 | 64.8 | 37.1 | 57% | 27.7 | 43% | 66.0 | 41.3 | 63% | 24.7 | 37% |
| 2017 | 60.7 | 32.2 | 53% | 28.5 | 47% | 61.1 | 37.5 | 61% | 23.6 | 39% |
| 2018 | 37.1 | 22.6 | 61% | 14.5 | 39% | 37.1 | 21.0 | 57% | 16.1 | 43% |
| 2019 | 39.0 | 16.7 | 43% | 22.3 | 57% | 42.0 | 6.4 | 15% | 35.6 | 85% |

Note. Santa Barbara's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall in October 2011. A Special Purpose Juvenile Hall is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Table 83. Santa Barbara Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | | Average Dail | y Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | | | |
|------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|------|-----|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misden | neanor | Feld | ony | | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | | |
| 2002 | luvenile C | amns/Rar | nches only | 83.8 | 100% | 84.4 | 56.8 | 67% | 27.6 | 33% | | |
| 2003 | house Pos | • | • | 80.6 | 100% | 80.6 | 56.4 | 70% | 24.2 | 30% | | |
| 2004 | juveniles, | | | 61.3 | 100% | 61.6 | 39.8 | 65% | 21.8 | 35% | | |
| 2005 | • | | ed of Post- | 59.2 | 100% | 59.3 | 36.5 | 62% | 22.8 | 38% | | |
| 2006 | Disposition | n juveniles | | 72.1 | 100% | 75.3 | 36.7 | 49% | 38.6 | 51% | | |
| 2007 | | | | 70.0 | 100% | 69.6 | 37.2 | 53% | 32.4 | 47% | | |
| 2008 | | | | 72.0 | 100% | 70.6 | 46.3 | 66% | 24.3 | 34% | | |
| 2009 | | | | 77.5 | 100% | 78.4 | 51.6 | 66% | 26.8 | 34% | | |
| 2010 | | | | 76.6 | 100% | 77.5 | 52.8 | 68% | 24.7 | 32% | | |
| 2011 | | | | | | 73.3 | 100% | 68.8 | 48.5 | 71% | 20.3 | 29% |
| 2012 | | | | 65.4 | 100% | 66.2 | 47.4 | 72% | 18.8 | 28% | | |
| 2013 | | | | 53.9 | 100% | 53.7 | 39.7 | 74% | 14.0 | 26% | | |
| 2014 | | | | 40.4 | 100% | 39.9 | 32.6 | 82% | 7.3 | 18% | | |
| 2015 | | | | | 37.1 | 100% | 39.7 | 29.9 | 75% | 9.8 | 25% | |
| 2016 | | | | 38.5 | 100% | 40.4 | 28.3 | 70% | 12.1 | 30% | | |
| 2017 | | | | 38.2 | 100% | 37.0 | 27.7 | 75% | 9.3 | 25% | | |
| 2018 | | | | 28.0 | 100% | 27.8 | 20.8 | 75% | 7.0 | 25% | | |
| 2019 | | | | | 100% | 17.6 | 1.4 | 8% | 16.2 | 92% | | |

Figure 105.
Santa Barbara ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 106.

Population of Santa Barbara Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 107.
Santa Barbara Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



SANTA CLARA COUNTY

Table 84. Santa Clara Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Α | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 446.1 | 153.3 | 34% | 292.8 | 66% | 441.0 | 193.8 | 44% | 247.2 | 56% |
| 2003 | 356.5 | 117.6 | 33% | 238.9 | 67% | 353.9 | 161.8 | 46% | 192.1 | 54% |
| 2004 | 341.5 | 151.4 | 44% | 190.1 | 56% | 339.6 | 214.3 | 63% | 125.3 | 37% |
| 2005 | 352.5 | 166.6 | 47% | 185.9 | 53% | 349.6 | 192.5 | 55% | 157.1 | 45% |
| 2006 | 340.7 | 139.5 | 41% | 201.2 | 59% | 334.3 | 167.4 | 50% | 166.9 | 50% |
| 2007 | 414.5 | 162.7 | 39% | 251.8 | 61% | 410.6 | 204.3 | 50% | 206.3 | 50% |
| 2008 | 422.7 | 146.5 | 35% | 276.2 | 65% | 421.7 | 193.4 | 46% | 228.3 | 54% |
| 2009 | 387.4 | 137.2 | 35% | 250.2 | 65% | 387.7 | 195.4 | 50% | 192.3 | 50% |
| 2010 | 380.9 | 125.1 | 33% | 255.8 | 67% | 380.3 | 213.5 | 56% | 166.8 | 44% |
| 2011 | 271.0 | 114.8 | 42% | 156.2 | 58% | 270.5 | 141.1 | 52% | 129.4 | 48% |
| 2012 | 220.2 | 103.9 | 47% | 116.3 | 53% | 218.5 | 95.0 | 43% | 123.5 | 57% |
| 2013 | 209.3 | 91.7 | 44% | 117.6 | 56% | 209.3 | 100.2 | 48% | 109.1 | 52% |
| 2014 | 172.4 | 70.4 | 41% | 102.0 | 59% | 171.5 | 90.9 | 53% | 80.6 | 47% |
| 2015 | 149.0 | 58.1 | 39% | 90.9 | 61% | 149.9 | 79.8 | 53% | 70.1 | 47% |
| 2016 | 114.2 | 50.8 | 44% | 63.4 | 56% | 111.8 | 52.8 | 47% | 59.0 | 53% |
| 2017 | 131.8 | 76.1 | 58% | 55.7 | 42% | 133.7 | 29.9 | 22% | 103.8 | 78% |
| 2018 | 160.8 | 96.5 | 60% | 64.3 | 40% | 160.7 | 28.9 | 18% | 131.8 | 82% |
| 2019 | 178.9 | 98.6 | 55% | 80.3 | 45% | 177.3 | 40.6 | 23% | 136.7 | 77% |

Table 85. Santa Clara Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | А | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day Si | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|------------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 282.6 | 153.3 | 54% | 129.3 | 46% | 281.3 | 124.5 | 44% | 156.8 | 56% |
| 2003 | 224.4 | 117.6 | 52% | 106.8 | 48% | 222.4 | 107.5 | 48% | 114.9 | 52% |
| 2004 | 246.8 | 151.4 | 61% | 95.4 | 39% | 249.8 | 160.3 | 64% | 89.5 | 36% |
| 2005 | 257.6 | 166.6 | 65% | 91.0 | 35% | 259.1 | 145.8 | 56% | 113.3 | 44% |
| 2006 | 253.9 | 139.5 | 55% | 114.4 | 45% | 256.1 | 129.0 | 50% | 127.1 | 50% |
| 2007 | 315.4 | 162.7 | 52% | 152.7 | 48% | 312.9 | 157.7 | 50% | 155.2 | 50% |
| 2008 | 319.6 | 146.5 | 46% | 173.1 | 54% | 316.8 | 151.3 | 48% | 165.5 | 52% |
| 2009 | 284.3 | 137.2 | 48% | 147.1 | 52% | 283.0 | 152.4 | 54% | 130.6 | 46% |
| 2010 | 268.0 | 125.1 | 47% | 142.9 | 53% | 266.3 | 159.2 | 60% | 107.1 | 40% |
| 2011 | 194.3 | 114.8 | 59% | 79.5 | 41% | 192.3 | 109.3 | 57% | 83.0 | 43% |
| 2012 | 162.2 | 103.9 | 64% | 58.3 | 36% | 158.1 | 75.3 | 48% | 82.8 | 52% |
| 2013 | 150.0 | 91.7 | 61% | 58.3 | 39% | 147.7 | 77.8 | 53% | 69.9 | 47% |
| 2014 | 130.2 | 70.4 | 54% | 59.8 | 46% | 128.4 | 75.1 | 58% | 53.3 | 42% |
| 2015 | 110.6 | 58.1 | 53% | 52.5 | 47% | 110.5 | 67.2 | 61% | 43.3 | 39% |
| 2016 | 84.1 | 50.8 | 60% | 33.3 | 40% | 81.5 | 41.0 | 50% | 40.5 | 50% |
| 2017 | 88.4 | 76.1 | 86% | 12.3 | 14% | 87.8 | 16.8 | 19% | 71.0 | 81% |
| 2018 | 110.1 | 96.5 | 88% | 13.6 | 12% | 109.4 | 19.3 | 18% | 90.1 | 82% |
| 2019 | 121.4 | 98.6 | 81% | 22.8 | 19% | 117.6 | 26.3 | 22% | 91.3 | 78% |

Table 86. Santa Clara Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | | Average Dail | y Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | Snapshot | | |
|------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|-----|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misden | neanor | Feld | ony | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | :amns/Rar | nches only | 163.4 | 100% | 159.7 | 69.3 | 43% | 90.4 | 57% | |
| 2003 | house Pos | • | • | 132.2 | 100% | 131.5 | 54.3 | 41% | 77.2 | 59% | |
| 2004 | juveniles, | | | 94.8 | 100% | 89.8 | 54.0 | 60% | 35.8 | 40% | |
| 2005 | • | | ed of Post- | 94.9 | 100% | 90.5 | 46.7 | 52% | 43.8 | 48% | |
| 2006 | Disposition | n juveniles |) . | 86.8 | 100% | 78.2 | 38.4 | 49% | 39.8 | 51% | |
| 2007 | | | | 99.1 | 100% | 97.9 | 46.7 | 48% | 51.2 | 52% | |
| 2008 | | | | 103.1 | 100% | 104.9 | 42.1 | 40% | 62.8 | 60% | |
| 2009 | | | | 103.1 | 100% | 104.8 | 43.0 | 41% | 61.8 | 59% | |
| 2010 | | | | 112.9 | 100% | 114.0 | 54.3 | 48% | 59.7 | 52% | |
| 2011 | | | | 76.7 | 100% | 78.2 | 31.8 | 41% | 46.4 | 59% | |
| 2012 | | | | 58.0 | 100% | 60.5 | 19.7 | 33% | 40.8 | 67% | |
| 2013 | | | | 59.3 | 100% | 61.5 | 22.3 | 36% | 39.2 | 64% | |
| 2014 | | | | 42.3 | 100% | 43.1 | 15.8 | 37% | 27.3 | 63% | |
| 2015 | | | | | 38.4 | 100% | 39.5 | 12.7 | 32% | 26.8 | 68% |
| 2016 | | | | 30.1 | 100% | 30.3 | 11.8 | 39% | 18.5 | 61% | |
| 2017 | | | | 43.3 | 100% | 45.9 | 13.1 | 28% | 32.8 | 72% | |
| 2018 | | | | 50.8 | 100% | 51.3 | 9.6 | 19% | 41.7 | 81% | |
| 2019 | | | | | 100% | 59.5 | 14.2 | 24% | 45.3 | 76% | |

Figure 108.
Santa Clara ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 109.

Population of Santa Clara Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 110.
Santa Clara Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



SANTA CRUZ COUNTY

Table 87. Santa Cruz Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | А | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|------------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot _ | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 33.0 | 22.3 | 68% | 10.7 | 32% | 34.8 | 22.5 | 65% | 12.3 | 35% |
| 2003 | 26.2 | 20.3 | 78% | 5.9 | 23% | 27.4 | 14.2 | 52% | 13.2 | 48% |
| 2004 | 22.8 | 17.0 | 75% | 5.8 | 25% | 23.5 | 12.3 | 52% | 11.2 | 48% |
| 2005 | 16.5 | 12.7 | 77% | 3.8 | 23% | 15.5 | 9.3 | 60% | 6.2 | 40% |
| 2006 | 22.3 | 15.6 | 70% | 6.7 | 30% | 22.2 | 10.7 | 48% | 11.5 | 52% |
| 2007 | 22.6 | 14.8 | 65% | 7.8 | 34% | 22.7 | 9.8 | 43% | 12.9 | 57% |
| 2008 | 20.3 | 12.8 | 62% | 7.5 | 37% | 19.7 | 7.8 | 40% | 11.9 | 60% |
| 2009 | 22.4 | 14.1 | 63% | 8.3 | 37% | 22.4 | 9.3 | 41% | 13.1 | 59% |
| 2010 | 19.9 | 10.1 | 50% | 9.8 | 49% | 21.1 | 8.7 | 41% | 12.4 | 59% |
| 2011 | 18.3 | 11.2 | 61% | 7.1 | 39% | 19.5 | 7.8 | 40% | 11.7 | 60% |
| 2012 | 23.0 | 12.0 | 52% | 11.0 | 48% | 22.5 | 7.9 | 35% | 14.6 | 65% |
| 2013 | 20.5 | 13.1 | 64% | 7.4 | 36% | 20.0 | 6.6 | 33% | 13.4 | 67% |
| 2014 | 18.0 | 10.8 | 60% | 7.2 | 40% | 17.6 | 5.8 | 33% | 11.8 | 67% |
| 2015 | 20.2 | 12.5 | 62% | 7.7 | 38% | 19.7 | 7.1 | 36% | 12.6 | 64% |
| 2016 | 18.2 | 12.0 | 66% | 6.2 | 34% | 18.0 | 7.8 | 44% | 10.2 | 56% |
| 2017 | 17.9 | 11.4 | 64% | 6.5 | 36% | 17.3 | 7.5 | 43% | 9.8 | 57% |
| 2018 | 15.7 | 12.9 | 82% | 2.8 | 18% | 14.6 | 5.6 | 38% | 9.0 | 62% |
| 2019 | 18.4 | 14.5 | 79% | 3.9 | 21% | 16.6 | 5.9 | 36% | 10.7 | 64% |

Figure 111. Santa Cruz Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019 ¹




Figure 112.

Population of Santa Cruz Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 113.
Santa Cruz Juvenile Hall Felony Population as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



SHASTA COUNTY

Table 88. Shasta Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | _ | Α | verage Daily | Populatio | n | _ | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 103.8 | 27.6 | 27% | 76.2 | 73% | 105.3 | 63.3 | 60% | 42.0 | 40% |
| 2003 | 101.1 | 26.3 | 26% | 74.8 | 74% | 102.1 | 70.3 | 69% | 31.8 | 31% |
| 2004 | 94.3 | 28.2 | 30% | 66.1 | 70% | 96.1 | 59.8 | 62% | 36.3 | 38% |
| 2005 | 95.7 | 26.6 | 28% | 69.1 | 72% | 95.8 | 62.5 | 65% | 33.3 | 35% |
| 2006 | 96.1 | 26.5 | 28% | 69.6 | 72% | 96.1 | 67.3 | 70% | 28.8 | 30% |
| 2007 | 94.5 | 27.5 | 29% | 67.0 | 71% | 96.4 | 60.1 | 62% | 36.3 | 38% |
| 2008 | 77.1 | 25.3 | 33% | 51.8 | 67% | 78.4 | 48.3 | 62% | 30.1 | 38% |
| 2009 | 53.6 | 20.6 | 38% | 33.0 | 62% | 55.0 | 34.7 | 63% | 20.3 | 37% |
| 2010 | 31.7 | 21.4 | 68% | 10.3 | 32% | 31.5 | 19.8 | 63% | 11.7 | 37% |
| 2011 | 27.6 | 18.7 | 68% | 8.9 | 32% | 28.7 | 18.3 | 64% | 10.4 | 36% |
| 2012 | 29.0 | 19.8 | 68% | 9.2 | 32% | 29.0 | 16.8 | 58% | 12.2 | 42% |
| 2013 | 25.5 | 16.3 | 64% | 9.2 | 36% | 25.9 | 15.4 | 59% | 10.5 | 41% |
| 2014 | 23.8 | 13.8 | 58% | 10.0 | 42% | 23.8 | 14.8 | 62% | 9.0 | 38% |
| 2015 | 18.3 | 9.1 | 50% | 9.2 | 50% | 18.8 | 14.0 | 74% | 4.8 | 26% |
| 2016 | 17.9 | 10.5 | 59% | 7.4 | 41% | 17.5 | 7.6 | 43% | 9.9 | 57% |
| 2017 | 23.5 | 12.8 | 55% | 10.7 | 45% | 24.9 | 12.5 | 50% | 12.4 | 50% |
| 2018 | 22.3 | 11.6 | 52% | 10.7 | 48% | 22.3 | 7.3 | 33% | 15.0 | 67% |
| 2019 | 25.3 | 12.0 | 47% | 13.3 | 53% | 25.6 | 8.3 | 32% | 17.3 | 68% |

Table 89. Shasta Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | А | verage Daily | / Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 54.8 | 27.6 | 50% | 27.2 | 50% | 56.0 | 37.6 | 67% | 18.4 | 33% |
| 2003 | 54.9 | 26.3 | 48% | 28.6 | 52% | 54.8 | 39.4 | 72% | 15.4 | 28% |
| 2004 | 53.0 | 28.2 | 53% | 24.8 | 47% | 53.6 | 33.8 | 63% | 19.8 | 37% |
| 2005 | 54.4 | 26.6 | 49% | 27.8 | 51% | 53.9 | 38.2 | 71% | 15.7 | 29% |
| 2006 | 53.9 | 26.5 | 49% | 27.4 | 51% | 53.2 | 42.9 | 81% | 10.3 | 19% |
| 2007 | 53.5 | 27.5 | 51% | 26.0 | 49% | 54.1 | 37.9 | 70% | 16.2 | 30% |
| 2008 | 45.8 | 25.3 | 55% | 20.5 | 45% | 45.4 | 30.8 | 68% | 14.6 | 32% |
| 2009 | 35.3 | 20.6 | 58% | 14.7 | 42% | 34.1 | 23.9 | 70% | 10.2 | 30% |
| 2010 | 31.7 | 21.4 | 68% | 10.3 | 32% | 31.5 | 19.8 | 63% | 11.7 | 37% |
| 2011 | 27.6 | 18.7 | 68% | 8.9 | 32% | 28.7 | 18.3 | 64% | 10.4 | 36% |
| 2012 | 29.0 | 19.8 | 68% | 9.2 | 32% | 29.0 | 16.8 | 58% | 12.2 | 42% |
| 2013 | 25.5 | 16.3 | 64% | 9.2 | 36% | 25.9 | 15.4 | 59% | 10.5 | 41% |
| 2014 | 23.8 | 13.8 | 58% | 10.0 | 42% | 23.8 | 14.8 | 62% | 9.0 | 38% |
| 2015 | 18.3 | 9.1 | 50% | 9.2 | 50% | 18.8 | 14.0 | 74% | 4.8 | 26% |
| 2016 | 17.9 | 10.5 | 59% | 7.4 | 41% | 17.5 | 7.6 | 43% | 9.9 | 57% |
| 2017 | 23.5 | 12.8 | 55% | 10.7 | 45% | 24.9 | 12.5 | 50% | 12.4 | 50% |
| 2018 | 22.3 | 11.6 | 52% | 10.7 | 48% | 22.3 | 7.3 | 33% | 15.0 | 67% |
| 2019 | 25.3 | 12.0 | 47% | 13.3 | 53% | 25.6 | 8.3 | 32% | 17.3 | 68% |

Table 90. Shasta Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2010²


| Table 30. | Onacia Car | | Average Daily | | | tillough 2010 | | One-Day S | napshot | | | |
|-----------|-------------|----------------------------------|---------------|--|----------|---------------|-------------|-----------|---------|----------|--|--|
| | _ | Pre-Disposition | | Post-Disposition | | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | | | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | | |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | amns/Rar | nches only | 49.0 | 100% | 49.3 | 25.7 | 52% | 23.6 | 48% | | |
| 2003 | house Pos | • | _ | 46.3 | 100% | 47.3 | 30.9 | 65% | 16.4 | 35% | | |
| 2004 | | juveniles, therefore their Total | | | 100% | 42.6 | 26.0 | 61% | 16.6 | 39% | | |
| 2005 | | | ed of Post- | 41.3 | 100% | 42.0 | 24.3 | 58% | 17.7 | 42% | | |
| 2006 | Disposition | n juveniles | 3. | 42.2 | 100% | 42.8 | 24.4 | 57% | 18.4 | 43% | | |
| 2007 | | | | 41.0 | 100% | 42.3 | 22.2 | 52% | 20.2 | 48% | | |
| 2008 | | | | 31.3 | 100% | 33.0 | 17.5 | 53% | 15.5 | 47% | | |
| 2009 | | | | 18.3 | 100% | 20.8 | 10.8 | 52% | 10.1 | 48% | | |
| 2010 | | | | 0.0 | n/a | 0.0 | 0.0 | n/a | 0.0 | n/a | | |
| 2011 | | | | Shasta County's Camp closed July 2010. | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2013 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2014 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2015 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2016 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2017 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2018 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2019 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Figure 114.
Shasta ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 115.

Population of Shasta Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







SISKIYOU COUNTY

Table 91. Siskiyou Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2017

| | | А | verage Daily | / Populatio | n | One-Day Snaps | | | napshot | pshot | | |
|------|--|-----------------|--------------|------------------|----------|---------------|-------------|----------|---------|----------|--|--|
| | _ | Pre-Disposition | | Post-Disposition | | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | | | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | | |
| 2002 | 14.2 | 7.3 | 51% | 6.9 | 49% | 14.3 | 5.4 | 38% | 8.8 | 62% | | |
| 2003 | 17.4 | 7.8 | 45% | 9.6 | 55% | 17.3 | 10.3 | 60% | 7.0 | 40% | | |
| 2004 | 15.0 | 5.8 | 38% | 9.3 | 62% | 14.7 | 4.3 | 30% | 10.3 | 70% | | |
| 2005 | 13.8 | 5.8 | 42% | 7.9 | 58% | 13.1 | 3.8 | 29% | 9.3 | 71% | | |
| 2006 | 14.6 | 7.5 | 51% | 7.1 | 49% | 13.9 | 3.8 | 28% | 10.1 | 72% | | |
| 2007 | 15.4 | 9.5 | 62% | 5.9 | 38% | 14.8 | 5.4 | 37% | 9.3 | 63% | | |
| 2008 | 17.6 | 6.6 | 37% | 11.0 | 63% | 17.4 | 7.6 | 44% | 9.8 | 56% | | |
| 2009 | 13.8 | 6.3 | 45% | 7.5 | 55% | 11.3 | 4.8 | 42% | 6.6 | 58% | | |
| 2010 | 13.8 | 4.7 | 34% | 9.2 | 66% | 14.5 | 4.3 | 29% | 10.3 | 71% | | |
| 2011 | 14.3 | 6.8 | 48% | 7.4 | 52% | 14.9 | 5.5 | 37% | 9.4 | 63% | | |
| 2012 | 15.0 | 7.2 | 48% | 7.8 | 52% | 14.0 | 3.5 | 25% | 10.5 | 75% | | |
| 2013 | 8.6 | 4.3 | 50% | 4.3 | 50% | 7.9 | 2.9 | 37% | 5.0 | 63% | | |
| 2014 | 11.8 | 4.6 | 39% | 7.3 | 61% | 11.5 | 2.8 | 25% | 8.7 | 75% | | |
| 2015 | 11.7 | 4.2 | 36% | 7.5 | 64% | 12.3 | 1.6 | 13% | 10.7 | 87% | | |
| 2016 | 9.5 | 4.2 | 44% | 5.3 | 56% | 9.5 | 0.3 | 4% | 9.2 | 96% | | |
| 2017 | 7.9 | 4.2 | 53% | 3.8 | 47% | 8.0 | 1.1 | 14% | 6.9 | 86% | | |
| | Siskiyou County converted their Juvenile Hall to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall in February 2018 ¹ | | | | | | | | | | | |

Note. ¹ Siskiyou County's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall (SPJH) in February 2018. An SPJH is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Facility-level data of provided of SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Figure 117. Siskiyou Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2017




Figure 118.

Population of Siskiyou Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2017





Figure 119. Siskiyou Juvenile Hall Felony Population as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2017



SOLANO COUNTY

Table 92. Solano Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | A | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | One-Day Snapshot | | | | |
|------|-------|---------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|--|
| | | Pre-Dis | re-Disposition Post-Disposition | | sposition | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | |
| 2002 | 95.6 | 57.4 | 60% | 38.2 | 40% | 96.5 | 37.2 | 39% | 59.3 | 61% | |
| 2003 | 99.0 | 70.9 | 72% | 28.1 | 28% | 103.3 | 37.3 | 36% | 66.0 | 64% | |
| 2004 | 103.4 | 70.8 | 69% | 32.6 | 32% | 103.8 | 30.3 | 29% | 73.5 | 71% | |
| 2005 | 104.0 | 71.8 | 69% | 32.2 | 31% | 102.3 | 33.0 | 32% | 69.3 | 68% | |
| 2006 | 115.3 | 84.3 | 73% | 31.0 | 27% | 114.1 | 35.2 | 31% | 78.9 | 69% | |
| 2007 | 100.3 | 66.0 | 66% | 34.3 | 34% | 100.3 | 41.0 | 41% | 59.3 | 59% | |
| 2008 | 110.2 | 72.1 | 65% | 38.1 | 35% | 110.7 | 45.8 | 41% | 64.9 | 59% | |
| 2009 | 108.6 | 54.1 | 50% | 54.5 | 50% | 108.5 | 50.3 | 46% | 58.2 | 54% | |
| 2010 | 103.1 | 64.0 | 62% | 39.1 | 38% | 102.9 | 45.4 | 44% | 57.5 | 56% | |
| 2011 | 98.1 | 50.2 | 51% | 47.9 | 49% | 97.2 | 45.2 | 46% | 52.0 | 54% | |
| 2012 | 93.6 | 44.0 | 47% | 49.6 | 53% | 90.6 | 42.8 | 47% | 47.8 | 53% | |
| 2013 | 89.0 | 40.3 | 45% | 48.7 | 55% | 85.6 | 39.6 | 46% | 46.0 | 54% | |
| 2014 | 71.4 | 34.2 | 48% | 37.2 | 52% | 69.5 | 33.0 | 47% | 36.5 | 53% | |
| 2015 | 77.9 | 37.6 | 48% | 40.3 | 52% | 75.7 | 33.6 | 44% | 42.1 | 56% | |
| 2016 | 73.9 | 32.8 | 44% | 41.1 | 56% | 67.2 | 23.8 | 35% | 43.4 | 65% | |
| 2017 | 50.1 | 24.5 | 49% | 25.6 | 51% | 50.8 | 11.9 | 23% | 38.9 | 77% | |
| 2018 | 38.3 | 21.8 | 57% | 16.5 | 43% | 37.9 | 7.6 | 20% | 30.3 | 80% | |
| 2019 | 42.0 | 22.9 | 55% | 19.1 | 46% | 41.2 | 11.3 | 27% | 29.9 | 73% | |

Table 93. Solano Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | _ | А | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | One-Day Snapshot | | | |
|------|------|-----------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|
| | | Pre-Disposition | | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 69.9 | 57.4 | 82% | 12.5 | 18% | 70.0 | 23.3 | 33% | 46.7 | 67% |
| 2003 | 76.8 | 70.9 | 92% | 5.9 | 8% | 79.7 | 27.1 | 34% | 52.6 | 66% |
| 2004 | 78.5 | 70.8 | 90% | 7.7 | 10% | 78.4 | 18.8 | 24% | 59.6 | 76% |
| 2005 | 78.3 | 71.8 | 92% | 6.5 | 8% | 77.2 | 21.2 | 27% | 56.0 | 73% |
| 2006 | 90.5 | 84.3 | 93% | 6.2 | 7% | 89.1 | 23.7 | 27% | 65.4 | 73% |
| 2007 | 77.9 | 66.0 | 85% | 11.9 | 15% | 77.7 | 29.9 | 38% | 47.8 | 62% |
| 2008 | 84.7 | 72.1 | 85% | 12.6 | 15% | 85.0 | 32.7 | 38% | 52.3 | 62% |
| 2009 | 83.2 | 54.1 | 65% | 29.1 | 35% | 83.6 | 37.8 | 45% | 45.8 | 55% |
| 2010 | 78.1 | 64.0 | 82% | 14.1 | 18% | 77.9 | 30.3 | 39% | 47.6 | 61% |
| 2011 | 73.9 | 50.2 | 68% | 23.7 | 32% | 72.3 | 33.1 | 46% | 39.2 | 54% |
| 2012 | 71.1 | 44.0 | 62% | 27.1 | 38% | 68.1 | 31.5 | 46% | 36.6 | 54% |
| 2013 | 66.8 | 40.3 | 60% | 26.5 | 40% | 63.5 | 25.7 | 40% | 37.8 | 60% |
| 2014 | 58.4 | 34.2 | 59% | 24.2 | 41% | 56.6 | 23.7 | 42% | 32.9 | 58% |
| 2015 | 64.7 | 37.6 | 58% | 27.1 | 42% | 62.2 | 22.9 | 37% | 39.3 | 63% |
| 2016 | 62.1 | 32.8 | 53% | 29.3 | 47% | 55.9 | 14.7 | 26% | 41.2 | 74% |
| 2017 | 46.3 | 24.5 | 53% | 21.8 | 47% | 47.9 | 10.3 | 21% | 37.6 | 79% |
| 2018 | 30.4 | 21.8 | 72% | 8.6 | 28% | 30.0 | 6.8 | 23% | 23.2 | 77% |
| 2019 | 35.4 | 22.9 | 65% | 12.5 | 35% | 34.6 | 11.2 | 32% | 23.4 | 68% |

Table 94. Solano Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | | Average Dail | y Populatio | n | <u> </u> | One-Day Snapshot | | | | |
|------|-------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|------------------|----------|------|----------|--|
| | | Pre-Disposition | | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misden | neanor | Fel | ony | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | amps/Rar | nches only | 25.7 | 100% | 26.6 | 13.9 | 52% | 12.7 | 48% | |
| 2003 | | nouse Post-Disposition | | 22.2 | 100% | 23.6 | 10.2 | 43% | 13.4 | 57% | |
| 2004 | juveniles, | | | 25.0 | 100% | 25.4 | 11.5 | 45% | 13.9 | 55% | |
| 2005 | • | OP is only comprised of Post- | | 25.7 | 100% | 25.1 | 11.8 | 47% | 13.3 | 53% | |
| 2006 | Disposition | isposition juveniles. | | | 100% | 25.0 | 11.5 | 46% | 13.5 | 54% | |
| 2007 | | | | | 100% | 22.5 | 11.1 | 49% | 11.4 | 51% | |
| 2008 | | | | 25.4 | 100% | 25.9 | 13.2 | 51% | 12.7 | 49% | |
| 2009 | | | | 25.4 | 100% | 24.8 | 12.5 | 50% | 12.3 | 50% | |
| 2010 | | | | 25.0 | 100% | 25.1 | 15.2 | 60% | 9.9 | 40% | |
| 2011 | | | | 24.2 | 100% | 24.9 | 12.1 | 48% | 12.8 | 52% | |
| 2012 | | | | 22.6 | 100% | 22.6 | 11.3 | 50% | 11.3 | 50% | |
| 2013 | | | | 22.2 | 100% | 22.1 | 13.9 | 63% | 8.2 | 37% | |
| 2014 | | | | 13.0 | 100% | 12.9 | 9.3 | 72% | 3.6 | 28% | |
| 2015 | | | | 13.2 | 100% | 13.5 | 10.7 | 79% | 2.8 | 21% | |
| 2016 | | | | 11.8 | 100% | 11.4 | 9.1 | 80% | 2.3 | 20% | |
| 2017 | | | | 3.8 | 100% | 3.0 | 1.7 | 56% | 1.3 | 44% | |
| 2018 | | | | 7.9 | 100% | 8.0 | 0.8 | 10% | 7.2 | 90% | |
| 2019 | | | | 6.6 | 100% | 6.5 | 0.1 | 2% | 6.4 | 98% | |

Figure 120.
Solano ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 121.

Population of Solano Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 122. Solano Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



SONOMA COUNTY

Table 95. Sonoma Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019

| | _ | Α | verage Daily | Populatio | n | _ | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 96.7 | 29.2 | 30% | 67.5 | 70% | 96.1 | 60.8 | 63% | 35.3 | 37% |
| 2003 | 104.1 | 31.5 | 30% | 72.6 | 70% | 105.3 | 56.8 | 54% | 48.5 | 46% |
| 2004 | 115.2 | 33.8 | 29% | 81.4 | 71% | 115.3 | 61.6 | 53% | 53.7 | 47% |
| 2005 | 112.0 | 38.4 | 34% | 73.6 | 66% | 115.3 | 59.6 | 52% | 55.7 | 48% |
| 2006 | 133.9 | 47.3 | 35% | 86.6 | 65% | 134.2 | 74.2 | 55% | 60.0 | 45% |
| 2007 | 134.0 | 43.7 | 33% | 90.3 | 67% | 135.7 | 63.6 | 47% | 72.1 | 53% |
| 2008 | 125.0 | 39.1 | 31% | 85.9 | 69% | 126.5 | 60.8 | 48% | 65.7 | 52% |
| 2009 | 106.8 | 41.0 | 38% | 65.8 | 62% | 107.4 | 48.6 | 45% | 58.8 | 55% |
| 2010 | 112.2 | 42.7 | 38% | 69.5 | 62% | 113.5 | 61.5 | 54% | 52.0 | 46% |
| 2011 | 109.4 | 36.2 | 33% | 73.2 | 67% | 109.6 | 61.1 | 56% | 48.5 | 44% |
| 2012 | 108.7 | 35.7 | 33% | 73.0 | 67% | 110.8 | 63.3 | 57% | 47.5 | 43% |
| 2013 | 79.1 | 26.4 | 33% | 52.7 | 67% | 78.8 | 44.0 | 56% | 34.8 | 44% |
| 2014 | 84.0 | 27.0 | 32% | 57.0 | 68% | 87.2 | 52.1 | 60% | 35.1 | 40% |
| 2015 | 76.4 | 24.4 | 32% | 52.0 | 68% | 76.8 | 44.3 | 58% | 32.5 | 42% |
| 2016 | 64.8 | 24.0 | 37% | 40.8 | 63% | 66.8 | 37.8 | 57% | 29.0 | 43% |
| 2017 | 57.2 | 23.2 | 40% | 34.0 | 59% | 57.5 | 27.5 | 48% | 30.0 | 52% |
| 2018 | 44.2 | 18.6 | 42% | 25.6 | 58% | 44.7 | 21.4 | 48% | 23.3 | 52% |
| 2019 | 53.7 | 20.8 | 39% | 32.9 | 62% | 53.6 | 29.3 | 55% | 24.3 | 45% |

Table 96. Sonoma Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Α | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 62.6 | 29.2 | 47% | 33.4 | 53% | 62.7 | 40.0 | 64% | 22.7 | 36% |
| 2003 | 68.3 | 31.5 | 46% | 36.8 | 54% | 69.2 | 37.2 | 54% | 32.0 | 46% |
| 2004 | 75.0 | 33.8 | 45% | 41.2 | 55% | 75.0 | 41.2 | 55% | 33.8 | 45% |
| 2005 | 75.9 | 38.4 | 51% | 37.5 | 49% | 79.1 | 39.3 | 50% | 39.8 | 50% |
| 2006 | 93.4 | 47.3 | 51% | 46.1 | 49% | 92.7 | 48.8 | 53% | 43.9 | 47% |
| 2007 | 97.4 | 43.7 | 45% | 53.7 | 55% | 98.2 | 44.9 | 46% | 53.3 | 54% |
| 2008 | 88.1 | 39.1 | 44% | 49.0 | 56% | 88.9 | 43.6 | 49% | 45.3 | 51% |
| 2009 | 81.1 | 41.0 | 51% | 40.1 | 49% | 79.7 | 35.8 | 45% | 43.9 | 55% |
| 2010 | 84.9 | 42.7 | 50% | 42.2 | 50% | 86.8 | 48.9 | 56% | 37.9 | 44% |
| 2011 | 81.9 | 36.2 | 44% | 45.7 | 56% | 82.0 | 46.8 | 57% | 35.2 | 43% |
| 2012 | 81.7 | 35.7 | 44% | 46.0 | 56% | 84.1 | 49.3 | 59% | 34.8 | 41% |
| 2013 | 63.4 | 26.4 | 42% | 37.0 | 58% | 62.8 | 37.4 | 60% | 25.4 | 40% |
| 2014 | 71.9 | 27.0 | 38% | 44.9 | 63% | 74.6 | 44.3 | 59% | 30.3 | 41% |
| 2015 | 64.5 | 24.4 | 38% | 40.1 | 62% | 65.0 | 38.0 | 58% | 27.0 | 42% |
| 2016 | 54.9 | 24.0 | 44% | 30.9 | 56% | 56.8 | 33.8 | 59% | 23.0 | 41% |
| 2017 | 44.4 | 23.2 | 52% | 21.2 | 48% | 44.9 | 21.6 | 48% | 23.3 | 52% |
| 2018 | 36.9 | 18.6 | 51% | 18.3 | 50% | 37.6 | 17.8 | 47% | 19.8 | 53% |
| 2019 | 38.9 | 20.8 | 54% | 18.1 | 47% | 40.3 | 21.3 | 53% | 19.0 | 47% |

Table 97. Sonoma Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | | Average Dail | y Populatio | n | <u>.</u> | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misden | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | :amps/Rar | nches only | 34.1 | 100% | 33.4 | 20.8 | 62% | 12.6 | 38% |
| 2003 | house Pos | | | 35.8 | 100% | 36.1 | 19.6 | 54% | 16.5 | 46% |
| 2004 | juveniles, | | | 40.3 | 100% | 40.3 | 20.4 | 51% | 19.9 | 49% |
| 2005 | • | | ed of Post- | 36.0 | 100% | 36.2 | 20.3 | 56% | 15.9 | 44% |
| 2006 | Disposition | n juveniles | veniles. | | 100% | 41.4 | 25.3 | 61% | 16.1 | 39% |
| 2007 | | | | 36.6 | 100% | 37.5 | 18.7 | 50% | 18.8 | 50% |
| 2008 | | | | 36.9 | 100% | 37.5 | 17.2 | 46% | 20.3 | 54% |
| 2009 | | | | 25.7 | 100% | 27.6 | 12.8 | 46% | 14.8 | 54% |
| 2010 | | | | 27.4 | 100% | 26.7 | 12.6 | 47% | 14.1 | 53% |
| 2011 | | | | 27.5 | 100% | 27.6 | 14.3 | 52% | 13.3 | 48% |
| 2012 | | | | 27.0 | 100% | 26.8 | 14.0 | 52% | 12.8 | 48% |
| 2013 | | | | 15.8 | 100% | 15.9 | 6.6 | 41% | 9.3 | 59% |
| 2014 | | | | 12.1 | 100% | 12.6 | 7.8 | 62% | 4.8 | 38% |
| 2015 | | | | 12.0 | 100% | 11.8 | 6.3 | 54% | 5.5 | 46% |
| 2016 | | | | 9.9 | 100% | 10.1 | 4.1 | 40% | 6.0 | 60% |
| 2017 | | | | 12.8 | 100% | 12.6 | 5.9 | 47% | 6.7 | 53% |
| 2018 | | | | 7.3 | 100% | 7.2 | 3.7 | 51% | 3.5 | 49% |
| 2019 | | | | 14.8 | 100% | 13.3 | 8.0 | 60% | 5.3 | 40% |

Figure 123.
Sonoma ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 124.

Population of Sonoma Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 125.
Sonoma Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



STANISLAUS COUNTY

Table 98. Stanislaus Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019

| | | Α | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misden | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 117.7 | 67.5 | 57% | 50.2 | 43% | 119.8 | 26.7 | 22% | 93.1 | 78% |
| 2003 | 106.0 | 55.6 | 52% | 50.4 | 48% | 105.4 | 20.8 | 20% | 84.6 | 80% |
| 2004 | 129.8 | 76.4 | 59% | 53.4 | 41% | 134.3 | 18.0 | 13% | 116.3 | 87% |
| 2005 | 140.1 | 71.8 | 51% | 68.3 | 49% | 136.1 | 18.7 | 14% | 117.4 | 86% |
| 2006 | 145.3 | 69.0 | 48% | 76.3 | 52% | 149.9 | 20.8 | 14% | 129.1 | 86% |
| 2007 | 144.5 | 80.8 | 56% | 63.7 | 44% | 145.2 | 13.9 | 10% | 131.3 | 90% |
| 2008 | 132.3 | 70.8 | 54% | 61.5 | 47% | 136.3 | 21.8 | 16% | 114.5 | 84% |
| 2009 | 147.7 | 83.0 | 56% | 64.7 | 44% | 147.3 | 18.6 | 13% | 128.7 | 87% |
| 2010 | 145.2 | 81.2 | 56% | 64.0 | 44% | 149.0 | 15.0 | 10% | 134.0 | 90% |
| 2011 | 133.7 | 66.3 | 50% | 67.4 | 50% | 132.7 | 13.0 | 10% | 119.7 | 90% |
| 2012 | 121.3 | 60.0 | 49% | 61.3 | 51% | 121.1 | 17.1 | 14% | 104.0 | 86% |
| 2013 | 104.1 | 45.1 | 43% | 59.0 | 57% | 109.4 | 22.4 | 20% | 87.0 | 80% |
| 2014 | 94.8 | 37.5 | 39% | 57.3 | 60% | 95.6 | 16.1 | 17% | 79.5 | 83% |
| 2015 | 91.6 | 64.2 | 70% | 27.4 | 30% | 93.1 | 23.2 | 25% | 69.9 | 75% |
| 2016 | 85.3 | 41.5 | 49% | 43.8 | 51% | 83.3 | 13.5 | 16% | 69.8 | 84% |
| 2017 | 68.6 | 35.6 | 52% | 33.0 | 48% | 68.2 | 14.1 | 21% | 54.1 | 79% |
| 2018 | 78.6 | 47.2 | 60% | 31.4 | 40% | 79.0 | 17.3 | 22% | 61.7 | 78% |
| 2019 | 78.1 | 40.7 | 52% | 37.4 | 48% | 77.4 | 16.0 | 21% | 61.4 | 79% |

Table 99. Stanislaus Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Д | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 117.7 | 67.5 | 57% | 50.2 | 43% | 119.8 | 26.7 | 22% | 93.1 | 78% |
| 2003 | 106.0 | 55.6 | 52% | 50.4 | 48% | 105.4 | 20.8 | 20% | 84.6 | 80% |
| 2004 | 129.8 | 76.4 | 59% | 53.4 | 41% | 134.3 | 18.0 | 13% | 116.3 | 87% |
| 2005 | 140.1 | 71.8 | 51% | 68.3 | 49% | 136.1 | 18.7 | 14% | 117.4 | 86% |
| 2006 | 145.3 | 69.0 | 48% | 76.3 | 52% | 149.9 | 20.8 | 14% | 129.1 | 86% |
| 2007 | 144.5 | 80.8 | 56% | 63.7 | 44% | 145.2 | 13.9 | 10% | 131.3 | 90% |
| 2008 | 132.3 | 70.8 | 54% | 61.5 | 47% | 136.3 | 21.8 | 16% | 114.5 | 84% |
| 2009 | 147.7 | 83.0 | 56% | 64.7 | 44% | 147.3 | 18.6 | 13% | 128.7 | 87% |
| 2010 | 145.2 | 81.2 | 56% | 64.0 | 44% | 149.0 | 15.0 | 10% | 134.0 | 90% |
| 2011 | 133.7 | 66.3 | 50% | 67.4 | 50% | 132.7 | 13.0 | 10% | 119.7 | 90% |
| 2012 | 121.3 | 60.0 | 49% | 61.3 | 51% | 121.1 | 17.1 | 14% | 104.0 | 86% |
| 2013 | 82.3 | 45.1 | 55% | 37.2 | 45% | 89.1 | 17.8 | 20% | 71.3 | 80% |
| 2014 | 62.1 | 37.5 | 60% | 24.6 | 40% | 62.2 | 10.3 | 17% | 51.9 | 83% |
| 2015 | 67.7 | 64.2 | 95% | 3.5 | 5% | 68.9 | 15.9 | 23% | 53.0 | 77% |
| 2016 | 57.7 | 41.5 | 72% | 16.2 | 28% | 56.6 | 8.8 | 16% | 47.8 | 84% |
| 2017 | 47.0 | 35.6 | 76% | 11.4 | 24% | 46.5 | 8.3 | 18% | 38.2 | 82% |
| 2018 | 57.6 | 47.2 | 82% | 10.4 | 18% | 58.0 | 11.0 | 19% | 47.0 | 81% |
| 2019 | 52.7 | 40.7 | 77% | 12.0 | 23% | 52.2 | 8.4 | 16% | 43.8 | 84% |

Table 100. Stanislaus Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2013² through 2019¹

| | | | Average Daily | / Populatio | n | _ | | One-Day S | Snapshot | |
|------|------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|------------|-------------|----------|----------|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | meanor | Feld | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | luvenile (| Camps/Rar | nches only | | | | | | | |
| 2003 | | st-Dispositi | | | | | | | | |
| 2004 | | therefore t | | | | | | | | |
| 2005 | | | ed of Post- | | | | | | | |
| 2006 | Dispositio | n juveniles | | | | | | | | |
| 2007 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2008 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2009 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2010 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2011 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 | | | | | Star | islaus County' | 's Camp op | ened June 2 | 013. | |
| 2013 | | | | 37.3 | 100% | 34.9 | 7.9 | 23% | 27.0 | 77% |
| 2014 | | | | 32.7 | 100% | 33.4 | 5.8 | 17% | 27.6 | 83% |
| 2015 | | | | 23.9 | 100% | 24.2 | 7.3 | 30% | 16.9 | 70% |
| 2016 | | | | 27.6 | 100% | 26.8 | 4.7 | 17% | 22.1 | 83% |
| 2017 | | | | 21.6 | 100% | 21.7 | 5.8 | 27% | 15.9 | 73% |
| 2018 | | | | 20.9 | 100% | 21.0 | 6.3 | 30% | 14.7 | 70% |
| 2019 | | | | 25.4 | 100% | 25.3 | 7.6 | 30% | 17.7 | 70% |

Figure 126.
Stanislaus ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 127.

Population of Stanislaus Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 128. Stanislaus Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



TEHAMA COUNTY

Table 101. Tehama Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | А | verage Daily | Population | n | _ | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|------------|-----------|------------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot _ | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 20.6 | 7.9 | 38% | 12.7 | 61% | 19.1 | 13.8 | 72% | 5.3 | 28% |
| 2003 | 29.7 | 8.0 | 27% | 21.7 | 73% | 30.8 | 23.1 | 75% | 7.7 | 25% |
| 2004 | 32.7 | 8.1 | 25% | 24.6 | 75% | 33.4 | 20.8 | 62% | 12.6 | 38% |
| 2005 | 30.2 | 5.3 | 18% | 24.9 | 82% | 30.0 | 21.5 | 72% | 8.5 | 28% |
| 2006 | 28.2 | 5.8 | 21% | 22.4 | 79% | 28.3 | 18.1 | 64% | 10.2 | 36% |
| 2007 | 32.4 | 5.8 | 18% | 26.6 | 82% | 32.2 | 22.3 | 69% | 9.9 | 31% |
| 2008 | 28.6 | 6.2 | 22% | 22.4 | 78% | 27.6 | 14.8 | 54% | 12.8 | 46% |
| 2009 | 18.5 | 4.1 | 22% | 14.4 | 77% | 17.3 | 11.9 | 69% | 5.4 | 31% |
| 2010 | 15.0 | 3.0 | 20% | 12.0 | 80% | 14.8 | 10.3 | 69% | 4.5 | 31% |
| 2011 | 18.6 | 4.5 | 24% | 14.1 | 76% | 18.3 | 9.5 | 52% | 8.8 | 48% |
| 2012 | 15.4 | 5.6 | 36% | 9.8 | 63% | 15.6 | 9.0 | 58% | 6.6 | 42% |
| 2013 | 14.7 | 6.7 | 45% | 8.0 | 55% | 15.3 | 6.2 | 40% | 9.1 | 60% |
| 2014 | 15.1 | 6.8 | 45% | 8.3 | 54% | 15.0 | 13.0 | 87% | 2.0 | 13% |
| 2015 | 16.9 | 7.9 | 47% | 9.0 | 54% | 11.9 | 9.4 | 79% | 2.5 | 21% |
| 2016 | 15.1 | 5.5 | 36% | 9.6 | 64% | 12.5 | 9.8 | 79% | 2.7 | 21% |
| 2017 | 15.1 | 6.8 | 45% | 8.3 | 55% | 16.0 | 10.7 | 67% | 5.3 | 33% |
| 2018 | 23.9 | 11.6 | 48% | 12.3 | 51% | 24.6 | 13.6 | 55% | 11.0 | 45% |
| 2019 | 21.4 | 9.9 | 46% | 11.5 | 54% | 20.9 | 8.6 | 41% | 12.3 | 59% |

Figure 129. *Tehama Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019* ¹




Figure 130.

Population of Tehama Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 131.



TRINITY COUNTY

Table 102. Trinity Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2014 1

| | | А | verage Daily | / Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 11.3 | 3.4 | 30% | 7.9 | 70% | 11.5 | 5.7 | 50% | 5.8 | 50% |
| 2003 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 41% | 4.3 | 59% | 7.3 | 3.9 | 53% | 3.4 | 47% |
| 2004 | 7.9 | 1.9 | 24% | 6.0 | 76% | 8.0 | 6.3 | 79% | 1.7 | 21% |
| 2005 | 10.4 | 4.8 | 46% | 5.6 | 54% | 10.3 | 6.5 | 63% | 3.8 | 37% |
| 2006 | 13.5 | 6.0 | 44% | 7.5 | 56% | 13.7 | 9.5 | 70% | 4.2 | 30% |
| 2007 | 16.4 | 5.6 | 34% | 10.8 | 66% | 16.9 | 11.8 | 70% | 5.1 | 30% |
| 2008 | 14.8 | 4.7 | 32% | 10.1 | 68% | 13.6 | 9.2 | 67% | 4.4 | 33% |
| 2009 | 10.6 | 2.4 | 23% | 8.2 | 77% | 12.1 | 8.3 | 69% | 3.8 | 31% |
| 2010 | 13.4 | 3.5 | 26% | 9.9 | 74% | 12.8 | 7.4 | 58% | 5.4 | 42% |
| 2011 | 7.4 | 1.9 | 26% | 5.5 | 74% | 7.2 | 5.5 | 77% | 1.7 | 23% |
| 2012 | 9.1 | 3.0 | 33% | 6.1 | 67% | 8.9 | 6.3 | 71% | 2.6 | 29% |
| 2013 | 6.4 | 2.7 | 42% | 3.7 | 58% | 6.5 | 4.4 | 68% | 2.1 | 32% |
| 2014 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 34% | 2.0 | 64% | 3.0 | 1.2 | 39% | 1.8 | 61% |

Trinity County converted their Juvenile Hall to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall in August 2014²

Note. ¹ 2014 data based on January - July. ² Trinity County's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall (SPJH) in August 2014. An SPJH is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Facility-level data of provided of SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Table 103. Trinity Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2014 1

| | | Д | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|------|-------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 11.3 | 3.4 | 30% | 7.9 | 70% | 11.5 | 5.7 | 50% | 5.8 | 50% |
| 2003 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 41% | 4.3 | 59% | 7.3 | 3.9 | 53% | 3.4 | 47% |
| 2004 | 7.9 | 1.9 | 24% | 6.0 | 76% | 8.0 | 6.3 | 79% | 1.7 | 21% |
| 2005 | 10.4 | 4.8 | 46% | 5.6 | 54% | 10.3 | 6.5 | 63% | 3.8 | 37% |
| 2006 | 11.5 | 6.0 | 52% | 5.5 | 48% | 11.7 | 8.6 | 74% | 3.1 | 26% |
| 2007 | 10.4 | 5.6 | 54% | 4.8 | 46% | 10.8 | 7.3 | 68% | 3.5 | 32% |
| 2008 | 10.2 | 4.7 | 46% | 5.5 | 54% | 8.6 | 5.8 | 67% | 2.8 | 33% |
| 2009 | 5.6 | 2.4 | 43% | 3.2 | 57% | 6.7 | 4.6 | 69% | 2.1 | 31% |
| 2010 | 7.5 | 3.5 | 47% | 4.0 | 53% | 6.9 | 4.6 | 66% | 2.3 | 34% |
| 2011 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 59% | 1.3 | 41% | 2.8 | 2.0 | 73% | 0.8 | 27% |
| 2012 | 4.4 | 3.0 | 68% | 1.4 | 32% | 4.0 | 2.7 | 67% | 1.3 | 33% |
| 2013 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 89% | 0.3 | 11% | 3.1 | 2.3 | 76% | 0.8 | 24% |
| 2014 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 87% | 0.3 | 13% | 2.1 | 1.1 | 53% | 1.0 | 47% |
| | T | rinity Cour | nty converted | their live | onila Hall to a | Special Purpo | sa luvanil | le Hall in Aug | ust 2011 ² | • |

Trinity County converted their Juvenile Hall to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall in August 2014²

Note. ¹ 2014 data based on January - July. ² Trinity County's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall (SPJH) in August 2014. An SPJH is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Facility-level data of provided of SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Table 104. Trinity Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2006 1 through 20142

| 10.010 | | | Average Daily | | | tinough 2014 | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|--------|-------------|--------------|---------------|------|----------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | | | sposition | | sposition | Snapshot | Misden | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | amps/Rar | ches only | | | | | | | |
| 2003 | | st-Dispositi | • | | | | | | | |
| 2004 | | therefore t | | | | | | | | |
| 2005 | ADP is on | ly comprise | ed of Post- | | Trinity County | /'s Camp opene | ed Septem | ber 2006. | | |
| 2006 | Disposition | n juveniles | | 6.0 | 100% | 6.0 | 2.8 | 46% | 3.3 | 54% |
| 2007 | | | | 6.0 | 200% | 6.0 | 4.4 | 74% | 1.6 | 26% |
| 2008 | | | | 4.6 | 300% | 4.9 | 3.3 | 68% | 1.6 | 32% |
| 2009 | | | | 5.0 | 400% | 5.4 | 3.8 | 69% | 1.7 | 31% |
| 2010 | | | | 5.9 | 500% | 5.9 | 2.8 | 48% | 3.1 | 52% |
| 2011 | | | | 4.2 | 600% | 4.4 | 3.5 | 79% | 0.9 | 21% |
| 2012 | | | 4.7 | 700% | 4.9 | 3.7 | 75% | 1.3 | 25% | |
| 2013 | | | | 3.3 | 800% | 3.4 | 2.1 | 61% | 1.3 | 39% |
| 2014 | | | | 3.2 | 900% | 3.0 | 0.9 | 29% | 2.1 | 71% |
| 2015 | | | | | Trinity Cour | nty's Camp close | ed August | 2014. | | |
| 2016 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2017 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2018 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2019 | | | | | | | | | | |

Note. ¹ 2006 Average Daily Population based on September - December. ² 2014 data based on January - July. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Figure 132.

Trinity ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2014



Figure 133.

Population of Trinity Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2014





Figure 134.

Tripity Follow Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for the Combined Juvenile Facilities and the Combined Facilitie



TULARE COUNTY

Table 105. Tulare Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019

| | | Α | verage Daily | Populatio | n | _ | | One-Day S | Snapshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 205.5 | 93.9 | 46% | 111.6 | 54% | 200.3 | 96.2 | 48% | 104.1 | 52% |
| 2003 | 178.7 | 101.6 | 57% | 77.1 | 43% | 179.5 | 95.4 | 53% | 84.1 | 47% |
| 2004 | 182.7 | 107.3 | 59% | 75.4 | 41% | 180.4 | 94.0 | 52% | 86.4 | 48% |
| 2005 | 190.1 | 82.3 | 43% | 107.8 | 57% | 189.0 | 112.3 | 59% | 76.7 | 41% |
| 2006 | 212.0 | 77.7 | 37% | 134.3 | 63% | 197.2 | 112.3 | 57% | 84.9 | 43% |
| 2007 | 183.8 | 82.9 | 45% | 100.9 | 55% | 184.7 | 93.9 | 51% | 90.8 | 49% |
| 2008 | 170.8 | 82.0 | 48% | 88.8 | 52% | 175.8 | 80.8 | 46% | 95.0 | 54% |
| 2009 | 166.1 | 78.8 | 47% | 87.3 | 53% | 168.6 | 66.8 | 40% | 101.8 | 60% |
| 2010 | 182.1 | 60.6 | 33% | 121.5 | 67% | 184.7 | 54.1 | 29% | 130.6 | 71% |
| 2011 | 179.7 | 57.4 | 32% | 122.3 | 68% | 194.6 | 47.4 | 24% | 147.2 | 76% |
| 2012 | 154.4 | 45.5 | 29% | 108.9 | 71% | 164.7 | 36.9 | 22% | 127.8 | 78% |
| 2013 | 164.4 | 47.6 | 29% | 116.8 | 71% | 174.6 | 40.8 | 23% | 133.8 | 77% |
| 2014 | 147.1 | 45.8 | 31% | 101.3 | 69% | 149.9 | 29.3 | 20% | 120.6 | 80% |
| 2015 | 157.1 | 46.8 | 30% | 110.3 | 70% | 153.6 | 41.3 | 27% | 112.3 | 73% |
| 2016 | 127.3 | 51.1 | 40% | 76.2 | 60% | 131.7 | 39.0 | 30% | 92.7 | 70% |
| 2017 | 123.7 | 47.0 | 38% | 76.7 | 62% | 123.6 | 38.4 | 31% | 85.2 | 69% |
| 2018 | 97.3 | 35.8 | 37% | 61.5 | 63% | 93.6 | 18.8 | 20% | 74.8 | 80% |
| 2019 | 71.7 | 31.0 | 43% | 40.7 | 57% | 72.3 | 16.6 | 23% | 55.7 | 77% |

Table 106. Tulare Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | А | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | | One-Day S | napshot | |
|------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | _ | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 125.0 | 93.9 | 75% | 31.1 | 25% | 122.9 | 66.2 | 54% | 56.7 | 46% |
| 2003 | 126.2 | 101.6 | 80% | 24.6 | 19% | 126.9 | 66.5 | 52% | 60.4 | 48% |
| 2004 | 124.7 | 107.3 | 86% | 17.4 | 14% | 123.0 | 62.3 | 51% | 60.7 | 49% |
| 2005 | 123.7 | 82.3 | 67% | 41.4 | 33% | 123.3 | 69.1 | 56% | 54.2 | 44% |
| 2006 | 112.1 | 77.7 | 69% | 34.4 | 31% | 90.1 | 47.5 | 53% | 42.6 | 47% |
| 2007 | 98.6 | 82.9 | 84% | 15.7 | 16% | 94.9 | 40.4 | 43% | 54.5 | 57% |
| 2008 | 88.5 | 82.0 | 93% | 6.5 | 7% | 91.1 | 33.8 | 37% | 57.3 | 63% |
| 2009 | 88.3 | 78.8 | 89% | 9.5 | 11% | 89.5 | 29.7 | 33% | 59.8 | 67% |
| 2010 | 83.3 | 60.6 | 73% | 22.7 | 27% | 84.3 | 16.0 | 19% | 68.3 | 81% |
| 2011 | 75.7 | 57.4 | 76% | 18.3 | 24% | 85.1 | 17.8 | 21% | 67.3 | 79% |
| 2012 | 65.1 | 45.5 | 70% | 19.6 | 30% | 72.5 | 16.5 | 23% | 56.0 | 77% |
| 2013 | 65.0 | 47.6 | 73% | 17.4 | 27% | 75.7 | 18.0 | 24% | 57.7 | 76% |
| 2014 | 58.8 | 45.8 | 78% | 13.0 | 22% | 60.2 | 13.6 | 23% | 46.6 | 77% |
| 2015 | 68.4 | 46.8 | 68% | 21.6 | 32% | 68.2 | 20.7 | 30% | 47.5 | 70% |
| 2016 | 60.9 | 51.1 | 84% | 9.8 | 16% | 64.4 | 19.5 | 30% | 44.9 | 70% |
| 2017 | 58.0 | 47.0 | 81% | 11.0 | 19% | 56.0 | 15.8 | 28% | 40.2 | 72% |
| 2018 | 40.1 | 35.8 | 89% | 4.3 | 11% | 38.1 | 8.3 | 22% | 29.8 | 78% |
| 2019 | 33.6 | 31.0 | 92% | 2.6 | 8% | 32.8 | 7.2 | 22% | 25.6 | 78% |

Table 107. Tulare Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | | Average Daily Population | | | | One-Day Snapshot | | | |
|------|-------------|--|--------------------------|------------------|----------|----------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|
| | | Pre-Disposition | | Post-Disposition | | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | enile Camps/Ranches only | | | 100% | 77.4 | 30.0 | 39% | 47.4 | 61% |
| 2003 | | venile Samps/realieries only buse Post-Disposition veniles, therefore their Total DP is only comprised of Post- | | 52.5 | 100% | 52.6 | 28.9 | 55% | 23.7 | 45% |
| 2004 | | | | 58.0 | 100% | 57.6 | 31.8 | 55% | 25.8 | 45% |
| 2005 | • | | | 66.4 | 100% | 65.8 | 43.3 | 66% | 22.5 | 34% |
| 2006 | Disposition | Disposition juveniles. | | | 100% | 107.1 | 64.8 | 60% | 42.3 | 40% |
| 2007 | | | | | 100% | 89.8 | 53.5 | 60% | 36.3 | 40% |
| 2008 | | | | 82.4 | 100% | 84.9 | 47.1 | 56% | 37.8 | 44% |
| 2009 | | | | 77.7 | 100% | 79.1 | 37.1 | 47% | 42.0 | 53% |
| 2010 | | | | 98.8 | 100% | 100.4 | 38.1 | 38% | 62.3 | 62% |
| 2011 | | | | 104.0 | 100% | 109.5 | 29.6 | 27% | 79.9 | 73% |
| 2012 | | | | 89.3 | 100% | 92.2 | 20.4 | 22% | 71.8 | 78% |
| 2013 | | | | 99.4 | 100% | 99.0 | 22.8 | 23% | 76.2 | 77% |
| 2014 | | | | 88.4 | 100% | 89.8 | 15.8 | 18% | 74.0 | 82% |
| 2015 | | | | | 100% | 85.4 | 20.6 | 24% | 64.8 | 76% |
| 2016 | | | | | 100% | 67.3 | 19.5 | 29% | 47.8 | 71% |
| 2017 | | | | | 100% | 67.7 | 22.7 | 33% | 45.0 | 67% |
| 2018 | | | | | 100% | 55.4 | 10.4 | 19% | 45.0 | 81% |
| 2019 | | | | 38.1 | 100% | 39.4 | 9.3 | 24% | 30.1 | 76% |

Figure 135.

Tulare ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 136.

Population of Tulare Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 137.
Tulare Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



TUOLUMNE COUNTY

Table 108. Tuolumne Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2017² through 2019¹

| | - | | Average Dail | y Populati | on | _ | One-Day Snapshot | | | | |
|------|-----|-----------------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|--|
| | | Pre-Disposition | | Post-D | isposition | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | |
| 2002 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2003 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2004 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2005 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2009 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2010 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2011 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2013 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2014 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2015 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2016 | | | Τι | uolumne C | County's Juver | nile Hall opene | d April 201 | 17. | | | |
| 2017 | 5.0 | 2.6 | 52% | 2.4 | 47% | 5.9 | 3.6 | 60% | 2.3 | 3 40% | |
| 2018 | 8.0 | 6.5 | 81% | 1.5 | 5 19% | 8.7 | 8.2 | 94% | 0.5 | 5 6% | |
| 2019 | 8.8 | 3.0 | 35% | 5.8 | 66% | 8.7 | 3.0 | 35% | 5.7 | 7 65% | |

Figure 138.

Tuolumne Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019 ¹






Figure 139.

Population of Tuolumne Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







VENTURA COUNTY

Table 109. Ventura Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | A | verage Daily | Populatio | n | | One-Day Snapshot | | | |
|------|-------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|----------|----------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|
| | | Pre-Disposition | | Post-Disposition | | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 173.2 | 45.7 | 26% | 127.5 | 73% | 175.7 | 68.9 | 39% | 106.8 | 61% |
| 2003 | 170.6 | 37.5 | 22% | 133.1 | 78% | 169.4 | 73.1 | 43% | 96.3 | 57% |
| 2004 | 171.1 | 40.5 | 24% | 130.6 | 76% | 172.7 | 93.4 | 54% | 79.3 | 46% |
| 2005 | 187.7 | 43.6 | 23% | 144.1 | 77% | 189.0 | 98.2 | 52% | 90.8 | 48% |
| 2006 | 191.2 | 40.5 | 21% | 150.7 | 79% | 193.2 | 105.9 | 55% | 87.3 | 45% |
| 2007 | 183.0 | 56.5 | 31% | 126.5 | 69% | 180.8 | 79.8 | 44% | 101.0 | 56% |
| 2008 | 202.9 | 67.1 | 33% | 135.8 | 67% | 202.7 | 53.7 | 26% | 149.0 | 74% |
| 2009 | 186.8 | 63.3 | 34% | 123.5 | 66% | 186.3 | 54.4 | 29% | 131.9 | 71% |
| 2010 | 164.6 | 53.2 | 32% | 111.4 | 68% | 166.0 | 47.6 | 29% | 118.4 | 71% |
| 2011 | 155.3 | 53.0 | 34% | 102.3 | 66% | 152.4 | 36.4 | 24% | 116.0 | 76% |
| 2012 | 117.5 | 43.2 | 37% | 74.3 | 63% | 118.2 | 34.6 | 29% | 83.6 | 71% |
| 2013 | 102.3 | 41.4 | 40% | 60.9 | 60% | 104.4 | 26.6 | 25% | 77.8 | 75% |
| 2014 | 91.5 | 35.1 | 38% | 56.4 | 62% | 82.6 | 38.2 | 46% | 44.4 | 54% |
| 2015 | 88.8 | 32.4 | 36% | 56.4 | 64% | 88.4 | 41.3 | 47% | 47.1 | 53% |
| 2016 | 81.9 | 29.5 | 36% | 52.4 | 64% | 80.4 | 35.1 | 44% | 45.3 | 56% |
| 2017 | 94.8 | 36.8 | 39% | 58.0 | 61% | 97.2 | 44.8 | 46% | 52.4 | 54% |
| 2018 | 81.1 | 38.6 | 48% | 42.5 | 52% | 82.5 | 35.2 | 43% | 47.3 | 57% |
| 2019 | 77.2 | 35.4 | 46% | 41.8 | 54% | 74.7 | 28.1 | 38% | 46.6 | 62% |

Table 110. Ventura Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | _ | Average Daily Population | | | | | One-Day Snapshot | | | |
|------|------|--------------------------|----------|------------------|----------|----------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|
| | | Pre-Disposition | | Post-Disposition | | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP |
| 2002 | 94.2 | 45.7 | 48% | 48.5 | 51% | 96.0 | 26.8 | 28% | 69.2 | 72% |
| 2003 | 86.8 | 37.5 | 43% | 49.3 | 57% | 85.6 | 24.7 | 29% | 60.9 | 71% |
| 2004 | 70.1 | 40.5 | 58% | 29.6 | 42% | 71.3 | 24.0 | 34% | 47.3 | 66% |
| 2005 | 72.3 | 43.6 | 60% | 28.7 | 40% | 73.5 | 30.0 | 41% | 43.5 | 59% |
| 2006 | 68.3 | 40.5 | 59% | 27.8 | 41% | 70.4 | 33.0 | 47% | 37.4 | 53% |
| 2007 | 77.7 | 56.5 | 73% | 21.2 | 27% | 75.8 | 29.8 | 39% | 46.0 | 61% |
| 2008 | 88.9 | 67.1 | 75% | 21.8 | 25% | 89.9 | 32.3 | 36% | 57.6 | 64% |
| 2009 | 94.2 | 63.3 | 67% | 30.9 | 33% | 93.6 | 41.3 | 44% | 52.3 | 56% |
| 2010 | 77.7 | 53.2 | 68% | 24.5 | 32% | 79.1 | 34.3 | 43% | 44.8 | 57% |
| 2011 | 68.2 | 53.0 | 78% | 15.2 | 22% | 65.4 | 18.1 | 28% | 47.3 | 72% |
| 2012 | 48.5 | 43.2 | 89% | 5.3 | 11% | 49.3 | 15.2 | 31% | 34.1 | 69% |
| 2013 | 50.6 | 41.4 | 82% | 9.2 | 18% | 52.4 | 13.7 | 26% | 38.7 | 74% |
| 2014 | 41.4 | 35.1 | 85% | 6.3 | 15% | 35.6 | 12.3 | 35% | 23.3 | 65% |
| 2015 | 38.9 | 32.4 | 83% | 6.5 | 17% | 38.3 | 12.2 | 32% | 26.1 | 68% |
| 2016 | 36.4 | 29.5 | 81% | 6.9 | 19% | 34.5 | 11.7 | 34% | 22.8 | 66% |
| 2017 | 45.0 | 36.8 | 82% | 8.2 | 18% | 44.2 | 15.4 | 35% | 28.8 | 65% |
| 2018 | 43.8 | 38.6 | 88% | 5.2 | 12% | 45.6 | 12.3 | 27% | 33.3 | 73% |
| 2019 | 44.2 | 35.4 | 80% | 8.8 | 20% | 41.9 | 11.8 | 28% | 30.1 | 72% |

Table 111. Ventura Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | ı | Average Daily | / Populatio | n | | One-Day Snapshot | | | | |
|------|-------------|------------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|----------|------------------|----------|------|----------|--|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | :amns/Ran | nches only | 79.0 | 100% | 79.7 | 42.1 | 53% | 37.6 | 47% | |
| 2003 | | st-Dispositi | | 83.8 | 100% | 83.8 | 48.4 | 58% | 35.4 | 42% | |
| 2004 | | therefore t | | 100.9 | 100% | 101.4 | 69.4 | 68% | 32.0 | 32% | |
| 2005 | • | P is only comprised of Post- | | | 100% | 115.5 | 68.2 | 59% | 47.3 | 41% | |
| 2006 | Disposition | n juveniles | • | 122.8 | 100% | 122.7 | 72.9 | 59% | 49.8 | 41% | |
| 2007 | | | | 105.3 | 100% | 105.0 | 50.0 | 48% | 55.0 | 52% | |
| 2008 | | | | 114.0 | 100% | 112.7 | 21.3 | 19% | 91.4 | 81% | |
| 2009 | | | | 92.7 | 100% | 92.8 | 13.2 | 14% | 79.6 | 86% | |
| 2010 | | | | 86.8 | 100% | 86.9 | 13.3 | 15% | 73.6 | 85% | |
| 2011 | | | | 87.1 | 100% | 87.0 | 18.3 | 21% | 68.7 | 79% | |
| 2012 | | | | 68.9 | 100% | 68.9 | 19.4 | 28% | 49.5 | 72% | |
| 2013 | | | | 51.8 | 100% | 52.0 | 12.9 | 25% | 39.1 | 75% | |
| 2014 | | | | 50.1 | 100% | 47.0 | 25.8 | 55% | 21.2 | 45% | |
| 2015 | | | | 49.9 | 100% | 50.1 | 29.1 | 58% | 21.0 | 42% | |
| 2016 | | | | 45.5 | 100% | 46.0 | 23.4 | 51% | 22.6 | 49% | |
| 2017 | | | | 49.8 | 100% | 53.0 | 29.4 | 56% | 23.6 | 44% | |
| 2018 | | | | 37.3 | 100% | 36.9 | 22.9 | 62% | 14.0 | 38% | |
| 2019 | | | | 33.0 | 100% | 32.7 | 16.3 | 50% | 16.4 | 50% | |

Figure 141.

Ventura ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 142.

Population of Ventura Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 143.
Ventura Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



YOLO COUNTY

Table 112. Yolo Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Average Daily Population | | | | | One-Day Snapshot | | | | |
|------|------|--------------------------|----------|------------------|----------|----------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|--|
| | _ | Pre-Disposition | | Post-Disposition | | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | |
| 2002 | 42.6 | 29.1 | 68% | 13.5 | 32% | 40.4 | 4.7 | 12% | 35.7 | 88% | |
| 2003 | 38.9 | 27.2 | 70% | 11.7 | 30% | 38.0 | 4.3 | 11% | 33.7 | 89% | |
| 2004 | 37.0 | 24.7 | 67% | 12.3 | 33% | 34.2 | 1.8 | 5% | 32.4 | 95% | |
| 2005 | 35.3 | 19.9 | 56% | 15.4 | 44% | 35.2 | 0.7 | 2% | 34.5 | 98% | |
| 2006 | 43.1 | 22.3 | 52% | 20.8 | 48% | 34.2 | 4.9 | 14% | 29.3 | 86% | |
| 2007 | 67.2 | 22.4 | 33% | 44.8 | 67% | 27.0 | 5.2 | 19% | 21.8 | 81% | |
| 2008 | 74.5 | 18.3 | 25% | 56.2 | 75% | 16.7 | 2.9 | 17% | 13.8 | 83% | |
| 2009 | 73.5 | 14.9 | 20% | 58.6 | 80% | 13.5 | 1.7 | 12% | 11.8 | 88% | |
| 2010 | 64.4 | 14.8 | 23% | 49.6 | 77% | 21.7 | 0.9 | 4% | 20.8 | 96% | |
| 2011 | 43.3 | 10.0 | 23% | 33.3 | 77% | 14.6 | 0.3 | 2% | 14.3 | 98% | |
| 2012 | 29.1 | 7.1 | 24% | 22.0 | 75% | 12.2 | 0.9 | 8% | 11.3 | 92% | |
| 2013 | 25.6 | 14.2 | 55% | 11.4 | 44% | 20.8 | 0.7 | 3% | 20.1 | 97% | |
| 2014 | 22.5 | 11.6 | 51% | 10.9 | 48% | 25.3 | 0.3 | 1% | 25.0 | 99% | |
| 2015 | 33.7 | 14.7 | 44% | 19.0 | 57% | 28.5 | 6.7 | 23% | 21.8 | 77% | |
| 2016 | 40.7 | 15.0 | 37% | 25.7 | 63% | 22.3 | 2.5 | 11% | 19.8 | 89% | |
| 2017 | 28.5 | 7.6 | 27% | 20.9 | 73% | 9.5 | 0.8 | 8% | 8.7 | 92% | |
| 2018 | 22.0 | 3.7 | 17% | 18.3 | 84% | 9.3 | 1.2 | 13% | 8.1 | 87% | |
| 2019 | 13.4 | 2.9 | 22% | 10.5 | 79% | 2.5 | 0.6 | 23% | 1.9 | 77% | |

Figure 144. Yolo Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019 ¹






Figure 145.
Population of Yolo Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Felony



YUBA COUNTY

Table 113. Yuba Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | Average Daily Population | | | | | One-Day Snapshot | | | | |
|------|------|--------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|--|
| | _ | Pre-Disposition | | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | |
| 2002 | 61.4 | 24.4 | 40% | 37.0 | 60% | 60.6 | 27.8 | 46% | 32.8 | 54% | |
| 2003 | 60.9 | 25.9 | 43% | 35.0 | 58% | 60.4 | 19.5 | 32% | 40.9 | 68% | |
| 2004 | 55.9 | 23.1 | 41% | 32.8 | 59% | 55.9 | 17.8 | 32% | 38.1 | 68% | |
| 2005 | 55.0 | 21.6 | 39% | 33.4 | 61% | 57.6 | 19.8 | 34% | 37.8 | 66% | |
| 2006 | 46.7 | 17.6 | 38% | 29.1 | 62% | 48.2 | 15.6 | 32% | 32.6 | 68% | |
| 2007 | 47.7 | 17.4 | 36% | 30.3 | 64% | 48.4 | 15.8 | 33% | 32.6 | 67% | |
| 2008 | 61.6 | 24.8 | 40% | 36.8 | 60% | 63.8 | 22.8 | 36% | 41.0 | 64% | |
| 2009 | 53.8 | 20.6 | 38% | 33.2 | 62% | 54.9 | 15.3 | 28% | 39.6 | 72% | |
| 2010 | 48.5 | 16.8 | 35% | 31.7 | 65% | 49.7 | 15.8 | 32% | 33.9 | 68% | |
| 2011 | 49.5 | 15.2 | 31% | 34.3 | 69% | 49.9 | 21.6 | 43% | 28.3 | 57% | |
| 2012 | 45.1 | 15.0 | 33% | 30.1 | 67% | 44.4 | 20.3 | 46% | 24.1 | 54% | |
| 2013 | 53.3 | 18.3 | 35% | 35.0 | 66% | 53.9 | 24.1 | 45% | 29.8 | 55% | |
| 2014 | 49.7 | 16.1 | 32% | 33.6 | 67% | 48.9 | 21.4 | 44% | 27.5 | 56% | |
| 2015 | 55.9 | 24.5 | 44% | 31.4 | 56% | 55.6 | 20.4 | 37% | 35.2 | 63% | |
| 2016 | 40.8 | 17.7 | 44% | 23.1 | 57% | 41.1 | 12.7 | 31% | 28.4 | 69% | |
| 2017 | 41.6 | 18.2 | 44% | 23.4 | 56% | 41.2 | 12.8 | 31% | 28.4 | 69% | |
| 2018 | 45.0 | 15.6 | 35% | 29.4 | 65% | 44.4 | 9.3 | 21% | 35.1 | 79% | |
| 2019 | 29.0 | 10.2 | 35% | 18.8 | 65% | 30.0 | 8.4 | 28% | 21.6 | 72% | |

Table 114. Yuba² Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | А | verage Daily | / Populatio | n | | One-Day Snapshot | | | | |
|------|------|---------|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|--|
| | | Pre-Dis | position | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misdemeanor | | Felony | | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | |
| 2002 | 45.7 | 24.4 | 53% | 21.3 | 47% | 44.9 | 19.7 | 44% | 25.2 | 56% | |
| 2003 | 45.2 | 25.9 | 57% | 19.3 | 43% | 44.1 | 14.8 | 34% | 29.3 | 66% | |
| 2004 | 37.3 | 23.1 | 62% | 14.2 | 38% | 36.9 | 10.1 | 27% | 26.8 | 73% | |
| 2005 | 35.2 | 21.6 | 61% | 13.6 | 39% | 36.7 | 11.4 | 31% | 25.3 | 69% | |
| 2006 | 28.5 | 17.6 | 62% | 10.9 | 38% | 29.6 | 10.8 | 36% | 18.8 | 64% | |
| 2007 | 29.0 | 17.4 | 60% | 11.6 | 40% | 29.2 | 10.3 | 35% | 18.9 | 65% | |
| 2008 | 38.7 | 24.8 | 64% | 13.9 | 36% | 39.7 | 13.9 | 35% | 25.8 | 65% | |
| 2009 | 34.4 | 20.6 | 60% | 13.8 | 40% | 34.7 | 9.6 | 28% | 25.1 | 72% | |
| 2010 | 29.4 | 16.8 | 57% | 12.6 | 43% | 30.5 | 8.8 | 29% | 21.7 | 71% | |
| 2011 | 24.8 | 15.2 | 61% | 9.6 | 39% | 25.6 | 11.0 | 43% | 14.6 | 57% | |
| 2012 | 24.8 | 15.0 | 60% | 9.8 | 39% | 24.1 | 10.8 | 45% | 13.3 | 55% | |
| 2013 | 29.9 | 18.3 | 61% | 11.6 | 39% | 30.4 | 13.0 | 43% | 17.4 | 57% | |
| 2014 | 26.1 | 16.1 | 62% | 10.0 | 38% | 25.5 | 13.3 | 52% | 12.2 | 48% | |
| 2015 | 32.3 | 24.5 | 76% | 7.8 | 24% | 31.8 | 13.2 | 41% | 18.6 | 59% | |
| 2016 | 23.1 | 17.7 | 76% | 5.4 | 23% | 23.1 | 7.7 | 33% | 15.4 | 67% | |
| 2017 | 24.0 | 18.2 | 76% | 5.8 | 24% | 24.0 | 7.9 | 33% | 16.1 | 67% | |
| 2018 | 22.7 | 15.6 | 69% | 7.1 | 31% | 22.3 | 5.0 | 22% | 17.3 | 78% | |
| 2019 | 17.1 | 10.2 | 60% | 6.9 | 40% | 17.9 | 5.6 | 31% | 12.3 | 69% | |

Table 115. Yuba Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

| | | • | Average Daily | / Population | n | | One-Day Snapshot | | | | |
|------|------------|---|---------------|--------------|-----------|----------|------------------|----------|------|----------|--|
| | | Pre-Di | sposition | Post-Dis | sposition | Snapshot | Misder | neanor | Fel | ony | |
| Year | ADP | ADP | % of ADP | ADP | % of ADP | AMP | AMP | % of AMP | AMP | % of AMP | |
| 2002 | Juvenile C | :amns/Rar | nches only | 15.7 | 100% | 15.9 | 8.2 | 52% | 7.7 | 48% | |
| 2003 | | uvenile Camps/Ranches only ouse Post-Disposition veniles, therefore their Total DP is only comprised of Post- | | | 100% | 16.5 | 4.8 | 29% | 11.7 | 71% | |
| 2004 | | | | | 100% | 19.1 | 7.8 | 41% | 11.3 | 59% | |
| 2005 | | | | | 100% | 20.9 | 8.3 | 40% | 12.6 | 60% | |
| 2006 | | n juveniles | | 18.2 | 100% | 18.6 | 4.8 | 26% | 13.8 | 74% | |
| 2007 | | | | 18.7 | 100% | 19.2 | 5.5 | 29% | 13.7 | 71% | |
| 2008 | | | | 22.9 | 100% | 24.1 | 8.8 | 37% | 15.3 | 63% | |
| 2009 | | | | 19.4 | 100% | 20.2 | 5.7 | 28% | 14.5 | 72% | |
| 2010 | | | | 19.1 | 100% | 19.3 | 7.0 | 36% | 12.3 | 64% | |
| 2011 | | | | 24.7 | 100% | 24.3 | 10.6 | 44% | 13.7 | 56% | |
| 2012 | | | | 20.3 | 100% | 20.3 | 9.5 | 47% | 10.8 | 53% | |
| 2013 | | | | 23.4 | 100% | 23.5 | 11.1 | 47% | 12.4 | 53% | |
| 2014 | | | | 23.6 | 100% | 23.5 | 8.2 | 35% | 15.3 | 65% | |
| 2015 | | | | 23.6 | 100% | 23.9 | 7.3 | 30% | 16.6 | 70% | |
| 2016 | | | | 17.7 | 100% | 18.0 | 5.0 | 28% | 13.0 | 72% | |
| 2017 | | | | | 100% | 17.1 | 4.8 | 28% | 12.3 | 72% | |
| 2018 | | | | | 100% | 22.1 | 4.3 | 19% | 17.8 | 81% | |
| 2019 | | | | 12.0 | 100% | 12.1 | 2.9 | 24% | 9.2 | 76% | |

Figure 147.
Yuba ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 148.

Population of Yuba Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







Felony